

## STATE OF WASHINGTON

## **OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR**

P.O. Box 40002 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0002 • (360) 753-6780 • www.governor.wa.gov

May 9, 2003

To the Honorable President and Members, The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Section 1, Senate Bill No. 5959, entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to allowing approaches to partially controlled limited access highways for the deployment of personal wireless facilities"

This bill establishes procedures for the Department of Transportation to permit wireless telecommunications facilities to be located along partially controlled limited access highways. This is important legislation that will help expand telecommunications services to underserved areas in our state and promote economic development.

However, section 1 of this bill would have amended RCW 47.52.001, which is a declaration of state policy to limit access to the highway facilities of the state in the interest of highway safety and for the preservation of the investment of the public in such facilities. The amendment would have created an inflexible exception to this longstanding policy by stating that personal wireless facilities "shall be permitted" along partially controlled limited access highways, apparently without qualification. Insofar as this section can be read to suggest that deployment of personal wireless facilities is inconsistent with the state's interest in highway safety, and that telecommunications deployment should take precedence over it, I am compelled to veto it.

I agree with the Legislature that personal wireless service is a critical part of the state's infrastructure, and I believe that Department of Transportation policy should acknowledge this. However, state policy should also ensure that telecommunications deployment be achieved along state highways without adversely affecting highway safety. For this reason, I believe the current language in RCW 47.52.001, which "limits" but by no means prohibits access to public highways, is the better statement of policy than that contained in section 1.

For these reasons, I have vetoed sections Section 1 of Senate Bill No. 5959.

With the exception of section 1, Senate Bill No. 5959 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary Locke Governor