

WA  
353.1  
St 2 pa  
1987  
ed 6  
KING CTY

C1

# We the People

of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquillity, promote the common Defence, secure the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

## Article I

Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Section 2. The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors in that State.

Representatives and direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective Numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole Number of free Persons, including those bound to Service for a Term of Years, and including Indians not taxed, three fifths of all other Persons. The actual Enumeration shall be made within three Years after the first Meeting of the Congress of the United States, and within every subsequent Term of Years as such Enumeration shall be made; but the Congress shall always be empowered to alter or change the Basis of Representation at any Time.

Representatives and direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective Numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole Number of free Persons, including those bound to Service for a Term of Years, and including Indians not taxed, three fifths of all other Persons. The actual Enumeration shall be made within three Years after the first Meeting of the Congress of the United States, and within every subsequent Term of Years as such Enumeration shall be made; but the Congress shall always be empowered to alter or change the Basis of Representation at any Time.

Section 3. The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, chosen by the Legislature thereof for six Years; and each State shall have one Vote.

Immediatly after they shall be assembled, in each State, the Electors in each State shall meet in such Manner as the Legislature of each State may direct, to choose two Senators, one of whom shall hold Office for the first Year, and the other for the second Year; and in each State the Electors shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors in that State.

No Person shall be a Senator who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty Years, and seven Years a Citizen of the United States, and who shall not, when elected, be an Inhabitant of that State in which he shall be chosen.

The Vice President of the United States shall be chosen at large by the Electors in each State, and shall hold Office for four Years; and in each State the Electors shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors in that State.

The Electors shall choose three other Officers, and also a Treasurer, for the same Term as the Vice President, or whom he shall assume the Office of President of the United States.

The Electors shall also choose three other Officers, and also a Treasurer, for the same Term as the Vice President, or whom he shall assume the Office of President of the United States.

Section 4. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 5. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 6. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 7. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 8. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 9. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 10. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 11. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 12. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 13. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 14. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.



Section 15. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 16. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

Section 17. The House of Representatives shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

# 1987 VOTERS' PAMPHLET

## OFFICIAL LOCAL VOTERS' PAMPHLET

Published jointly by:  
 Office of the Secretary of State  
 King County Division of Records & Elections

## STATE GENERAL ELECTION

### NOVEMBER 3, 1987

WASHINGTON STATE LIBRARY  
STATE DEPOSITORY COPY  
Edition 6

---

## INTRODUCTION TO THE 1987 VOTERS' PAMPHLET

---

Fellow Washingtonians:

It is my pleasure to take part in introducing you to the King County edition of the 1987 Voters' Pamphlet which for the first time contains information on both state and local issues and races.

Washington has always been at the forefront of efforts to better inform the electorate about issues and candidates. In 1914, the state became one of the first in the nation to publish a voters' pamphlet for its citizens. In recent years, this information service has been expanded to include tape cassette, Braille, and Spanish-language versions of the pamphlet.

Another recent development has been the passage of legislation authorizing cities and counties to produce voters' pamphlets on local issues and candidates. With the publication of this edition, King County and Seattle are among the first local municipalities to take advantage of these new opportunities for increased voter information.

I want to thank and congratulate King County and the City of Seattle for their participation in this combined pamphlet. There are more local jurisdictions in King County than almost any other county in the nation, making the production of this pamphlet a monumental undertaking. The people who developed this publication deserve our thanks for a job well done.

This pamphlet will assist you in making decisions on the critical issues and important races which face us this year. I urge you to make full use of its contents, and to exercise your constitutional right by voting on November 3.



  
Ralph Munro  
Secretary of State

Dear King County Resident:

This Voters' Pamphlet commemorates the 200th anniversary of our United States Constitution. In those 200 years, our nation has strived to be a government "of the people, by the people and for the people." Every citizen 18 years and older now has the opportunity to elect our leaders, vote on issues, and shape our future.

This inaugural issue of the King County Local Voters' Pamphlet brings to all King County residents for the first time the opportunity to study local candidate statements and issues on their ballot. Voter registration information, a sample ballot, absentee ballot requests and other election information are included for your benefit. Special editions in Spanish, Chinese, Braille, and tape cassettes are available so that more of our citizens can make informed decisions.

The voters' pamphlet represents regional cooperation at its finest—public agencies sponsoring a forum in which candidates for public office can share their platforms and backgrounds with the voters who elect them. I extend special thanks to all who joined King County in this first edition.

I urge you to read this pamphlet and study the issues. Then join with me on Tuesday, November 3, to vote and continue our heritage of "We the people . . ."



  
Tim Hill  
King County Executive

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

WASHINGTON STATE LIBRARY



A60004 708395

	Page
Referendum Bill 41 .....	4
Initiative to the Legislature 92 .....	6
Senate Joint Resolution 8207 .....	8
Senate Joint Resolution 8212 .....	10
House Joint Resolution 4212 .....	12
House Joint Resolution 4220 .....	14
Complete Text of State Measures .....	16
King County .....	19
Port of Seattle .....	21
Cities and Towns .....	22
School Districts .....	34
Water District .....	37
Fire Districts .....	37
Hospital District .....	38
Complete Text of Propositions .....	39
History of Voter Pamphlet .....	42
Comment Sheet .....	42
Major Political Party Caucus and Convention Procedures .....	43
Independent Candidate and Minor Political Party Nominating Procedures .....	44
Voter Information .....	45
Sample Ballot .....	46
Absentee Ballot Request Forms .....	47

**Your Voter Registration Card will assist you in the use of this pamphlet and at the polls on election day.**

**CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION**

KING COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON CERTIFIES THAT:

- VOTER JANE Q
- 0000 FREEDOM ST
- SEATTLE 00000

is eligible to vote in SEA 00-000 precinct

as of 05/03/82 Registration Date 04/03/82

REGISTRATION NO KI-00-000-0000

POLLING PLACE NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL  
0000 ELECTION AV

You are eligible to vote in the following districts:

LEGISLATIVE	01	CONGRESSIONAL	0	COUNTY COUNCIL	1	JUDICIAL	SE
S1, D4 F4 W14 H-2 DM IPR							

Name of voter and address at which registered.

Voting precinct number (or sometimes a name).

Date of registration.

This voter's registration number.

Location at which this voter votes.

Washington State Legislative District.

County Council member district.

School District number, other taxing districts.

Date that voter can *actually* start voting in this precinct.

**LIBRARY USE ONLY**

SECRETARY OF STATE TOLL-FREE HOTLINE.....	1-800-824-VOTE (8683)
SECRETARY OF STATE TDD TOLL-FREE HOTLINE.....	1-800-422-VOTE (8683)
<b>KING COUNTY DIVISION OF RECORDS AND ELECTIONS:</b>	
Voter Registration Information .....	344-5282
Election Information .....	344-2565





# REFERENDUM BILL 41

Chapter 246, Laws of 1987

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Referendum Bill 41 begins on page 16.

Vote cast by the 1987 Legislature on final passage:  
HOUSE: Yeas, 77; Nays, 17; Absent or not voting, 4.  
SENATE: Yeas, 36; Nays, 9; Absent or not voting, 4.

## Statement for

In the 1890's, large special interests gained immense power. By 1913, what Lincoln had called "the money power" pushed the Federal Reserve Act through Congress, allowing the Fed control of the money system.

Money supply is the most important factor affecting our economy. The U.S. Constitution delegates monetary power to Congress. Congress gave this authority to the Fed, a private interest: a group of bankers overseeing a network of banks. The Fed behaves as an independent agency, essentially free from checks and balances restraining the power of other government branches.

The Fed is not only unconstitutional, it isn't working. Bank failures, business bankruptcies, farm foreclosures, unstable rates of inflation, interest and employment, trade deficits and national deficits are classic symptoms of a failing money system. Congress established the Fed to prevent such problems.

The Washington Legislature, long noted as a trend-setter, again leads the nation. Referendum 41 passed by 80% in both the House and Senate. They could have gone directly into Supreme Court, by-passing the voters. But because the people have never been heard regarding their money system, a statewide vote on constitutionality makes this a truly historic measure.

Washington is not alone in its concern. 21 state legislatures have taken action criticizing the Fed. Many states will join us in Supreme Court when Referendum 41 passes.

## Official Ballot Title:

Shall the State challenge in the United States Supreme Court the constitutionality of authority delegated to the federal reserve system?

## The law as it now exists:

The Federal Reserve System, created by Congress in 1913, authorizes the Federal Reserve Board to exercise some controls over Federal Reserve banks and the nation's monetary policy. The following are some of the Board's powers: general supervisory powers over federal reserve banks, controlling discount rates, providing exceptions from bank

Referendum 41 is not a complete solution, but a necessary first step toward a solution. Your vote for Referendum 41 will convince Washington D.C. that we are serious about protecting our future.

## Rebuttal of Statement against

Referendum 41 will save you money. Cost will be about 5¢ per person, but could save \$7.00 per person the Fed now collects each year in interest on paper money they issue.

Suit is based on delegation and separation of powers doctrine, never before brought against Fed. Supreme Court will hear case brought by statewide ballot vote, especially with other states joining Washington.

No case directly challenging Fed's constitutionality has ever before reached Supreme Court.

### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

JACK METCALF, State Senator; RAY MOORE, State Senator; ROY A. FERGUSON, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: BOB WILLIAMS, State Representative; EUGENE V. LUX, State Representative; SHIRLEY J. WINSLEY, State Representative; BOB DILGER, Executive Secretary, Washington State Building and Construction Trades Council (AFL-CIO); ART BRADEN, Vice President, JC's Governmental Affairs.

reserve requirements, requiring write offs of worthless bank assets, and controlling the issuance of Federal Reserve notes. Some of the purposes of the Federal Reserve System are: providing a nearly uniform discount rate, control of the money supply, requiring reserves for protection of banks, permitting elasticity in the creation of currency, supervision of the banking business and creation of a market for commercial paper.

## The effect of Referendum Bill 41, if approved into law:

The State Legislature is to seek, through an attorney it selects, to have the United States Supreme Court accept a lawsuit commenced by the state. The lawsuit would challenge (1) the constitutionality of the delegation to the Federal Reserve System of the power to create money and exercise control over economic activity, and (2) the delegation of authority under which banks have made unrestricted foreign loans.

## Statement against

### SAVE MONEY - VOTE "NO" ON REFERENDUM BILL 41

The lawsuit proposed by this referendum would be frivolous and costly. In 1982 the Attorney General of the state of Washington declined to file a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve System because there was "no real possibility that the United States Supreme Court would strike down that law (if challenged) as an unconstitutional delegation of the power of Congress." In fact, the claim is so weak that the United States Supreme Court would probably not even consider it.

### LAWSUITS ARE EXPENSIVE

Your tax dollars and the human resources that would be spent conducting such a lawsuit would be wasted. These resources should be put to better use meeting the needs of the people.

### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM IS CONSTITUTIONAL

Congress created the Federal Reserve System in 1913 to meet the country's need for a safer and more stable monetary system. It has properly fulfilled that role for almost 75 years. Congress oversees the operations of the Federal Reserve System through regular hearings and its review of semiannual reports. Also, the expenses of the Federal Reserve System are audited each year by the General Accounting Office, the auditing arm of Congress.

The United States Constitution gives Congress the power to regulate money and banking. The delegation by Congress to the Federal Reserve System of that power has been consistently upheld by the courts. A court challenge of

that delegation cannot win. It is wasteful to raise the issue again. Lawsuits are expensive. Save your tax dollars.

### VOTE "NO" ON REFERENDUM BILL 41

## Rebuttal of Statement for

Don't be misled by false claims and don't waste the taxpayers' money!

- The "Fed" is not "a private interest" group. Board members are appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate.

- It is simple-minded to blame all our complex economic problems on this public agency.

- The Federal Reserve System's constitutionality has been consistently upheld by the courts.

Do not vote for a costly and frivolous lawsuit: Vote NO on 41.

### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

ARLIE U. DeJARNATT, State Senator; MAX VEKICH, State Representative; JOHN R. PRICE, Dean and Professor of Law, Seattle.

Advisory Committee: R. TED BOTTIGER, State Senator; KATHERINE ALLEN, State Representative; MIKE VESETH, Professor of Economics, Tacoma; RONALD GOULD, Attorney, Seattle.





# INITIATIVE MEASURE 92

## TO THE LEGISLATURE

NOTE: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by state law. The complete text of Initiative Measure 92 begins on page 16.

### Official Ballot Title:

Shall it be a consumer protection violation for doctors treating Medicare eligible patients to charge more than Medicare's reasonable charges?

### The law as it now exists:

Medicare is a federal health insurance program under the Medicare law (Title XVIII of the Social Security Act). The administration of the Medicare program establishes what are entitled "allowable charges" (labeled by law as "reasonable charges") to determine the base for computing the payment by Medicare for medical services to

Medicare patients. At the present time, Medicare most frequently pays 80 percent of Medicare's established charges.

No state law limits the charges that can be made by physicians and other health care providers to Medicare patients. Physicians and other health care providers can charge Medicare patients more than the federally designated "allowable/reasonable charge." However, physicians who choose to contract as a "participating physician" in the federal Medicare assignment repayment program cannot charge any Medicare eligible patient more than the Medicare established charge. Physicians who do not contract but do directly submit billings to Medicare for individual patients cannot charge those individual patients more than the Medicare established charge.

Physicians are not required to enroll as providers in the Medicare program, nor to participate in the Medicare assignment repayment program. If the physician does not so participate, the patient, or the entity paying for the service, directly seeks partial reimbursement from Medicare for the medical services.

### The effect of Initiative Measure 92, if approved into law:

The State Consumer Protection Act would be amended to declare it to be an "unfair and deceptive practice" for a physician which includes medical doctors, osteopaths, chiropractors, podiatrists, dentists or optometrists to charge or try to collect for medical services to a Medicare eligible patient more than Medicare's established "allowable/reasonable charge." It would also be an "unfair and deceptive practice" for a physician to treat Medicare eligible patients other than solely in an emergency situation without enrolling as a "participating physician" in Medicare and being subject to the maximum charges established by Medicare.

Physicians would be required to post a summary of the initiative in their offices and failure to comply with its requirements could result in civil penalties, money damages or court injunctions. The State Attorney General or a Medicare patient could file a civil suit to enforce the law seeking treble damages not exceeding \$10,000, the costs of suit and attorneys fees. Additional penalties of up to \$25,000 can be imposed for violation of a court ordered injunction.

### Statement for

In 1965 Congress created Medicare because health care for America's elder citizens was in critical condition. Bad as things were then, the crisis is even worse today.

Seniors now spend more of their incomes on health care than they did before Medicare existed. The elderly spent 12 percent of their income on health care in 1980. By 1990 they will pay 19 percent, unless we do something quickly.

Initiative 92 cannot cure all of Medicare's ills, but it will take much of the uncertainty out of health care. It will make it illegal for a doctor to charge more than Medicare determines to be a "reasonable and customary charge." Seniors will know that Medicare will always pay 80 percent of their doctor's bills and that they are responsible for 20 percent. Doctors won't get away with overcharging their patients.

And once Initiative 92 passes, the complicated Medicare forms that intimidate so many elderly patients will become the responsibility of the doctor's office.

Initiative 92 will help families. Seniors on limited incomes cannot afford ever-increasing medical bills. By making medical care more affordable, Initiative 92 will make it less likely that the elderly will become a financial burden to their families.

Under the current Medicare reimbursement system, our state's sick and elderly people are forced to either subsidize their doctor's healthy incomes or go without medical care, causing minor illnesses to become major emergencies. That's bad medicine.

Vote YES on Initiative 92.

### Rebuttal of Statement against

Too bad organized medicine uses threats and misinformation.

Doctors claim Initiative 92 could limit access to health care. . .

Fact: Overcharges already keep many seniors away.

Doctors say Medicare doesn't pay them enough. . .

Fact: According to the AMA, the average doctor's take home pay is \$110,000. The average senior citizen receives a monthly Social Security payment of \$488.

Fact: I-92 will cost taxpayers nothing and stop doctors from overcharging.

Protect our health and dignity. Vote yes.

For additional information, call (206) 329-9764.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

GEORGE FLEMING, State Senator; EUGENE V. LUX, State Representative; JIM LEWIS, State Representative.

CLAUDE PEPPER, U.S. Representative, Florida; KEN ANDERSON, President, Washington State Council of Senior Citizens; THOMAS HELLER, M.D., Seattle; SISTER VIRGINIA PAUL, Sisters of Providence, Walla Walla.

### Statement against

#### I-92 IS MISLEADING AND UNFAIR

If I-92 passes, all seniors, regardless of income, retired or working, will be charged less than others for medical care. These discounts will be "shifted" to non-senior patients; costs will increase for families and employers.

Don't believe that Medicare's "reasonable fees" are reasonable. The rates, frozen by Congress in 1984, have little to do with the actual cost of providing service.

#### SOME SENIORS WILL HAVE TO FIND NEW DOCTORS

If I-92 passes, some doctors will be forced to drop seniors and will be *prohibited by law from treating anyone over 65*. To quote the Washington Chapter of the American Association of Retired Persons: ". . . of Washington's 39 counties, 25 have areas that do not have enough primary care physicians. Nineteen of these counties have severe shortages. . . (I-92) . . . could cause some physicians to drop out of Medicare, thus limiting the availability of physician services to the elderly. Thus, AARP must oppose I-92." Our state's Senior Lobby does not support Initiative 92.

#### I-92 DENIES HEALTH CARE TO THE TRULY NEEDY

Despite Medicare's unreasonable rates, 27% of all doctors always accept them, over 90% accept Medicare's rates on a case-by-case basis. Many do not require *needy* seniors to pay anything. Under I-92, doctors *must* charge all seniors, regardless of income, the same unreasonable rates or be in violation of the law; true charity care will suffer.

#### I-92 WILL COST WASHINGTON TAXPAYERS MILLIONS

Washington taxpayers should not be charged to bail out, enforce, or endlessly litigate the federal Medicare pro-

gram. Real Medicare reform is needed in Congress. Join the thousands of seniors, employers, union members, health care professionals, and others in **VOTING NO ON I-92**.

### Rebuttal of Statement for

After investigating claims made by I-92 proponents, this committee, appointed by the Legislature to explain the arguments against I-92, has determined that:

- Congress has set Medicare's payment to doctors at approximately half the cost of actually providing medical services.
- Doctors charging medicare patients the same rate as other patients are not "overcharging;" Medicare is "underpaying."
- Current law provides adequate protections against true "overcharging."
- "Limited income" families will suffer if "unlimited income" seniors receive discounted care.

For additional information, call (206) 441-5863.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

R. LORRAINE WOJAHN, State Senator; CLYDE BALLARD, State Representative; PATRICK R. McMULLEN, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: MIKE RENDISH, Chairman, Washington State Legislative Committee of the American Association of Retired Persons (AARP); RICK BROCK, Legislative Representative, Association of Western Pulp and Paper Workers (AWPPW); HANK SNIDER, Chairman, Employers Against Initiative 92; RICK L. JOHNSON, M.D., President, Washington State Medical Association.



# SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 8207

## PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Senate Joint Resolution 8207 begins on page 16.

Vote cast by the 1987 Legislature on final passage:  
HOUSE: Yeas, 92; Nays, 3; Absent or not voting, 3.  
SENATE: Yeas, 45; Nays, 2; Absent or not voting, 2.

### Official Ballot Title:

Shall the constitution empower superior court judges, after retirement, to complete pending cases in which they had made discretionary rulings?

### The law as it now exists:

When a superior court judge leaves office for retirement or any other reason, the former judge is no longer authorized or empowered to function as a superior court judge. If the parties to a case agree, and the court approves, such a former

judge or any member of the bar can preside as a judge pro tem for the case.

By statute a party to litigation has one opportunity, as a matter of right, prior to a discretionary ruling being made by a superior court judge to have that judge removed from handling a specific case.

### The effect of SJR 8207, if approved into law:

The constitution would be amended to specifically empower previously elected superior court judges upon retirement to continue to handle those pending cases in which the judge had made discretionary rulings prior to retirement. No agreement would be required by the parties for a retired judge to so function.

### Statement for

This change would be of great benefit to the entire judiciary and most particularly those judicial districts with few judges serving the district. There is often a great problem of transition between an outgoing judge and an incoming judge on pending cases. This particularly applies in instances where there are complicated and complex matters. This resolution would allow judges to clear up the caseload of those complicated cases which the judge had been hearing prior to retirement. Many of these cases have been before the court for many years. This would help the incoming judge to assume the regular caseload without pending cases to consume the limited time available.

Superior Court Judges often retire leaving unfinished cases. SJR 8207 would allow such a judge to complete a pending case without written agreement of the litigants. This is an efficient and economical means of continuing a case when a judge retires. A judge's ability after retirement to continue to sit on that case without obtaining consent of the litigants would result in considerable savings to the county, the state and to the parties.

These retiring judges were elected by the people and the parties have already agreed to this judge. In long and complex cases, it would be very difficult and time consuming for the succeeding judge to catch up with the case. The parties would experience delay, and the parties may try to change prior rulings.

### Rebuttal of Statement against

SJR 8207 would authorize assigning a retiring elected judge to continue hearing an unfinished complicated case which would be difficult and costly for a new judge to assume. Although this would seldom be done, when needed, it would save time and money for the county and litigants.

Article V, Sec. 2 of our Constitution, prohibits an impeached judge from continuing on any case. Active and retired judges continue to be subject to the supervision of the courts and impeachment laws.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

IRV NEWHOUSE, State Senator; DENNIS DELLWO, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: PHIL TALMADGE, State Senator; RON MEYERS, State Representative; JACK DEAN, President, Washington State Bar Association; WALTER A. STAUFFACHER, Superior Court Judge, Superior Court Judges Association.

### Statement against

#### SJR 8207 DESTROYS THE ELECTED JUDICIARY

Every citizen who appears in court should be entitled to have his or her case heard by an elected judge—one subject to voter approval every four years. This constitutional amendment destroys that principle.

#### SJR 8207 CREATES A SPECIAL JUDICIAL "ELITE"

If approved by the voters, this measure would create a special category of "elite" unelected judges on the Superior Court bench in any county. Judges under this constitutional amendment could retire from the bench and still sit on cases of their own choosing. There would be no recourse by voters to examine their performance or express their objections through the election process.

#### SJR 8207 WOULD PROTECT IMPEACHED JUDGES

No definition of a retired judge is included in this special amendment for privileged judges. A "retired" judge could have reached such status by impeachment for malfeasance or misfeasance in office, but this measure makes no distinction and would not bar an impeached jurist from continuing to serve on the bench.

#### SJR 8207 BREAKS JUDICIAL AGE PROVISION

Superior Court judges now reach retirement age at 75 and must step down from active duty on the bench, a provision designed to keep our courts from becoming a haven for older jurists. If this constitutional amendment is adopted, a judge could keep serving on a case for life.

SJR 8207 would raise judicial costs. Keeping a Superior Court judge on the bench costs each county and the state up to \$250,000 a year. This additional cost for an "elite" class of judges, who are retired and non-elected, would have to be borne by all of the taxpayers.

### Rebuttal of Statement for

As established in our legal history, judges are required to rule on the "law," not on their own personal opinion. A judge who succeeds to another's case has this same duty.

A matter of "convenience" shouldn't override a bench where judge's decisions are subject to voter review at the ballot box. Nor should we breach the judicial retirement age of 75, or create additional costs to maintain an "elite" corps of jurists at taxpayer expense.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

A.L. "SLIM" RASMUSSEN, State Senator; KENT PULLEN, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: LLOYD GARDNER, Washington Taxpayers Association; GLADYS E. EDWARDS, Property Owners Protection Association, Seattle; WILLIAM FOSBRE, Thurston County Chapter, Overtaxed.





# SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 8212

## PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of Senate Joint Resolution 8212 begins on page 17.

Vote cast by the 1987 Legislature on final passage:  
HOUSE: Yeas, 97; Nays, 1; Absent or not voting, 0.  
SENATE: Yeas, 45; Nays, 2; Absent or not voting, 2.

### Official Ballot Title:

Shall state constitutional restrictions, which prevent investment of some permanent public land funds in stocks and private lending, be removed?

### The law as it now exists:

The Washington State Constitution and state and federal law have created permanent funds which receive revenue from certain public lands. Those funds include as beneficiaries common schools, universities, normal schools, agricultural, and scientific purposes. Each fund makes investments to generate

income, however, these permanent funds have been constitutionally restricted from investing in stock or making any investments which could be construed as a lending of credit to a private party. In 1966 the voters approved the forty-fourth amendment to the state constitution removing that restriction for the permanent common school fund and permitting those funds to be invested as authorized by law. The other permanent funds, however, continue to have the original constitutional restrictions upon investments.

ject those funds to the same limitation as the permanent common school fund i.e., the Legislature would be authorized to determine what will be a proper investment of those funds.

### The effect of SJR 8212, if approved into law:

SJR 8212 would remove the constitutional restrictions on the investment of the public land permanent funds and sub-

### Statement for

#### HELPS HIGHER EDUCATION

Our public universities, like the common schools, historically have benefited from trust funds created by the state constitution. These funds help support capital construction for all public education, kindergarten through graduate school.

When the funds were created, their investment was limited to specified, fixed security instruments, such as federal, state, county, municipal or school district bonds. To increase investment flexibility and potentially to improve returns on these funds, Washington voters have amended the Constitution three times: to enhance the Permanent Common School (K-12) Fund, state pension funds, and state industrial insurance funds.

#### REDUCES TAXPAYER LIABILITY

SJR 8212 provides this same kind of investment flexibility for our public higher education trust funds which benefit the University of Washington, Washington State University, Central Washington University, Eastern Washington University and Western Washington University. It simply permits the state to invest balances in these funds "in any manner allowed by law," including investing in equity instruments, such as common stocks. This could both *improve the rate of return on these funds* and *reduce the need for more taxes or increased bonded indebtedness*.

#### PROTECTS PUBLIC TRUST

SJR 8212 *does not require* investing higher education trust funds in stocks. It merely provides the opportunity to

do so, but within carefully prescribed laws established to safeguard all investments of public funds. This resolution authorizes wiser money management and permits expansion of our investment flexibility.

#### VOTE YES ON SJR 8212; IT'S THE PRUDENT VOTE TO CAST

### Rebuttal of Statement against

Don't be misled by alarmist rhetoric. Since 1981, the State Investment Board has earned more than a 17 percent return on state pension and accident insurance funds by exercising -- within statutory safeguards -- investment flexibility identical to that which SJR 8212 allows for higher education trust funds.

SJR 8212 has overwhelming support from both political parties -- 97% of the Legislature voted for it.

Support higher education; reduce the drain on taxpayers.

*Vote YES on 8212!*

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

MARCUS S. GASPARD, State Senator; E.G. "PAT" PATTERSON, State Senator; KEN JACOBSEN, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: ROBERT S. O'BRIEN, State Treasurer; BRIAN BOYLE, State Commissioner of Public Lands; LAWRENCE KENNEY, President, Washington State Labor Council, AFL-CIO; Delores Teutsch; Edwin McWilliams.

### Statement against

*VOTE NO* - The state should be prudent and sensible with public trust fund money.

#### ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

Currently, other state trust fund money is invested in leveraged buyouts, venture capital, the stock market and real estate. This is gambling with our state money. Do not expose more public funds to these sophisticated and high risk investments. This is happening now - stop it by voting No.

#### RISKY BUSINESS

Recently some of our state trust fund money was used to buy a Bellevue office park. The state of Washington should not be involved in commercial real estate. This is not what might happen if constitution limitations are removed, this is what is happening now with other trust fund money.

#### FOUNDERS WERE RIGHT

State founders put restrictions on the use of the state's money and use of the state's credit. This change removes these prudent limitations. The opportunity for conflict of interest, corruption, middlemen, and losing great sums of money increase tenfold when restrictions are removed.

#### STOP IT NOW

Right now the state invests trust fund money in leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and real estate. Close this "Pandora's Box" approach to investing - *VOTE NO*.

### Rebuttal of Statement for

Increasing the flexibility of trust fund investments will not benefit the taxpayer. In fact it will hurt the taxpayer if the investments turn into losses. This would create pressure to raise taxes to make up for the losses.

Leveraged buyouts and venture capital investments are investing in corporations and are potentially the lending of state credit. Protect school trust funds -- *VOTE NO*.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

MICHAEL HEAVEY, State Representative; JACK METCALF, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: WAYNE O. GAFFNEY, Mercer Island; JAMES L. GOBLE, Seattle; WILLIAM FINKBEINER, Kirkland; SIDNEY STARR, Bellevue.





# HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4212

## PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of House Joint Resolution 4212 begins on page 17.

Vote cast by the 1987 Legislature on final passage:  
HOUSE: Yeas, 78; Nays, 20; Absent or not voting, 0.  
SENATE: Yeas, 33; Nays, 16; Absent or not voting, 0.

### Official Ballot Title:

Shall the State Constitution be amended so legislative representatives will be elected for four years and senators for six years?

### The law as it now exists:

All the members of the House of Representatives of the Washington State Legislature are now elected for two-year terms in elections occurring in even numbered years. The members of the Senate of the Washington State Legislature

are elected for four-year terms with approximately one-half of the senatorial seats up for election at each even year election.

### The effect of HJR 4212, if approved into law:

The members of the House of Representatives of the State Legislature would be elected for four-year terms. Approximately one-half of the membership of the House would be elected in each even year election. There are two representatives in each legislative district, so as a transitional measure in the 1988 election, the representative receiving the largest vote in the district would be elected for a four-year term and the other representative would have a two-year term. The legislative position with the initial two-year term would in the 1990 election become a four-year term.

The members of the Washington State Senate of the Legislature would be elected for six-year terms with approximately one-third elected at each election. The 1988 and 1990 elections would result in some senators being elected for four years and others for six years to establish a pattern which by 1992 would result in all senators being elected to six-year terms.

### Statement for

#### ARE LEGISLATORS DOING THE WORK THEY ARE ELECTED TO DO?

Immediately after each election state legislators begin fund-raising and planning for their next election. The current two-year terms for members of the House and four-year terms for state Senators give strong momentum to short-term popular positions which push real problems and their solutions to the future.

#### NEED FOR A CHANGE

Our state Constitution is a dynamic document that, with its many changes, reflects the changing views and needs of our state. One hundred years ago it made good sense to the drafters of our Constitution to set two- and four-year terms for our legislators. After all, they met once every two years for sixty days, probably approved about fifty new laws and then went home by horseback, steamboat and railroad for two years before they met again. Communication was slow and the demands created by public necessity were minimal.

Today, the Legislature meets every year, 105 days in odd years, 60 days in even years, and in numerous overtime sessions. Over 4,000 proposals for new laws are introduced every two years, and 400 to 500 new laws enacted. Our world has grown more complex and longer terms for legislators is the logical result of the more complex issues that must be addressed. This constitutional amendment would prohibit a member of the House of Representatives from running for the state Senate without first giving up their House seat, but would allow a representative to run for other offices without first giving up the House seat.

### Rebuttal of Statement against

Longer terms *will* actually make your legislator more effective. Your legislator can better consider the many problems faced; give them careful scrutiny and not be ramrodded into a hasty decision because of momentary political or lobbyist pressure.

A six-year term for your senator will match that of your U.S. Senator. A four-year term for your representative means more attention to your problems, less to fund-raising and campaigning.

Your vote *FOR* HJR 4212 will give you a more responsible and responsive legislator.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

LARRY L. VOGNILD, State Senator; SIMEON R. "SIM" WILSON; State Representative; RUTH FISHER, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: ALAN BLUECHEL, State Senator; GEORGE L. SELLAR, State Senator; PAT SCOTT, State Representative; GEORGE W. WALK, State Representative.

### Statement against

The changes proposed in HJR 4212 are unnecessary and unwise. Lengthening the term of office for our legislators only would make state government more unyielding and less responsive to the will and needs of the people.

The length of our legislators' terms -- closely patterned on the length of congressional terms in office -- has been in effect for 100 years, and there is no valid reason to change it. Extending the duration of office by two years simply would make our elected representatives less accountable to the people of Washington State.

*Why?* Our citizen Legislature must maintain close ties with the electorate. The current system -- with two-year terms for the House of Representatives and four-year terms for the Senate -- requires constant communication between legislators and the public. The election process gives each voter the opportunity to hear regularly from his lawmakers and grade their performances. Limiting voters' opportunity to cast judgment on their lawmakers flies in the face of a democratic government.

Lengthening the span between elections would measurably weaken the voters' voice in government. It's true that election campaigns often are long, expensive and tiring -- but campaigns also are often the only chance many people have to see and visit with their legislators. Reducing the frequency of elections would curtail the communications that are so vital to a democratic, representative form of government.

Your vote against HJR 4212 will prevent a dilution of your voice in our state government.

### Rebuttal of Statement for

Long-term solutions to problems result from hard work by quality lawmakers -- not longer terms of office.

Yes, our world is growing more complex, but our ability to understand and deal with problems is growing too. The media help keep us well informed on governmental issues and actions. Extending lawmakers' terms won't make the legislative process more effective or easier.

If legislators are spending too much time electioneering, the solution is campaign reform, not longer terms.

For additional information, call (206) 352-4446.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

STUART A. "STU" HALSAN, State Senator; JAMES E. WEST, State Senator; TOM BRISTOW, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: CHUCK SAUVAGE, Washington State Common Cause; C. MONTGOMERY "GUMMIE" JOHNSON, Port Ludlow; CARL MAXEY, Spokane; LAWRENCE KENNEY, President, Washington State Labor Council, AFL-CIO; JACKIE BATTSON, Bellingham.



# HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4220

## PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Note: The ballot title and explanatory statement were written by the Attorney General as required by law. The complete text of House Joint Resolution 4220 begins on page 17.

Vote cast by the 1987 Legislature on final passage:

HOUSE: Yeas, 86; Nays, 11; Absent or not voting, 1.

SENATE: Yeas, 33; Nays, 16; Absent or not voting, 0.

### Official Ballot Title:

Shall the constitution be amended to permit a 15 year state-wide special property tax levy exclusively for school construction purposes?

### The law as it now exists:

The state constitution limits tax levies upon real and personal property by the state and all taxing districts, except port districts and utility districts, in any one year to in the aggregate not more than one percent of true and fair value of such property. This constitutional limit on property taxation

can be exceeded by taxing districts only by securing voter approval as delineated in the state constitution.

the repeal of authorization to retire bonds from the interest on the permanent common school fund. This also eliminates certain language in the constitution which expired by its own terms at some past period and is now obsolete.

### The effect of HJR 4220, if approved into law:

The state would be authorized to impose a property tax in excess of to the current constitutional limit, at a rate not to exceed 35 cents per thousand dollars of assessed valuation adjusted to the state equalized value for a period of 15 years. The proceeds of that tax are to be used exclusively for school construction purposes.

Related provisions of the constitution would be altered to harmonize with the added language concerning a state property tax levy for school construction purposes, including

### Statement for

HJR 4220 is needed to assure adequate schools for our state. Public school construction is funded by a combination of local and state monies. On the average, the state supports about one-half of the cost of each construction project. The local school district voters provide the balance through property taxes or bond issues.

#### SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUNDS ARE INADEQUATE

For the past twenty years state support for school construction has been met through the sale of timber from trust lands. Three major factors make this level of construction support inadequate:

1. Statewide enrollment growth necessitates new classrooms.
2. The value of trust timber has declined 50 percent in the past four years.
3. Our existing school buildings are wearing out.

#### SCHOOL PROJECTS AWAIT STATE FUNDING

Projects representing \$300 million have secured the local share and are awaiting state funds. Some of these projects have been waiting since 1984 and without the passage of HJR 4220 they may still be waiting through the 1990's.

#### HJR 4220 WILL PROVIDE FOR OUR CHILDREN'S FUTURE

This constitutional amendment allows for a state property tax levy that will not exceed 35 cents per \$1000 of assessed value and will not be collected for longer than 15 years. The money would be used solely for school construction purposes. Projected revenue from the proposition

would fund approved projects on the waiting list within seven years. In addition, monies deposited into a permanent endowment will provide an ongoing source of funding for future school construction needs.

There are currently over 750,000 children in kindergarten through grade twelve. By 1999, enrollment will grow to over 900,000 students.

Our children deserve safe, healthful, and uncrowded schools.

### Rebuttal of Statement against

YES, HJR 4220! The opposition's argument is misleading. In politics, it is called "smoke and mirrors."

- HJR 4220 does not tamper with the Constitution.
- HJR 4220 will be directed by the voters to exist for 15 years. Period.
- Nobody likes increases in property taxes. But the longer it takes to fix schools, the more it will cost -- pure and simple.
- HJR 4220 does not interfere with local levies. The opposition mixes apples and oranges. **Vote YES!**

For additional information, call (206) 448-7348.

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

ALBERT BAUER, State Senator; DANIEL K. GRIMM, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: BRUCE HOLLAND, State Representative; REN TAYLOR, State Representative; DR. FRANK B. BROUILLET, State Superintendent of Public Instruction; PHILIP B. SWAIN, State Board of Education; TERRY BERGESON, President, Washington Education Association.

### Statement Against

#### GOOD INTENTIONS, BUT A BAD IDEA

Yes, we have a problem in funding school construction projects. *But while the problem is clear, the solution is not.* Increasing property taxes is the wrong way to solve this problem.

*Should we tamper with the Constitution?* No. Amending the state Constitution for 15 years is inappropriate. The Constitution should be a permanent document protecting Washington citizens. Short-term changes are unwise.

*Do you really believe this tax will be "temporary"?* No. Proponents of this property tax plan say it will last 15 years. . . they claim it will be "temporary." But just think about all the times supposedly "temporary" taxes ended up being made permanent.

*Should we again use property taxes as the easy way to get more money for government?* No. Already this year the Legislature raised the levy lid for school districts, allowing higher property tax rates. The Legislature also allowed libraries to seek local levies that would increase taxes further. Another significant increase in our property tax burden is the last thing we need.

*Should the state pre-empt local use of property taxes?* No. Property taxes are intended to fund local government activities . . . such as road maintenance, law enforcement, fire protection, emergency medical assistance, and so on. For the state to increase our property tax burden will make it harder for local governments to win approval of the levies they must have to operate effectively.

*We should reject this proposal and keep property taxes as a local option and preserve local control.*

### Rebuttal of Statement for

The problem of school construction funding is not new; it has been on the horizon for several years. *And a temporary constitutional amendment is not the answer.*

This *property tax increase* would require property taxpayers to pay for school construction projects throughout the state. . . *in addition to projects they are already paying for in their own district.*

*We need a better way to pay for necessary school construction. . . not just higher property tax rates.*

#### Voters' Pamphlet Statement Prepared by:

EUGENE V. PRINCE, State Representative.





## COMPLETE TEXT OF Referendum Bill 41

AN ACT Relating to the federal reserve system; creating new sections; and providing for submission of this act to a vote of the people.  
BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

**NEW SECTION.** Sec.1. (1) A sound money system is absolutely vital to a free people. Symptoms of an unsound money system abound: Budget deficits, recurring recession cycles, farm foreclosures, business bankruptcies, bank, savings and loan, and insurance company failures, trade deficits, and dramatic fluctuations in interest rates, inflation levels, and unemployment statistics. These represent a clear and present danger to the people and to the government of the state of Washington and the United States of America.

(2) The Federal Reserve Act of 1913, and other acts of Congress, purport to delegate the nation's monetary authority to the Federal Reserve System, with no oversight or control by any elected body or official. The Federal Reserve Board is assumed to have the power to create money and thus exercise absolute control over the economic activity of this nation, whereas the United States Constitution nowhere authorizes Congress to delegate such power.

(3) The Federal Reserve Act of 1913, and other acts of Congress, purport to delegate authority, without oversight or control, under which large, private United States multinational banks have made unrestricted loans all over the world which, now in danger of default, threaten the United States of America with a collapse of its whole banking structure.

**NEW SECTION.** Sec 2. It is hereby the declared intent of the state of Washington, and the counsel appointed by the legislature is hereby directed, to cause to be filed in the original jurisdiction of the supreme court of the United States: (1) An action challenging the constitutionality of the delegation to the federal reserve system of the power to create money, and thus the power to exercise absolute control over the economic activity of this nation, and (2) An action challenging the delegation of authority without oversight, under which large, private multinational banks have made unrestricted foreign loans which, if they default, threaten the United States of America with a collapse of its whole banking structure.

**NEW SECTION.** Sec. 3. This act shall be submitted to the people for their adoption and ratification, or rejection, at the next succeeding general election to be held in this state, in accordance with Article II, section 1 of the state Constitution, as amended, and the laws adopted to facilitate the operation thereof.

(a) Charge, collect, or attempt to collect for medical services provided to any patient eligible for medical insurance benefits for the aged and disabled under the federal medicare program, part B of Title XVIII of the federal social security act, any amount in excess of the reasonable charge for such services as determined under part B of Title XVIII of the federal social security act;

(b) Fail to enroll at the earliest possible time, or fail to continue, as a participating physician under the supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged and disabled part of the federal medicare program, part B of Title XVIII of the federal social security act; and

(c) Fail to post in a conspicuous place in his or her place of business a summary of the provisions of this section in accordance with such rules adopted by the attorney general to assure that patients are given reasonable notice of their rights under this section.

(2) This section does not apply to a physician who certifies in writing to the attorney general of the state of Washington that he or she does not and will not provide medical services covered under the supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged and disabled part B of the federal medicare program to persons eligible for such benefits except in emergency situations or when such treatment would otherwise be required by the standards of the profession.

(3) For the purposes of this section the terms used in this section shall be defined consistently with the definitions for such terms contained in Title XVIII of the federal social security act.

**NEW SECTION.** Sec.2. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.



## COMPLETE TEXT OF Senate Joint Resolution 8207

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article IV, section 7 of the Constitution of the state of Washington to read as follows:

Article IV, section 7. The judge of any superior court may hold a superior court in any county at the request of the judge of the superior court thereof, and upon the request of the governor it shall be his duty to do so. A case in the superior court may be tried by a judge, pro tempore, who must be a member of the bar, agreed upon in writing by the parties litigant, or their attorneys of record, approved by the court and sworn to try the case. However, if a previously elected judge of the superior court retires leaving a pending case in which the judge has made discretionary rulings, the judge is entitled to hear the pending case as a judge pro tempore without any written agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.



## COMPLETE TEXT OF Initiative Measure 92

AN ACT Relating to the consumer protection act; and adding a new section to chapter 19.86 RCW.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

**NEW SECTION.** Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 19.86 RCW to read as follows:

(1) It shall be an unfair or deceptive act or practice or unfair method of competition in the conduct of trade or commerce under RCW 19.86.020 for any physician to:





## COMPLETE TEXT OF Senate Joint Resolution 8212

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article XVI, section 5 of the Constitution of the state of Washington to read as follows:

Article XVI, section 5. Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 5 and 7 of Article VIII and section 9 of Article XII or any other section or article of the Constitution of the state of Washington, the permanent common school fund and other public land permanent funds of this state may be invested as authorized by law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.



## COMPLETE TEXT OF House Joint Resolution 4212

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article II of the Constitution of the state of Washington by repealing section 4 thereof and amending Article II, section 5 and Article II, section 6 of the state Constitution to read as follows:

Article II, section 4. Section 4, Article II of the Constitution of the state of Washington is repealed.

Article II, section 5. ~~((The next election of the members of the house of representatives after the adoption of this Constitution shall be on the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November, eighteen hundred and ninety, and thereafter, members of the house of representatives shall be elected biennially and their term of office shall be two years; and each election shall be on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November, unless otherwise changed by law.))~~ Members of the house of representatives shall be elected for terms of four years with as near to one-half of their number as is mathematically possible retiring every two years. At the general election to be held on the first Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday in November 1988, the candidate in each representative district who receives the greatest number of votes shall be elected for a term of four years and thereafter for a term of four years, and at the same election the winning candidate in each representative district who receives the second highest number of votes shall be elected for a term of two years and thereafter for a term of four years.

Elections of the members of the house of representatives shall be on the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November in each even-numbered year unless otherwise changed by law. Persons elected to the house of representatives shall serve four-year terms unless they resign or seek other legislative office.

Article II, section 6. ~~((After the first election))~~ The senators shall be elected by single districts of convenient and contiguous territory, ~~((at the same time and))~~ in the same manner as members of the house of representatives are required to be elected; and no representative district ~~((shall))~~ may be divided in the formation of a senatorial district. They shall be elected for ~~((the))~~ terms of ~~((four))~~ six years, ~~((one-half))~~ with as near to one-third of their number retiring every two years. The senatorial districts shall be numbered consecutively, and ~~((the senators chosen at the first election had by virtue of this Constitution, in odd numbered districts, shall go out of office at the end of the first year, and the senators, elected in the even numbered districts, shall go out of office at the end of the third year))~~ shall be divided into three groups: The first group to consist of every first district, the second to consist of every second district, and the third to consist of every third district. For those districts in which senators are to be elected in 1988 the term of office shall be four years for each district in the first and second groups and six years for each district in the third group; and thereafter in each district the term of office shall be six years. For those districts in which senators are to be elected in 1990, the term of office shall be four years for each district in the first group and six years for each district in the second and third groups; and thereafter in each district the term of office shall be six years.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.



## COMPLETE TEXT OF House Joint Resolution 4220

BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION ASSEMBLED:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article IX, section 3 and an amendment to Article VII, section 2 of the Constitution of the state of Washington to read as follows:

Article IX, section 3. (1) The principal of the permanent common school fund as the same existed on June 30, 1965, and including any revenue dedicated to the fund from a state property tax shall remain permanent and irreducible.

(2) The ~~((said))~~ permanent common school fund shall consist of the principal amount ~~((thereof existing on June 30, 1965))~~ under subsection (1) of this section, and such additions thereto as may be derived after June 30, 1965, from the following named sources, to wit: Appropriations and donations by the state to this fund; donations and bequests by individuals to the state or public for common schools; the proceeds of lands and other property which revert to the state by escheat and forfeiture; the proceeds of all property granted to the state when the purpose of the grant is not specified, or is uncertain; funds accumulated in the treasury of the state for the disbursement of which provision has not been made by law; the proceeds of the sale of stone, minerals, or property other than timber

and other crops from school and state lands, other than those granted for specific purposes; all moneys received from persons appropriating stone, minerals or property other than timber and other crops from school and state lands other than those granted for specific purposes, and all moneys other than rental recovered from persons trespassing on said lands; five per centum of the proceeds of the sale of public lands lying within the state, which shall be sold by the United States subsequent to the admission of the state into the Union as approved by section 13 of the act of congress enabling the admission of the state into the Union; the principal of all funds arising from the sale of lands and other property which have been, and hereafter may be granted to the state for the support of common schools. The legislature may make further provisions for enlarging said fund.

(3) There is hereby established the common school construction fund to be used exclusively for the purpose of financing the construction of facilities for the common schools. The sources of said fund shall be: ~~((4))~~ (a) Those proceeds derived from the sale or appropriation of timber and other crops from school and state lands subsequent to June 30, 1965, other than those granted for specific purposes; ~~((2))~~ (b) the interest accruing on said permanent common school fund from and after July 1, 1967, together with all rentals and other revenues derived therefrom and from lands and other property devoted to the permanent common school fund from and after July 1, 1967; and ~~((3))~~ (c) such other sources as the legislature may direct. That portion of the common school construction fund derived from interest on the permanent common school fund may be used to retire ~~((such))~~ bonds ~~((as may be))~~ authorized by law prior to January 1, 1987, for the purpose of financing the construction of facilities for the common schools.

~~((The interest accruing on the permanent common school fund together with all rentals and other revenues accruing thereto pursuant to subsection (2) of this section during the period after the effective date of this amendment and prior to July 1, 1967, shall be exclusively applied to the current use of the common schools.))~~

(4) To the extent that the moneys in the common school construction fund are in excess of the amount necessary to allow fulfillment of the purpose of said fund, the excess shall be available for deposit to the credit of the permanent common school fund or available for the current use of the common schools, as the legislature may direct.

Article VII, section 2. Except as hereinafter provided and notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, the aggregate of all tax levies upon real and personal property by the state and all taxing districts now existing or hereafter created, shall not in any year exceed one per centum of the true and fair value of such property in money: PROVIDED, HOWEVER, That nothing herein shall prevent levies at the rates now provided by law by or for any port or public utility district. The term "taxing district" for the purposes of this section shall mean any political subdivision, municipal corporation, district, or other governmental agency authorized by law to levy, or have levied for it, ad valorem taxes on property, other than a port or public utility district. Such aggregate limitation or any specific limitation imposed by law in conformity therewith may be exceeded only

(a) By any taxing district when specifically authorized so to do by a majority of at least three-fifths of the electors thereof voting on the proposition to levy such additional tax submitted not more than twelve months prior to the date on which the proposed levy is to be made and not oftener than twice in such twelve month period, either

at a special election or at the regular election of such taxing district, at which election the number of persons voting "yes" on the proposition shall constitute three-fifths of a number equal to forty per centum of the total votes cast in such taxing district at the last preceding general election when the number of electors voting on the proposition does not exceed forty per centum of the total votes cast in such taxing district in the last preceding general election; or by a majority of at least three-fifths of the electors thereof voting on the proposition to levy when the number of electors voting on the proposition exceeds forty per centum of the total votes cast in such taxing district in the last preceding general election: PROVIDED, That notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, any proposition pursuant to this subsection to levy additional tax for the support of the common schools may provide such support for a two year period and any proposition to levy an additional tax to support the construction, modernization, or remodeling of school facilities may provide such support for a period not exceeding six years;

(b) By any taxing district otherwise authorized by law to issue general obligation bonds for capital purposes, for the sole purpose of making the required payments of principal and interest on general obligation bonds issued solely for capital purposes, other than the replacement of equipment, when authorized so to do by majority of at least three-fifths of the electors thereof voting on the proposition to issue such bonds and to pay the principal and interest thereon by an annual tax levy in excess of the limitation herein provided during the term of such bonds, submitted not oftener than twice in any calendar year, at an election held in the manner provided by law for bond elections in such taxing district, at which election the total number of persons voting on the proposition shall constitute not less than forty per centum of the total number of votes cast in such taxing district at the last preceding general election: PROVIDED, That any such taxing district shall have the right by vote of its governing body to refund any general obligation bonds of said district issued for capital purposes only, and to provide for the interest thereon and amortization thereof by annual levies in excess of the tax limitation provided for herein, AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That the provisions of this section shall also be subject to the limitations contained in Article VIII, Section 6, of this Constitution;

(c) By the state or any taxing district for the purpose of paying the principal or interest on general obligation bonds outstanding on December 6, 1934; or for the purpose of preventing the impairment of the obligation of a contract when ordered so to do by a court of last resort;

(d) By the state for a property tax at a rate not to exceed thirty-five cents per thousand dollars assessed valuation adjusted to the state equalized value, levied for a maximum of fifteen years and used exclusively for school construction purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing amendment shall be construed as a single amendment within the meaning of Article XXIII, section 1 of this Constitution.

The legislature finds that the changes contained in the foregoing amendment constitute a single integrated plan for funding common school capital projects. If the foregoing amendment is held to be separate amendments, this joint resolution shall be void in its entirety and shall be of no further force and effect; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.





## BALLOT TITLE

### PROPOSITION NO. 1 REGIONAL HEALTH CARE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BONDS-\$99,800,000

Shall King County, to finance Harborview Medical Center's trauma center and certain capital improvements at Harborview and Pacific Medical Centers, and the Health Department's Columbia, East, South, Southwest and Renton Clinics, as provided in King County Ordinance 8196, issue up to \$99,800,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds with a maximum term of 20 years, on which principal and interest shall be payable from annual property tax levies in excess of regular property tax levies?

## Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, Proposition No. 1 would authorize King County to issue up to \$99,800,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds, maturing within 20 years, to finance all or part of the following regional health care capital improvement projects within King County:

(1) At Harborview Medical Center, expansion and consolidation of the emergency trauma center and other key diagnostic departments; expansion, improvement and relocation of patient and visitor services; and modernization, expansion and consolidation of in-patient and out-patient facilities.

(2) At Pacific Medical Center, structural repairs and/or additions to bring its Beacon Hill "Tower Building" into compliance with Seattle's seismic and other building codes.

(3) Construction of three new public health centers in Seattle, east King County and south King County, and expansion, remodeling and repairs to existing public health centers in Renton and southwest King County.

The maximum amounts of bond principal proceeds to be available to these projects shall be: Harborview - \$75,465,000; Pacific Medical Center - \$9,315,000; and public health centers - \$15,020,000.

Unless paid from other sources, both principal and interest on the bonds would be paid from annual excess property tax levies upon all taxable property within King County, without limitation as to rate or amount.

To be approved, this proposition must receive "yes" votes from at least 60% of those voting on it, and the total number of persons voting on this proposition must equal at least 40% of those in King County who voted at the last general state election.

## Statement for

**SAVING LIVES:** Life-threatening injuries can strike anyone at anytime. For the more than 3,000 accident victims treated at Harborview each year, the difference between full recovery and a lifetime of impairment or death can be a matter of seconds. Harborview's trauma team, while nationally recognized, is forced to lose precious seconds because essential facilities—the emergency room, surgery, radiology, laboratories and the air ambulance heliport—are spread throughout the hospital. Blood test labs, for example, are a block and a half and two floors from the emergency room.

Proposition 1 would provide a modern, efficient Trauma Center at Harborview. Essential emergency services would be consolidated and readily available—making the difference for many accident victims whose lives hang in the balance.

**MEETING HEALTH CARE NEEDS IN OUR COMMUNITY:** Demands on our Health Department clinics to provide basic and preventative care cannot be met without Proposition 1. These clinics, located in communities with rapid population growth, are already straining to provide services to those most in need—especially children and seniors.

When low-income patients around the county need specialty medical care, they are referred by the community clinics to Pacific Medical Center. PMC must make structural improvements to keep these vital services available.

**A SMALL PRICE TO PAY:** Proposition 1 asks voters to commit only 11 cents per \$1,000 of assessed property value a year to these public health care facilities over the next 20 years.

**Vote yes on 1 — a small price to pay when it's about saving lives.**

## Rebuttal of statement against

Harborview is THE treatment facility for people from all over King County with the most serious, life-threatening injuries. **It must be modernized.**

Harborview is one of the few county hospitals that requires no operating subsidies. These improvements will keep Harborview self supporting. The Seattle Area Hospital Council endorses Proposition 1 because **it's cost effective.**

SOS is also wrong about Pacific Medical Center. If the funds aren't committed to PMC, the bonds won't be issued.

## Statement against

"...the United States often has worse health than Britain despite spending three times as much per head on health care."  
*(London Economist 8/22/87, page 47)*

The Shareholders of Seattle (SOS) urge you to vote NO on Proposition 1, the Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project.

The crisis of medical care here is a crisis of costs.

Why? Because we in the United States are spending progressively more for medical **buildings** than we are for medical **services**. That is precisely the situation here. We're being asked to allocate \$100 million for capital projects financed by a bond issue, with not a single penny going for operating or maintaining the subsequent improvements. With interest, this bond issue will cost the taxpayer a minimum of \$200 million. **The effect is higher medical costs for all whether one pays the bill directly or through insurance.**

Problems that SOS is asking you to consider are:

1. The Harborview Long Range Capital Improvement Plan gives no non-capital alternatives. There is no documented data to show that the proposed capital expenditures will attain the efficiencies claimed.

2. The Pacific Medical Center (PMC) is managed by a Public Development Authority. As such, the funding for PMC is conditional (see Ordinance Sec. 4). Passage of this measure does not necessarily mean that PMC will get the funds. If it doesn't, the ordinance does not say what will happen to the money. **Once again, we're being asked to sign a blank check.**

## Rebuttal of statement for

**Vote NO on Proposition 1.**

**Harborview:** Alleged "inefficiency" is a management problem certainly not requiring a bond issue.

**Pacific Medical Center:** PMC has been cutting back on health care. Why??

Medical costs have increased because facilities have expanded beyond the ability to staff, equip and maintain them. Developmental costs will be passed on to patients. How can more lives be saved and health care provided if people can't afford the services?

Vote NO on 1.





## King County Assessor



**Jeanette  
BERLEEN  
Republican**

Jeanette Berleen has been a real estate appraiser for three and one half years, an accountant and lead budget analyst, and has served laudably in our Washington State House of Representatives. She has been a member of the Burien, White Center, and West Seattle Chambers of Commerce, Highline United Methodist Church, and Citizens to Save Puget Sound.

Jeanette Berleen was born on November 2, 1952. She attended Highline Community College and the University of Washington, where she received a Bachelors degree in Business Administration in 1976. Jeanette Berleen has experience in management, budgeting, appraisal, and working with people.

I plan to make the Assessor's Office more accessible, informative, and efficient. The office can be made more efficient by making property tax appeals' decisions good for more than just one year. Also, by assigning appraisal crews to specific areas, they will become more familiar with the neighborhoods they appraise.

The office can be more informative by letting people know about exemptions they are eligible for in a timely fashion. Then, people will not be taken advantage of by paying more than their fair share of property taxes. For example, taxpayers can get an exemption for added value of remodeling on single family residences, up to a limit, by filing a form with the Assessor's office. I will work with the building department of King County and all city building departments to have the proper forms automatically issued with all residential remodeling building permits.

Renters, you have a stake in property taxes. Your rent will probably increase by as much as any new taxes paid by the owner. The Assessor's office can be more informative by letting people know how much bonds and levies are going to increase property taxes, before they vote on them. Then the decision on whether or not to pass these issues is up to an even more informed electorate, both owners and renters.

The office of Assessor can be made more accessible by opening a public information counter at the existing Eastside Assessor's Office, and by working with libraries to have property tax information stations located in them.

You have a right to know about tax exemptions and why your property is appraised the way it is. As Assessor, I will make sure property is appraised fairly, and I will accommodate the needs of all citizens.

Thank you for your interest, concern, and your vote.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 48214, Seattle, WA 98148 PHONE NUMBER: 623-6063



**Ruthe  
RIDDER  
Democrat**

Ruthe Ridder is seeking reelection as King County Assessor. In 1983 she defeated three-term incumbent Harley Hoppe. Ruthe has received high marks during her first term. Headlines such as, *HERE'S AN ASSESSOR WHO HELPS THE TAXPAYER* (Seattle P.I. 2/23/86) have been typical.

Ruthe was born June 13, 1929; Bachelor's, Psychology, University of Washington; served 9 years State Senate, Chair Labor Committee, member Ways/Means, Rules and Legislative Budget Committees; Vice President Washington State Association of County Assessors, member Rainier Chamber of Commerce and League of Women Voters.

*Ruthe has won each election she's campaigned in.*

King County Assessor Ruthe Ridder keeps her word.

Four years ago, she promised to run an open, professional and non-political Department of Assessments. Ruthe Ridder has kept these promises and more.

Ruthe has quietly and efficiently brought fairness to the assessment process. Under Ruthe Ridder, the valuation process is handled by a professional staff without politics getting in the way. There are no more scandals. No private detectives following Department employees. Every property is treated equally.

In keeping her word to open the office, Ruthe has: *Initiated taxpayer assistance meetings throughout King County which have been very well attended. Over 3,000 taxpayers have received personal help in understanding their property tax system.*

*Distributed over 10,000 copies of "Tax Facts", a free booklet on Department programs, including information on how to obtain property tax exemptions and appeal property values.*

*Made it easy for the public to get information from the Assessor's Office on any property.*

*Made comparable sales data available on computer terminals.*

Ruthe has accomplished all of this while holding to a no growth budget. She looks to the next 4 years to sustain the role of your independent Assessor to protect and enhance property tax fairness.

Ruthe has reached out to property owners who need assistance and are eligible for property tax relief.

*She initiated a program of immediately informing taxpayers whose property has been damaged of their right to property tax relief.*

*She successfully sought legislation to increase interest paid to taxpayers who receive refunds.*

*She developed an aggressive outreach program to inform seniors, the disabled and home remodelers of tax-exemption programs.*

Ruthe's honesty and integrity have earned her enormous respect. On November 3rd, your continued support of Ruthe Ridder will keep the office open, accessible and fair.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 2369, Seattle, WA 98111 PHONE NUMBER: 467-6293



## King County Court of Appeals Div. 1, Dist. 1 Judge, Pos. 1

**Robert W.  
WINSOR  
(No Statement Submitted)**



**Paige  
MILLER**

Paige Miller practiced business law in Seattle for several years before joining the Seattle City Council staff as a legislative assistant and policy analyst. As President of the Queen Anne Community Council, Paige led in negotiating a cooperative agreement between the Port and nearby neighborhoods which permitted moderate expansion of Terminal 91 while protecting the neighborhoods from harmful impacts. Paige also served on the committee which developed the Port's long-range harbor plan.

Born January 5, 1949, Paige is a graduate of Brown University and Yale Law School. She and her husband Bruce Johnson, have two children, Marta and Winslow.

The Port of Seattle brings 80,000 jobs to King County. That is the Port's mission. Some of those jobs are on the waterfront, some are at SeaTac. Many more are related to Port activity. If the Port fails, we all suffer.


The Port needs effective new leadership. It needs someone who can listen, someone who can work with people, and someone who can get the job done. I began working on Port issues six years ago as a community leader, helping the Port and my neighborhood to resolve a bitter dispute over a proposed development project at Terminal 91. Later, I served on the committee that developed the Port's long-range strategy for the harbor's cargo-handling facilities. Through those experiences I learned the Port's business and worked with the people, inside and outside the Port, who are involved in its daily activities.

I want to use my knowledge of the Port and my experience in working with people in the community to help the Port work on some important problems.

The Port needs to be more open and accountable to the public. It needs to improve its customer service and customer relations or we will lose important customers to our competitors. The Port must be open and fair in hiring and contracting. And it must move forward with three important economic development projects - a cruiseship terminal, an international trade center and expanded moorage for the fishing fleet.

Also, the Port must take steps immediately to reduce the level of airplane noise in our neighborhoods. Noise can be reduced through enforcement of existing regulations, and through new incentives and regulations which push for the use of quieter, new-generation airplanes at SeaTac. The Port needs working, caring leadership. I ask for your vote on November 3rd.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 711 West Kinnear Place, Seattle, WA 98119 PHONE NUMBER: 281-8674



**George  
KARGIANIS**

George Kargianis, a successful businessperson and attorney (practicing 34 years), is a senior partner in his downtown Seattle law firm, and resides with his family in Bellevue where he served as Bellevue School Board President. Kargianis, former Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Chief Examiner, is an expert on maritime, transportation, and international trade issues, an appointed mediator, and experienced negotiator.

A native Washingtonian and University of Washington Law School graduate, Kargianis is a former Washington State Bar Association Governor, a fellow of the prestigious American College of Trial Lawyers, and sits on numerous arts and community boards and commissions.

I bring to the Port experience, knowledge and commitment to public service. Shortly, the Port will make a number of critical policy decisions which will affect our economy, neighborhoods, and quality of life for decades to come.

*FIRST* and foremost, the Port Commission must be a body capable of projecting a positive image. As a mediator, negotiator and consensus builder, I have the background to be the catalyst for harmony and consensus between the commission and staff.

*SECOND*, the Port must be a better neighbor, that means it has to be a better listener. *THIRD*, many of our neighborhoods, and rightfully so, are concerned about the noise from air traffic and Port facilities. The Port needs to ensure noise abatement policies are developed and utilized.

*FOURTH*, Fisherman's terminal is the home Port for our two billion dollar per year fishing industry. We must meet the needs of this industry with facilities, goods, and services. *FIFTH*, Northwest ports need to develop more interport cooperation on a regional basis to compete successfully against out of state ports.

*SIXTH*, we need to better market the Port. *SEVENTH*, the Port must continue to play a key role in the economic development of King County.

I am running because I believe I offer what the Port and our citizens need from a Commissioner: an experienced steady hand, a proven mediator and negotiator, an authority on issues of major concern to the Port, a successful business-person and a respected community leader. I have worked with and represented both business and labor.

If I can answer any questions please contact me at 4700 Columbia Center, Seattle 98104 or 624-5370. As your new Port Commissioner, I will work hard to accomplish the goals I've set out above. I would appreciate your vote. Thank you.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 47th Floor, Columbia Center, Seattle, WA 98104-7010 PHONE NUMBER: 624-5370



# City of Bellevue Council

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
1



**Don  
DAVIDSON**

Don, age 48, has lived in Bellevue since 1967 when he established his practice in family dentistry. Named 1987's "Citizen Dentist of the Year" by the Washington State Dental Association is one recognition of his vast community service which has included: Member, Bellevue City Council; Trustee, Overlake Hospital; Former President, Bellevue Chamber of Commerce; Coach, Boys' & Girls' Clubs; Commissioner, Boy Scouts; Fiscal Committee, Bellevue School District. Don, Sue and three children reside in Woodridge.

Finding solutions to challenges facing Bellevue has been the hallmark on Don Davidson's service as the incumbent member of the City Council in Position #1.

He has provided expertise to the Council in the area of Finance, and is well known for his independent thinking and reasoned approach to the making of policy to safeguard the high quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bellevue. He is accessible, open to new ideas, listens carefully to input from all sources, and makes decisions in a forthright manner in recognition of needs of his constituency, the citizens of Bellevue.

Don has provided leadership in the formation of the 5-Year Financial Forecast. He encouraged the development of the Management Performance Audit Program which will provide information for proper control of the Operating Budget. He continues in a leadership role in both the Capital Improvement Program Budget and Operational Budget process.

He supports the Neighborhood Traffic Control Program to make our neighborhood streets safer, and the Overlake Transportation Study to correct congestion at interstate 520 - 148th corridor.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 11201 S.E. 8th, #152, Bellevue, WA 98004 PHONE NUMBER: 455-4770**



**Jim  
KEEFFE**

34 year Bellevue resident; 14 continuous years' community service; City Council, 4 years, East Bellevue Community Council, 10 years (4 terms as chairman). Boeing: market/airline analyst, sales engineer, regional sales manager, C.A.D. Retired USAF officer, pilot, commander, staff officer. Aerial combat in Korea and Germany (WWII P.O.W.). USAF and R.O.T.C. instructor, 7 years; United Air Lines pilot; degrees from UCLA and BCC, honor society member; nominated for JC's TOYM award; married, 6 children.

Quality of life for the residents should be the Council's number one priority. My goals continue to be increased police service, residential neighborhood protection and enhancement, traffic solutions, additional bus transit, lean budgeting, (the rates of growth of the city's budget and staffing have far outstripped population growth), efficiency of city services, enforcement of city codes, completion of the State mandated elements of the Comprehensive Plan, a Code of Ethics for the council members, open city government conducted publicly (executive sessions are not mandated by state law), public referendums on big ticket items such as the Bellevue dam (2+ million spent to date), the proposed Supersonics arena and convention center (1/2 million spent to date), a partial moratorium on new downtown commercial and office construction until a workable solution to the city's traffic problems has been achieved, and a commuter tax to help pay for the enormous increases in services resulting from Bellevue's rapid growth.

I need your support to achieve these goals.

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
2



**Jean  
CARPENTER**

A public relations consultant with a Master's degree in Communication, Jean Carpenter has impressive credentials for City Council.

City of Bellevue and community experience includes: Park Board Commissioner; Human Services Advisory Committee; Government Structure Committee; Bond Issue Campaign Manager; Secretary, Bellevue Art Museum Board; Youth Eastside Services Board; Episcopal Diocesan and Parish Commissions; Somerset Community Association; Vice President, American Association of University Women.

Married, she and Scott have two children; Jean's birthdate is 1/29/45.

Whether we are businessmen and women concerned with economic vitality, or parents concerned with neighborhoods in which our children are growing up, or retirees concerned about safe, convenient amenities, we want to be a community that provides the best quality of life possible.

To succeed in this goal we must find ways to make our differences work for the good of all. How does this happen?

It comes from a shared commitment . . . a commitment to listen, a commitment to learn, and a commitment to reason; and, from our elected officials, a commitment to lead in an open and responsible manner.

Bellevue is at a crossroads. We love our small town qualities, but we're faced with large, urban challenges. Our City Council must lead by becoming more of a policy body, reinstating the committee system and concentrating on quality basic city services.

My primary concerns are: assuring affordable government (knowing full costs before we enter a project), preserving our unique character (city in a park meaning more than a slogan), and providing a responsive government.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 11034 S.E. 28th Place, Bellevue, WA 98004 PHONE NUMBER: 641-7265**



**Tom  
HANSEN**

A Seattle native, Tom Hansen grew up on Beacon Hill, graduated from Cleveland High School, and attended Washington State University.

After four years in the U.S. Navy Medical Corps, Tom spent ten years in sales and management in the surgical instrument field; then he joined the franchise industry in sales development, ownership, and consulting.

Tom has served the City of Bellevue as Mayor Pro-Tem and City Councilman for the last four years.

During four years as Bellevue's Mayor Pro-Tem and City Councilman, Tom Hansen has demonstrated the skills of an *effective elected official*. He is *responsive, open-minded, fair, and decisive*. With his leadership, the council has made large strides toward making Bellevue a "model city".

Tom led the effort to start the largest program of park acquisition and development in the city's history, a giant step towards the plan for a "city within a park".

In most of the hottest issues facing the city, Tom was put in the position of casting the swing vote and his decisions have proven to be on target and in the best interests of the entire community. Through his *independent* position, he represents the vast majority of hard-working, taxpaying, concerned Bellevue citizens.

Tom Hansen has shown the ability to study the facts and make decisions, *good decisions*. He has earned the citizens trust and confidence. Now, with four years of *experience*, he will continue to do a good job. Let's *re-elect Tom Hansen* to the Bellevue City Council.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 402, Bellevue, WA 98009 PHONE NUMBER: 881-3027**





**Terry  
LUKENS**

Terry Lukens was born on November 28, 1943, obtained his undergraduate degree from Brown University, and studied at the Columbia University Graduate School of Business. After service as a United States Air Force officer, he received his law degree from Rutgers University in 1973. Following a judicial clerkship with the Oregon Court of Appeals, he moved to Bellevue in 1974 and joined Karr, Tuttle law firm. He is in charge of the firm's Bellevue office.

For many years I have served Bellevue in youth, church, and charitable activities; in the leadership of the South Bellevue Citizens Advisory Committee; as a member of the city's Human Services Advisory Committee; and, currently, as chairman of the Bellevue Planning Commission. This community involvement has given me the opportunity to gain the needed skills, knowledge, and the right kind of experience to serve on the Bellevue City Council as our city continues to grow and mature.

As a member of your city council my priorities will be:

1. Create neighborhood forums to provide regular and meaningful citizen input into city decisions.
2. Provide a thoughtful council voice that respects all points of view on the tough issues facing Bellevue as it matures.
3. Manage traffic congestion by using the most innovative techniques now being developed while preventing spillover into residential areas.
4. Promote both a strong economy and quality residential neighborhoods.
5. Expand Bellevue's park system, especially waterfront parks, pedestrian trails, and playing fields.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 2543 103rd Avenue S.E., Bellevue, WA 98004 PHONE NUMBER : 455-4377



**Margo  
WAID**

Entrepreneur. Publisher of BelleVue. . . The Eastside Guide since 1976. Past professional experience: Press Aide, U.S. Congressman, Washington D.C.; Press Liaison, Alaska Court System; Capitol Hill Liaison for Westinghouse; Public Relations, Children's Hospital, D.C.; Speechwriter for U.S. Senators & Congressmen at Library of Congress, D.C.; Courts Consultant, Dept. of Architecture, University of Illinois. Education: High School Valedictorian; B.A. from University of Washington (Scholarship Recipient). Accepted U.W. Law School.

Naturally beautiful with an aware population, Bellevue can be a cosmopolitan city with beautiful architecture while maintaining its green, park-like setting.

As an entrepreneur successfully running a sole-proprietor magazine, I bring a flair for creative problem solving, meeting challenges cleverly with conservative fiscal policies and minimum staff. My multi-faceted experience in public relations, courts, health care and the legislature, provide a well-rounded background.

-I support a Convention Center (funded by the hotel room tax) for it will bring clean money into the area and provide additional tax revenue by increasing business in retail shops and provide entry level jobs for young people . . . without increasing traffic congestion. Downtown Bellevue needs more parking space. I support a performing arts center . . . all great civilizations have supported the arts . . . and most importantly: a world-class youth gym & activity center with a built-in drug and alcohol information and evaluation program; a public marina for visiting boats; affordable housing for families with young children (a certain % of 3+ bedroom units in multiple family dwellings).



**Don  
MacKENZIE**

Born in New York, August 12, 1929. Graduated, Civil Engineering, 1950, Dublin University. Employed by The Boeing Company as engineer since 1961.

Civic Activities include: Lake Hills Annexation Committee; I-90/Eastgate Subarea Plan Advisory Committee; Past President, Spiritridge Community Club; Past President, Bellevue Coalition of Community Clubs; Republican Precinct Committeeman/State Convention Delegate.

Organization memberships: Seattle Professional Engineering Employees Association (SPEEA); American Association of Retired Persons (AARP); Knights of Columbus; St. Louise Parish.

My platform for the next four years will be similar to that of my last four years on the Bellevue City Council: To represent ALL of the citizens of Bellevue.

A major concern of mine is that government must practice fiscal restraint and learn to operate within existing revenues without raising taxes.

The City of Bellevue's budget has increased by 50% over the last five years requiring increases in taxes and rates. I have opposed these increases and will continue to do so, where necessary, in the future.

Another concern of mine is the quality of public services. Our traffic problems continue to grow each year while our street system is deteriorating. This is the result of inadequate planning. The City needs a realistic plan for traffic circulation and a long-range plan for maintenance of our streets. These are goals I have pursued in the past four years and which are about to come to fruition.

We are privileged to live in a beautiful city and region.

Help me to keep it that way!

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 2553 156th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, WA 98008 PHONE NUMBER: 643-4840



**Richard  
RISING**

Richard Rising, born March 1, 1930, has lived in Bellevue since 1960. He graduated in Forest Management from the University of Washington.

Management experience includes General Manager of Roberts Rents Office Furniture in Bellevue (current), V.P./General Manager, Reichhold Chemicals and a Northwest Regional Manager, Simpson Timber Company.

Married 23 years with three children, he retired as a Captain in the Naval Reserve, after serving 30-years and commanding a NRF Destroyer Escort.

A deep interest in protecting Bellevue's quality of life, its people and future development draw me toward the Bellevue City Council. I believe this community deserves leadership with the drive, experience and integrity to make effective, impartial decisions. Involvement in the Eastside community expanded during the 1970's when my wife Nancy Rising served on the Bellevue City Council.

Currently, active in the Bellevue Chamber of Commerce, Bellevue Rotary and the Municipal League.

Issues: Expanded relationships with local governments regarding storm drainage, water supply, environmental protection, transportation and traffic resolution.

Excessive funding for vague studies of multi-purpose stadium/convention center facility. Studies should be focused and limited. The absolute necessity for the Bellevue City Council to reflect the same high qualities of the community.

Continued focus on Human Service programs responding to the decrease in Federal assistance, especially youth and seniors.

Increased cooperation between Council and Bellevue School District.

I am dedicated to issues involving quality. Quality of human spaces. Quality of neighborhoods. Quality of future directions.

Anything else is simply not enough!

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1822, Bellevue, WA 98009 PHONE NUMBER: 462-7368



# Proposed Annexation (Lower Juanita) to City of Kirkland

**LOCAL FOCUS:** Kirkland is a model city of stable neighborhoods, attractive business districts, extensive parks, waterfront trails, and public facilities. Kirklanders support a variety of community activities and participate on many City Boards and Commissions. This citizen participation, coupled with sound fiscal management, achieves a high level of services for low cost.

## Explanatory Statement:

### BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1  
PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF LOWER JUANITA  
AREA  
INTO THE CITY OF KIRKLAND

Shall the area of unincorporated King County, commonly known as Lower Juanita, contiguous to the City of Kirkland, as described in Section 2 of Resolution R-3348, adopted February 2, 1987 by the City of Kirkland, be annexed to the City of Kirkland?

General local government services, including police protection, are now provided by King County. If annexation is approved, these services would be provided by the City of Kirkland.

Presently, voters in Lower Juanita vote for one member of the County Council and County Executive. They would continue to do so following annexation; in addition, they would vote for all seven members of the Kirkland City Council.

Land use regulation and enforcement, including building permits and inspection, are currently under the jurisdiction of King County. Following annexation, these services would be provided by Kirkland. Annexation would not affect the legal status of existing developments nor present use (zoning) of land. New development or new land uses would be reviewed under Kirkland review processes, which provide, in many cases, more opportunity for public comment, than is available under King County review processes.

Services not affected by annexation include: Fire and emergency medical services, water, sewer, and garbage collection services. These services would continue to be provided by the entities now providing them. Fire protection service is provided by City of Kirkland, under contract with Fire District #41.

## Statement for

### Citizens:

After researching similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year as members of citizen study committees, we recommend Annexation NOW of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland.

Joining Kirkland gives citizens the opportunity to share in the benefits of a well-run city. The City of Kirkland offers personalized and local government with the advantages of a large city.

Kirkland's home rule gives citizens a chance to be heard and not lost in the bureaucracy of large government. Closer ties to elected officials and the city's staff significantly enhances the democratic process. Citizens can easily express themselves concerning issues involving use and future developments in their neighborhoods and include their plans in the growth of their neighborhoods. Annexation will unify the area and help provide for quality planning and development — a hallmark of the City of Kirkland.

Residents of the three areas currently share common geographical areas, parks, schools, senior center, shopping areas, entertainment, and Lake Washington's waterfront. The City of Kirkland enjoys better services and benefits than County residents — at a lower cost. City residents pay lower taxes for a house the same value as a county resident. The best example of better services is quicker response time by the police department — the response would be cut by more than half.

We recommend that all voters exercise their right to vote and vote YES to join the City of Kirkland NOW.

## Rebuttal of statement against

County Executive Tim Hill has proposed that federal and state funds currently assigned to projects in these annexation areas will be used by Kirkland for continuation of the projects.

City and County law enforcement officials have steadfastly maintained that police response time will significantly improve upon annexation.

The total tax bill on a home in Kirkland is less than in the County.

Consumer costs will not increase because an annual business license is only \$25.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

## Statement against

Millions of dollars in funding for current or scheduled public works and major road improvement projects, such as the new Interstate 405 interchange at Totem Lake and improvements to 100th Avenue NE and 116th Avenue NE, will be withdrawn by King County upon annexation. For Kirkland voters, the loss of such funding means either higher taxes or financing such improvements by approval of future bond issues.

What's more, police protection and response time to emergency calls will worsen over the next two years as Kirkland expands its forces to catch up to present needs.

Costs of residential and commercial construction will increase due to more restrictive development and inspection standards. Higher development costs mean higher mortgage payments for property owners and higher rental payments for tenants.

Property owners and renters will have an additional 5% added to their telephone and power bills for payment of a Kirkland utilities tax. Likewise, all businesses will be required to pay this Kirkland utilities tax, but at a higher 6.5% rate.

As an additional cost of doing business, all businesses would have to be licensed and pay an annual license fee to Kirkland. Increased costs of doing business mean higher consumer costs.

The cost of annexation is high. The voters will be asked to tax themselves with the pro rata assumption of outstanding bond debt of Kirkland (which currently exists), as well as for Kirkland's proposed \$28 million bond proposal for capital improvement programs, which does not benefit the annexed area. Vote NO.

## Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON

# Proposed Annexation (Lower Juanita) to City of Kirkland



## Explanatory Statement:

### BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 2  
ASSUMPTION OF INDEBTEDNESS  
LOWER JUANITA AREA

Shall all property within the area, upon annexation, be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as the property of the City of Kirkland is assessed and taxed to pay for the outstanding indebtedness of the City existing as of the date of annexation?

Requires 60% affirmative vote. If passed, newly annexed property would become (along with all properties already within Kirkland) subject to annual special tax levies to pay existing Kirkland general obligation (GO) debts.

The 1987 special tax levy totaled \$525,159 or \$4.65 per \$100,000 of assessed valuation. Primarily, GO debts of Kirkland are for construction of the Houghton Fire Station and acquisition of the Waverly Site, Juanita Bay Park, and other waterfront properties, all acquired between 1977 and 1985.

## Statement for

### Citizens:

As members of the citizen study committees who have examined the similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year, we feel that the annexation of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland will offer citizens numerous benefits. We also feel there are responsibilities a citizen of this fine city must accept.

Kirkland has at this time a small debt which is paid for by local taxes. Taxes paid by an equal valued household in the city are less than those paid by a household in the county. Kirkland's debt from recent bond issues is being paid off by those taxes. By becoming a part of the City of Kirkland, we should also be involved in payment of those debts.

In voting to join the City of Kirkland, there must be a separate vote by all registered voters to assume the city's debt. Even though the two issues — annexation and debt assumption — are not decided together, they are interrelated to joining the City of Kirkland.

Assumption of the debt and its division among 34,000 people versus 20,000 people would cause no increase in taxes. Even with debt assumption, the property taxes will be lower.

The cost of new improvements in the neighborhoods of the annexed areas would be assumed by all of Kirkland, not just the local neighborhood.

We recommend you exercise your right to vote and vote YES for joining Kirkland NOW.

## Rebuttal of statement against

Residents in the three proposed annexation areas are already using the services of the City of Kirkland — parks, senior center, streets, library, etc.

In all fairness, citizens of the city should share the bonded indebtedness. Even accepting the debt for these local improvements, taxes will be lower for all city residents.

## Statement against

The Kirkland bonded indebtedness that has been proposed by the Kirkland City Council, should not be accepted by the residents in the proposed annexation area. The extra assessment which would cost \$44.00 per year for a \$100,000 house, should be paid only by the residents of Kirkland themselves—who voted for the new Houghton fire station, the Waverly school site and the Juanita Bay Park. We should not tax ourselves with the pro-rata assumption of outstanding bond indebtedness of Kirkland, because it just isn't fair. The residents in newly annexed areas of Kirkland will need to pay for improvements in their areas—without paying for Kirkland's existing debt.

Say "No" to assuming Kirkland's old bills, and say "No" to assuming Kirkland's debt.

## Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON





# Proposed Annexation (N. Rose Hill) to City of Kirkland

## BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1  
PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF NORTH ROSE  
HILL AREA  
INTO THE CITY OF KIRKLAND

Shall the area of unincorporated King County, commonly known as North Rose Hill, contiguous to the City of Kirkland, as described in Section 2 of Resolution R-3349, adopted February 2, 1987 by the City of Kirkland, be annexed to the City of Kirkland?

## Explanatory Statement:

General local government services, including police protection, are now provided by King County. If annexation is approved, these services would be provided by the City of Kirkland.

Presently, voters in North Rose Hill vote for one member of the County Council and County Executive. They would continue to do so following annexation; in addition, they would vote for all seven members of the Kirkland City Council.

Land use regulation and enforcement, including building permits and inspection, are currently under the jurisdiction of King County. Following annexation, these services would be provided by Kirkland. Annexation would not affect the legal status of existing developments nor present use (zoning) of land. New development or new land uses would be reviewed under Kirkland review processes, which provide, in many cases, more opportunity for public comment, than is available under King County review processes.

Services not affected by annexation include: Fire and emergency medical services, water, sewer, and garbage collection services. These services would continue to be provided by the entities now providing them. Fire protection service is provided by City of Kirkland, under contract with Fire District #41.

## Statement for

Citizens:

After researching similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year as members of citizen study committees, we recommend Annexation NOW of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland.

Joining Kirkland gives citizens the opportunity to share in the benefits of a well-run city. The City of Kirkland offers personalized and local government with the advantages of a large city.

Kirkland's home rule gives citizens a chance to be heard and not lost in the bureaucracy of large government. Closer ties to elected officials and the city's staff significantly enhances the democratic process. Citizens can easily express themselves concerning issues involving use and future developments in their neighborhoods and include their plans in the growth of their neighborhoods. Annexation will unify the area and help provide for quality planning and development — a hallmark of the City of Kirkland.

Residents of the three areas currently share common geographical areas, parks, schools, senior center, shopping areas, entertainment, and Lake Washington's waterfront. The City of Kirkland enjoys better services and benefits than County residents — at a lower cost. City residents pay lower taxes for a house the same value as a county resident. The best example of better services is quicker response time by the police department — the response would be cut by more than half.

We recommend that all voters exercise their right to vote and vote YES to join the City of Kirkland NOW.

## Rebuttal of statement against

County Executive Tim Hill has proposed that federal and state funds currently assigned to projects in these annexation areas will be used by Kirkland for continuation of the projects.

City and County law enforcement officials have steadfastly maintained that police response time will significantly improve upon annexation.

The total tax bill on a home in Kirkland is less than in the County.

Consumer costs will not increase because an annual business license is only \$25.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

## Statement against

Millions of dollars in funding for current or scheduled public works and major road improvement projects, such as the new Interstate 405 interchange at Totem Lake and improvements to 100th Avenue NE and 116th Avenue NE, will be withdrawn by King County upon annexation. For Kirkland voters, the loss of such funding means either higher taxes or financing such improvements by approval of future bond issues.

What's more, police protection and response time to emergency calls will worsen over the next two years as Kirkland expands its forces to catch up to present needs.

Costs of residential and commercial construction will increase due to more restrictive development and inspection standards. Higher development costs mean higher mortgage payments for property owners and higher rental payments for tenants.

Property owners and renters will have an additional 5% added to their telephone and power bills for payment of a Kirkland utilities tax. Likewise, all businesses will be required to pay this Kirkland utilities tax, but at a higher 6.5% rate.

As an additional cost of doing business, all businesses would have to be licensed and pay an annual license fee to Kirkland. Increased costs of doing business mean higher consumer costs.

The cost of annexation is high. The voters will be asked to tax themselves with the pro rata assumption of outstanding bond debt of Kirkland (which currently exists), as well as for Kirkland's proposed \$28 million bond proposal for capital improvement programs, which does not benefit the annexed area. Vote NO.

## Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON

# Proposed Annexation (N. Rose Hill) to City of Kirkland



## Explanatory Statement:

### BALLOT TITLE

#### PROPOSITION NO. 2 ASSUMPTION OF INDEBTEDNESS

Shall all property within the area, upon annexation, be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as the property of the City of Kirkland is assessed and taxed to pay for the outstanding indebtedness of the City existing as of the date of annexation?

Requires 60% affirmative vote. If passed, newly annexed property would become (along with all properties already within Kirkland) subject to annual special tax levies to pay existing Kirkland general obligation (GO) debts.

The 1987 special tax levy totaled \$525,159 or \$4.65 per \$100,000 of assessed valuation. Primarily, GO debts of Kirkland are for construction of the Houghton Fire Station and acquisition of the Waverly Site, Juanita Bay Park, and other waterfront properties, all acquired between 1977 and 1985.

### Statement for

#### Citizens:

As members of the citizen study committees who have examined the similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year, we feel that the annexation of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland will offer citizens numerous benefits. We also feel there are responsibilities a citizen of this fine city must accept.

Kirkland has at this time a small debt which is paid for by local taxes. Taxes paid by an equal valued household in the city are less than those paid by a household in the county. Kirkland's debt from recent bond issues is being paid off by those taxes. By becoming a part of the City of Kirkland, we should also be involved in payment of those debts.

In voting to join the City of Kirkland, there must be a separate vote by all registered voters to assume the city's debt. Even though the two issues — annexation and debt assumption — are not decided together, they are interrelated to joining the City of Kirkland.

Assumption of the debt and its division among 34,000 people versus 20,000 people would cause no increase in taxes. Even with debt assumption, the property taxes will be lower.

The cost of new improvements in the neighborhoods of the annexed areas would be assumed by all of Kirkland, not just the local neighborhood.

We recommend you exercise your right to vote and vote YES for joining Kirkland NOW.

### Rebuttal of statement against

Residents in the three proposed annexation areas are already using the services of the City of Kirkland — parks, senior center, streets, library, etc.

In all fairness, citizens of the city should share the bonded indebtedness. Even accepting the debt for these local improvements, taxes will be lower for all city residents.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

### Statement against

The Kirkland bonded indebtedness that has been proposed by the Kirkland City Council, should not be accepted by the residents in the proposed annexation area. The extra assessment which would cost \$44.00 per year for a \$100,000 house, should be paid only by the residents of Kirkland themselves—who voted for the new Houghton fire station, the Waverly school site and the Juanita Bay Park. We should not tax ourselves with the pro-rata assumption of outstanding bond indebtedness of Kirkland, because it just isn't fair. The residents in newly annexed areas of Kirkland will need to pay for improvements in their areas—without paying for Kirkland's existing debt.

Say "No" to assuming Kirkland's old bills, and say "No" to assuming Kirkland's debt.

### Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON



# Proposed Annexation (S. Rose Hill) to City of Kirkland

## BALLOT TITLE

PROPOSITION NO. 1  
PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF SOUTH ROSE  
HILL AREA  
INTO THE CITY OF KIRKLAND

Shall the area of unincorporated King County, commonly known as South Rose Hill, contiguous to the City of Kirkland, as described in Section 2 of Resolution R-3350, adopted February 2, 1987 by the City of Kirkland, be annexed to the City of Kirkland?

## Explanatory Statement:

General local government services, including police protection, are now provided by King County. If annexation is approved, these services would be provided by the City of Kirkland.

Presently, voters in South Rose Hill vote for one member of the County Council and County Executive. They would continue to do so following annexation; in addition, they would vote for all seven members of the Kirkland City Council.

Land use regulation and enforcement, including building permits and inspection, are currently under the jurisdiction of King County. Following annexation, these services would be provided by Kirkland. Annexation would not affect the legal status of existing developments nor present use (zoning) of land. New development or new land uses would be reviewed under Kirkland review processes, which provide, in many cases, more opportunity for public comment, than is available under King County review processes.

Services not affected by annexation include: Fire and emergency medical services, water, sewer, and garbage collection services. These services would continue to be provided by the entities now providing them. Fire protection service is provided by City of Kirkland, under contract with Fire District #41.

## Statement for

Citizens:

After researching similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year as members of citizen study committees, we recommend Annexation NOW of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland.

Joining Kirkland gives citizens the opportunity to share in the benefits of a well-run city. The City of Kirkland offers personalized and local government with the advantages of a large city.

Kirkland's home rule gives citizens a chance to be heard and not lost in the bureaucracy of large government. Closer ties to elected officials and the city's staff significantly enhances the democratic process. Citizens can easily express themselves concerning issues involving use and future developments in their neighborhoods and include their plans in the growth of their neighborhoods. Annexation will unify the area and help provide for quality planning and development — a hallmark of the City of Kirkland.

Residents of the three areas currently share common geographical areas, parks, schools, senior center, shopping areas, entertainment, and Lake Washington's waterfront. The City of Kirkland enjoys better services and benefits than County residents — at a lower cost. City residents pay lower taxes for a house the same value as a county resident. The best example of better services is quicker response time by the police department — the response would be cut by more than half.

We recommend that all voters exercise their right to vote and vote YES to join the City of Kirkland NOW.

## Rebuttal of statement against

County Executive Tim Hill has proposed that federal and state funds currently assigned to projects in these annexation areas will be used by Kirkland for continuation of the projects.

City and County law enforcement officials have steadfastly maintained that police response time will significantly improve upon annexation.

The total tax bill on a home in Kirkland is less than in the County.

Consumer costs will not increase because an annual business license is only \$25.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

## Statement against

Millions of dollars in funding for current or scheduled public works and major road improvement projects, such as the new Interstate 405 interchange at Totem Lake and improvements to 100th Avenue NE and 116th Avenue NE, will be withdrawn by King County upon annexation. For Kirkland voters, the loss of such funding means either higher taxes or financing such improvements by approval of future bond issues.

What's more, police protection and response time to emergency calls will worsen over the next two years as Kirkland expands its forces to catch up to present needs.

Costs of residential and commercial construction will increase due to more restrictive development and inspection standards. Higher development costs mean higher mortgage payments for property owners and higher rental payments for tenants.

Property owners and renters will have an additional 5% added to their telephone and power bills for payment of a Kirkland utilities tax. Likewise, all businesses will be required to pay this Kirkland utilities tax, but at a higher 6.5% rate.

As an additional cost of doing business, all businesses would have to be licensed and pay an annual license fee to Kirkland. Increased costs of doing business mean higher consumer costs.

The cost of annexation is high. The voters will be asked to tax themselves with the pro rata assumption of outstanding bond debt of Kirkland (which currently exists), as well as for Kirkland's proposed \$28 million bond proposal for capital improvement programs, which does not benefit the annexed area. Vote NO.

## Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON



# Proposed Annexation (S. Rose Hill) to City of Kirkland



## Explanatory Statement:

### BALLOT TITLE

#### PROPOSITION NO. 2 ASSUMPTION OF INDEBTEDNESS

Shall all property within the area, upon annexation, be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as the property of the City of Kirkland is assessed and taxed to pay for the outstanding indebtedness of the City existing as of the date of annexation?

Requires 60% affirmative vote. If passed, newly annexed property would become (along with all properties already within Kirkland) subject to annual special tax levies to pay existing Kirkland general obligation (GO) debts.

The 1987 special tax levy totaled \$525,159 or \$4.65 per \$100,000 of assessed valuation. Primarily, GO debts of Kirkland are for construction of the Houghton Fire Station and acquisition of the Waverly Site, Juanita Bay Park, and other waterfront properties, all acquired between 1977 and 1985.

### Statement for

#### Citizens:

As members of the citizen study committees who have examined the similarities and differences of City of Kirkland and King County governments for nearly a year, we feel that the annexation of Lower Juanita, North Rose Hill and South Rose Hill to the City of Kirkland will offer citizens numerous benefits. We also feel there are responsibilities a citizen of this fine city must accept.

Kirkland has at this time a small debt which is paid for by local taxes. Taxes paid by an equal valued household in the city are less than those paid by a household in the county. Kirkland's debt from recent bond issues is being paid off by those taxes. By becoming a part of the City of Kirkland, we should also be involved in payment of those debts.

In voting to join the City of Kirkland, there must be a separate vote by all registered voters to assume the city's debt. Even though the two issues — annexation and debt assumption — are not decided together, they are interrelated to joining the City of Kirkland.

Assumption of the debt and its division among 34,000 people versus 20,000 people would cause no increase in taxes. Even with debt assumption, the property taxes will be lower.

The cost of new improvements in the neighborhoods of the annexed areas would be assumed by all of Kirkland, not just the local neighborhood.

We recommend you exercise your right to vote and vote YES for joining Kirkland NOW.

### Rebuttal of statement against

Residents in the three proposed annexation areas are already using the services of the City of Kirkland — parks, senior center, streets, library, etc.

In all fairness, citizens of the city should share the bonded indebtedness. Even accepting the debt for these local improvements, taxes will be lower for all city residents.

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: ROGER SALISBURY, MICHAEL RADCLIFF, SALLY HANSON

### Statement against

The Kirkland bonded indebtedness that has been proposed by the Kirkland City Council, should not be accepted by the residents in the proposed annexation area. The extra assessment which would cost \$44.00 per year for a \$100,000 house, should be paid only by the residents of Kirkland themselves—who voted for the new Houghton fire station, the Waverly school site and the Juanita Bay Park. We should not tax ourselves with the pro-rata assumption of outstanding bond indebtedness of Kirkland, because it just isn't fair. The residents in newly annexed areas of Kirkland will need to pay for improvements in their areas—without paying for Kirkland's existing debt.

Say "No" to assuming Kirkland's old bills, and say "No" to assuming Kirkland's debt.

### Rebuttal of statement for

STATEMENT PREPARED BY: MERLIN LANE, CHUCK TRAPP, ARNOLD HARTVIGSON




# City of Kirkland Council

**LOCAL FOCUS:** Kirkland is a model city of stable neighborhoods, attractive business districts, extensive parks, waterfront trails, and public facilities. Kirklanders support a variety of community activities and participate on many City Boards and Commissions. This citizen participation, coupled with sound fiscal management, achieves a high level of services for low cost.

Candidate did not submit a statement or photo.

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
1

**Jim  
HART**



**Randy  
BARTON**

Randy Barton is Vice-President Development of Northwest College, Kirkland, Washington, working in administration, fund raising, asset management and campus and resource development. He received his academic training at the United States Military Academy, Northwest College, Northwest Nazarene College and the University of Idaho. He has received degrees in Engineering - Math and Law and is a specialized tax and business attorney. Mr. Barton (Birthdate 4-9-53) and his wife Bobbie Jean have two children.

As a Kirkland City Council Member I would work aggressively to maintain the quality of life in our unique community. This commitment would include allocating funds to study traffic problems and implement solutions, establishing a non-profit Kirkland Foundation to serve as a tool to raise private sector contributions to fund parks, recreation and the arts, aggressively working with the Chamber of Commerce on issues affecting the business community and development of our Juanita and Waverly Park sites. My efforts in keeping the Seattle Seahawks in Kirkland, my service on the Houghton Community Council and my diverse background in law, business, taxation, administration, asset and resource management and non-profit fund raising qualifies me to receive your support for the Kirkland City Council. Together we can maintain that which has made Kirkland a unique community to live and raise our families.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS:** Suite 391, 15 Central Way, Kirkland, WA 98033 **PHONE NUMBER:** 822-0540

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
2



**Bob  
NEIR**

Birthdate 10-17-29; Currently Director-Market Development, Commercial Airplanes, Boeing; Grad U. of Michigan BBA '51; advanced studies Northwestern Univ; 2nd Lt. U.S. Army Ordnance, 33 years with Boeing/Previous assignments: 727 Program Manager, Deputy 747 Program Manager, & YC-14 Business Manager. Kirkland City Councilman (3 terms as mayor), Chairman - King County/Suburban Cities Housing; Community Development Council; member, Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle, currently serving as Chairman-METRO Transit Committee.

The next four years will be as challenging as any in Kirkland's history. Kirkland is maturing as a dynamic community - a place to live, work and play. Keeping those factors in balance is the challenge as I see it. The major efforts before us are as follows:

1. Following what I hope is a successful annexation election would be bringing in of these communities into Kirkland proper;
2. With the tour boat/harbor town concept, revitalizing downtown Kirkland;
3. Resolving a growing traffic problem, primarily commuter inspired, on Kirkland's north-south arterial system;
4. Creating a safer, more "citizen" oriented environment on Lake Washington Blvd;
5. Continue improvements to Juanita Bay Park and existing parks;
6. Continued improvements in the Totem Lake area to relieve auto congestion;
7. Continue to involve the citizenry in all matters affecting their community, in particular, those mentioned above.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS:** 6501 114th Avenue N.E., Kirkland, WA 98033 **PHONE NUMBER:** 822-1013

Candidate did not submit a statement or photo.

**Barbara A.  
PROFT-WILLIAMS**

# City of Kirkland Council



**Dave  
RUSSELL**

Professor and department chair, University of Washington. Born 1935, New Brunswick, Canada. Master of Science, PhD. (CalTech). Twenty-year Kirkland resident; 3 daughters attended Lake Washington Schools and University of Washington; co-owned Kirkland small business with wife. Kirkland service includes: member, Bicentennial Bond Issue Campaign; charter member, Moss Bay Celebration; chairman, Land Use Policy Plan Commission; chairman, Planning Commission; member, Development Code Commission; elected to City Council; member, Council Finance Committee.

Kirkland is a city of grace and charm, with a vital human scale. It has been well served by its citizens through its boards, commissions, and elected officials, and it has an excellent professional staff. With your help I will work to preserve and enhance Kirkland's liveability through: control of city-wide traffic; economic development that provides jobs and community focus; strict but fair land use codes; careful study of sensitive developments; future park and amenity planning; critical investments in human services; orderly expansion to Kirkland's inevitable boundaries; and a city government that reinforces pride and confidence in the community.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 4507 105th N.E., Kirkland, WA 98033 PHONE NUMBER: 822-5744

## UNOPPOSED

LOCAL FOCUS: Redmond is a city of 13.95 square miles with 30,260 residents and in excess of 22,000 jobs. Despite rapid growth, environmental protection has preserved the semi-rural and suburban character of the city. The city has a mayor/council form of government, 7 full service departments, with advisory boards and commissions.

# City of Redmond



**Doreen  
MARCHIONE**

Doreen Marchione is completing her first term as the Mayor of Redmond. She previously served on the City Council for 4 1/2 years. A graduate of Seattle University with a degree in Sociology, she received their Public Service Award in 1986. Currently Mayor Marchione is the President of the Suburban Cities Association and is active in King County 2000, United Way and the METRO Council. Born 11-06-38, Marchione is married and has four sons.

The challenge of managing growth while preserving the quality of life that residents expect is one reason I am seeking re-election as Mayor of Redmond. I enjoy the responsibility of administering the city and believe that my activities have resulted in the high level of governmental services that the people of Redmond deserve.

Under my direction we have developed the city's first Capital Improvement Program, established a per capita funding base for human services, completed long-range planning for water supply and are near completion of a comprehensive plan for Redmond's downtown.

We have the opportunity to make something good happen in our future. We can have comparison retail shopping, downtown redevelopment, improved roads, 60 acres of open space to be enjoyed by all our citizens, and sales tax revenues to support police, fire, park, and other citizen needs. My campaign theme is, "Let's keep a good thing going." I look forward to working with the people of Redmond to meet the challenges of our future.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 73, Redmond, WA 98073 PHONE NUMBER: 885-6131



**Fred  
MEITZER**

City Councilman Fred Meitzer. Born 8-30-31. Married 36 years to Northwest artist Frances; Redmond resident and Newport High School English teacher since 1966; former Assistant Professor of Speech and Director of Theater, University of Minnesota, Duluth; professional television experience, including writing and producing for KCTS, Seattle; Bachelor and Master of Arts degrees in Speech and English from Kent State; PhD. in Theater History, Dramatic Literature and Television Production from Ohio State.

In the next four years, Redmond city government should provide:

- a transportation system that prevents neighborhood streets from becoming arterials and allows for either safe and swift by-pass of downtown or easy access to it and rational movement within;
- leadership to develop an identity or focus that would integrate and unify the existing downtown without a regional shopping center on the golf course;
- incentives for property owners to merge interests and build facilities for new enterprises that will enhance that identity in order to attract people looking for restaurants, theatres, specialty shop courts like Gilman Village, exclusive shopping districts like Rodeo Drive, and free-standing department stores or a scaled-down shopping mall in the existing downtown;
- encouragement for people to live downtown by allowing multiple use development (like river-view senior apartments above the Ben Franklin store just two blocks from the new senior center) that would create additional income from underused sites and give downtown business a core of reliable customers.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 2788, Redmond, WA 98073-2788 PHONE NUMBER: 885-0152





# City of Redmond Council

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
  
1

**Arnold  
TOMAC**

Candidate did not submit a statement or photo.

**UNOPPOSED**

P  
O  
S  
I  
T  
I  
O  
N  
  
2



**Margaret  
DOMAN**

A Junior High teacher by profession, Margaret Doman has an extensive background in public and community service activities. Born in 1946, she has a Degree in History from Willamette University; has done graduate studies in Political Science, English and Education. Doman served on the Redmond Planning Commission and was elected to the City Council in 1983. She is also currently active in the Puget Sound Council of Governments and the Eastside Human Services Council.

I will continue to be an independent, analytical voice for community interests, willing to work with all groups. I will work to strengthen the City Council as an effective policy-setting body, emphasizing long range financial and community planning.

I believe that Redmond can be enhanced through managed, quality growth. Environmental quality and business need not conflict. Greenspace and aesthetics are vital to a successful community and therefore can facilitate an expanding tax base. Redmond should seek an expanded park and open space program.

Redmond's downtown needs to become economically viable for business, provide shopping convenience, and be a community focal point. I support a shopping center, provided it compliments the community as a whole. The *THOUGHTFUL* completion of a comprehensive Downtown Plan is mandatory for downtown re-vitalization.

The City has an increasing role to play in the planning and funding of human services. This is essential to a healthy community. Redmond has major traffic problems, and needs short and long range solutions. I will continue to work toward this goal.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 2104 180th Court N.E., Redmond, WA 98052 PHONE NUMBER: 747-5871

**UNOPPOSED**



**Christine T.  
HIMES**

Born-April 6, 1929 Washington, D.C. Presently employed Eddie Bauer, Redmond. Served as Mayor of Redmond, 1980-84, City Council 1977-79. In that capacity I was a voting member of Metro, President of Suburban Mayors. On Legislative Committee for Association of Washington Cities, appointed by Mayor Royer to the Finance, Administration Committee of National League of Cities. Received Golden Acorn award from Lake Washington School District PTSA. Attended University of Maryland. Married, 5 children, 7 grandchildren.

I love the City of Redmond and have worked in the community for over 20 years. Since serving as Redmond's Mayor from 1980-84 and before that, the City Council, I have first hand knowledge of our City. I chaired the Bicentennial for Redmond and put together the Historic Walking Tour of "Old Downtown". I would work to preserve the historical aspects of Redmond and blend them into the suburban and urban character of our rapidly growing city.

I have heard citizens saying they are concerned about traffic, their neighborhoods, the one way couplet, the size of our new shopping center, taxes, the integration of downtown businesses and other issues. I do not know all the answers to these complex problems, but I will listen to people and work for a consensus approach to solve these concerns. I have proven leadership and administrative skills, and offer knowledge and experience to the voters. My election will bring strength to the leadership of Redmond.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 17439 N.E. 116th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 PHONE NUMBER: 885-2679**



**Richard  
COLE**

Redmond residents since 1979, Nancy and I have four daughters. A CPA, I have extensive professional experience in all aspects of finance as well as small business and public safety backgrounds. I hold graduate degrees in finance and accounting. As a Planning Commissioner for four years and current chairman, I have demonstrated a commitment to make Redmond a better place to live. I have been active in several community and professional organizations. Born 4/24/41.

My record demonstrates a commitment to preserve residential neighborhoods and protect them from intrusion by businesses and large multi-family developments. As a councilmember, I will support policies that stop development which threatens existing single-family neighborhoods.

On the council, I will promote policies that enhance the viability of downtown. As a Planning Commissioner, I have worked to improve the business climate and guarantee development of a *QUALITY* shopping center. A thriving downtown provides the tax base and revenue that Redmond needs to maintain existing services. Your taxes *WILL NOT* increase because of the shopping center. As proposed it *WILL* provide revenues that substantially exceed the city's cost.

I proposed the concept that will result in half of the shopping center site being preserved as open space. Redmond will get a *FREE* 25 acre downtown park.

I believe with the completion of funded traffic improvements and new streets provided by developers, traffic will be manageable.

I will continue serving Redmond with positive leadership, dedicated to effectively managing your resources and preserving our quality of life.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 11205 165th Court N.E., Redmond, WA 98052 PHONE NUMBER: 881-2129**



**Bob  
ASHWORTH**

Born 1-9-45, real estate broker, graduated with BS Business. A business owner in downtown Redmond for 10 years. Active in various community groups such as, Sunrise Kiwanis, Jaycees, Redmond Baseball Assoc., Derby Days, Chamber of Commerce. Chaired such events as Haunted House, Trade show, Round Up, Etc. Broad based constituency.

The issues are so complex that 175 words does not give the opportunity to the voters to really know my position on any subject. I am available to meet with any citizen or group to discuss the needs of Redmond.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 16603 N.E. 108th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 PHONE NUMBER: 885-1771**



**Rosemarie  
IVES**

Redmond resident since 1980; husband, Jonathan; son, Gregory. Born and educated (B.A., M.S.) in Connecticut. Publicity Manager, Bothell downtown revitalization project; Money Management/Investments Instructor; former Public Relations/Information Officer and Consultant, Teacher.

Redmond Planning Commissioner, 1983-present (Chairperson, 1986-87; Economic Development Sub-Committee; Liaison, Redmond Chamber of Commerce); Precinct Caucus Training Workshops Coordinator; American Association of University Women National Public Policy Committee; Redmond High-Physio Control Partnership; PTSA.

Redmond needs a council that works hard because there's a lot of important work to be done. Taking tough stands on what is right and best for Redmond, applying knowledge and experience in assuring effective local government, demonstrating sensitivity and common sense, listening to ideas and concerns, and actively soliciting views—that's my commitment and pledge.

There are critical issues before the City of Redmond. The challenges of the future will demand flexible and creative responses. At the heart of each and every issue is planning—planning that masterfully guides Redmond's growth and maintains the quality of life and the level of services we have come to expect.

My candidacy is based upon a proven record as a planning commissioner that reflects sufficient study and understanding of issues, diverse and extensive experiences with both residential and business sectors, and the dedication to serve the people of Redmond.

You can contact me at 881-5587 or write to 16020 NE 98th Street.

**REMEMBER . . . YOUR VOICE AND VOTE COUNT WITH ME, WITH REDMOND!**

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 16020 N.E. 98th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 PHONE NUMBER: 881-5587**





# Bellevue School District No. 405

## Director

D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
  
1



**Malcolm (Mac)  
DOUGLAS**

Resident of Bellevue since 1960 and is 32 years old. Attended and graduated from Bellevue Schools. Douglas graduated from University of Puget Sound (BA, School of Business). Married with three children, he is employed as an insurance broker in Bellevue. He is also currently active as a board member and coach for the Bellevue Boys and Girls Club as well as a youth advisor and teacher at a local church.

The Bellevue School District has maintained a high level of educational opportunities for its students. With the District facing continued cutbacks in the general fund, I will strive to continue quality and continuity of education both inside and outside of the classroom.

Decentralization of decision making is in the early stages of implementation. I support the concepts of bringing decision making closer to the classroom, however, clear objectives as well as training for parents and staff must be used in the implementation of this program to achieve the District's goals of improved educational experiences for all students.

Bellevue has changed tremendously since I graduated from High School in 1973. The way we teach kids today has also changed. The Bellevue Schools must continue to find new and more effective ways to educate their youth in order to better prepare them for life in the 21st century.

Our schools are one of our community's most valuable resources. I plan to maintain the district's goals of excellence in education through parent, community and staff involvement.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 2425 91 PL. NE Bellevue, WA 98004 PHONE NUMBER: 453-1535

Candidate did not submit a statement or photo.

**Steven (Matt)  
GOLDBERG**

D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
  
2



**Phil  
NOBLE**

Born August 1, 1946. I graduated from Sammamish High School in Bellevue. I earned a degree in Business Administration and a law degree from the University of Washington. After a clerkship at the Washington Supreme Court and the U.S. District Court, I began a law practice at Helsell, Fetterman, Martin, Todd & Hokanson, where I am now a partner.

I am seeking reelection to the Bellevue School Board because Bellevue and its schools are important to me. I have lived here over 20 years. With two children in elementary school I have a vested interest in the quality of education we provide.

I was elected to the School Board four years ago. Previously, I served a year on the school district's fiscal committee, which studies and makes recommendations on financial matters. This background has given me a great deal of knowledge and experience concerning the problems and opportunities facing our schools.

Our district is in transition. It is studying and implementing new programs at the high school and elementary levels. The recently adopted middle school program is being restructured and the district is considering a new local-school management approach. New facility improvements are being planned. We continue to have declining enrollment and diminishing financial resources. Over the past four years I have studied and dealt with these and other issues. These matters will continue to demand thoughtful study and tough decisions.

Twenty-two years experience in education as a teacher (all grade levels), parent of 4 and volunteer. Served as unit president and levy/legislation chairman of PTA (20 year member). Served as chairman Parent Advisory Council for Bellevue's Chapter I program and on the 1986-1987 school closure citizens' committee.

Taught in 23 of 26 Bellevue schools, 1985-1987.

B.S. Education, Mankato State University. Graduate studies, Mankato State University, University of Washington, Seattle Pacific University.

My candidacy is based on the belief that our children deserve and must have the best education possible. Their future and the future of our community depend on this.

The biggest challenge ahead for Bellevue Schools will be providing quality education under the current state laws restricting educational funding. These are the primary issues that must be addressed, however, separately.

It will be necessary to work with our legislature to change our current state laws that limit the potential of Bellevue's educational process.

Increased communication and involvement between the school board and the community will be vital to providing higher educational standards meeting the demands of the future.

Decisions regularly put before our school board are increasingly critical and complex. Research, public input and careful thought must precede these decisions.

I intend to be a "hands-on" school board member. I am fortunate to be able to devote the time and effort necessary to be an effective director and will not lose sight of our primary responsibility of quality education.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 14206 NE 12 PL Bellevue, WA 98007 PHONE NUMBER: 643-7831

**Sheila R.  
FIX**

# Bellevue School District No. 405

## Director



D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
  
4



**Sherrie  
MILL**

Born July 22, 1947, I attended Seattle Public Schools. I graduated magna cum laude from the University of Washington earning a Bachelor of Science degree in dental hygiene and educational honors in Phi Beta Kappa and Mortar Board. My professional background includes teaching clinical dental hygiene at Shoreline Community College and the University of Washington. I currently work as a homemaker and part-time hygienist in a private dental practice.

My decision to pursue a position on the Bellevue School Board has evolved out of twelve years of volunteer work in the Bellevue schools. As a PTSA officer at my sons' schools, a Bellevue PTSA Council president coordinating the efforts of the district's twenty-three PTSA schools and a Bellevue Schools Foundation trustee administering the Small Grants Program, I have been involved with the educational concerns of students, parents, staff and the community. My volunteer responsibilities have exposed me to the various perspectives and issues I must consider as a member of the Board of Directors.

Among the issues I would like to pursue are: excellence and equity, improved communication with the school community, accountability, partnerships with business and government, funding and morale. My goal is to make careful, accurate and fair decisions, and I am willing to invest my time to achieve this goal. I believe that the education of our children is worth the investment.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 1619 168 Ave. NE Bellevue, WA 98008 PHONE NUMBER: 747-7485**

**UNOPPOSED**

# Lake Washington School District No. 414

## Director



D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
  
1



**Clare  
COCHRAN**

Clare (Downs) Cochran, married, mother of three children, attended Lake Washington Schools K-12, received a B.A. in Education from Seattle University, School Psychologist Credentials from Seattle Pacific University and a M.A. in Guidance and Counseling.

In addition to her classroom teaching experience K-8 in the Lake Washington Schools, Clare taught in gifted, special education and Head Start programs.

Clare is presently employed as a legislative assistant and community liaison to the King County Council.

A school board member has a responsibility to listen and to be available and responsive to the people he/she represents.

I believe my background and experiences have provided me a solid foundation for working with people and understanding representative government, making me uniquely qualified to serve as a member of the Lake Washington School Board.

It is a fundamental belief of mine that kids need and want to feel good about themselves. Schools should be places where students feel comfortable learning and growing from the people and experiences they find there.

I think children with potential learning problems could be identified at an earlier age. Our counseling program should be expanded into the primary grades in an effort to minimize failures and maximize successes for children.

Basically I believe that schools should be places where students feel confident and encouraged to stretch to new limits as human beings. Whatever I can do as a board member to contribute in a positive fashion to a child's school experience, I want to do.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 226 8th Ave. Kirkland, WA 98033 PHONE NUMBER: 823-4261**



**Carole  
GEORGE**

Carole George, 47, has an English degree from Northern Michigan University. She taught in North Carolina, Wisconsin and in Seattle from 1963-68.

Between 1968-1985, Carole taught at Finn Hill and Kamiakin Junior Highs and the Vo-Tech. She has served as PTSA Council Co-President and on local and District committees, Scholarship committee, the original "2001" Committee and the Drug and Alcohol Task Force.

Carole is married and has two sons attending Juanita High.

Service, commitment and involvement characterize my current record as your school board director. I have demonstrated vigor and dedication toward building and maintaining our district's excellent programs in basic skills, drug and alcohol education, and in areas which further develop teachers' instructional and curriculum skills. Frequent visits to schools and communication with people in the community have enabled me to become a solid link between our schools and this community. I strongly believe that schools belong to the people, and this has been demonstrated by my timely and caring responsiveness to your concerns, request and recommendations.

Upon election to your school board, I will continue to uphold and improve on the District's leadership position in the state. I will maintain a fundamental focus on developing the finest programs for our students, along with a commitment to expand and promote excellence and equity in curriculum, teacher competence and in safe and orderly classrooms. You can depend on me to face the issues diligently and to conscientiously carry out my duties as a board member.

**CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 6922 NE 124 ST Kirkland, WA 98034 PHONE NUMBER: 823-8047**





# Lake Washington School District No. 414

## Director

D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
4



**Bob  
HUGHES**

Bob Hughes has been a member of the Lake Washington School Board since 1978, serving as the Board President in 1984, 1986 and 1987. He is 46 years old, a graduate of Western Washington University, and, with his wife Linda, has two children and one grandchild. Bob has been with The Boeing Company for over twenty-three years and is currently a Boeing Computer Services manager, in the second year of a Washington Roundtable Loaned Executive assignment.

For the past nine years Bob Hughes has continued to be a hard working and committed school board member, dedicated to achieving quality education within the Lake Washington School District. Specific areas that he proposes to address during the next four years will include:

1. Keeping the school curriculum up-to-date with changes that are necessitated by society and by business expectations. It is important that we all assure that our children are able to fit into society in the most productive way possible. Additionally, it is up to each of us to prepare our children for the rapidly changing world that is ahead. Mr. Hughes will continue to ask for your guidance in telling the schools what to change and how fast to change it.

2. Making schools more effective through individualized caring for students. Educational research has clearly shown that each child's feeling of self worth is a key determinant in effective schooling (Reyes, 1984). Mr. Hughes will continue to offer educational leadership in placing a high priority on caring for individual students.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 7503 117 Ave. NE Kirkland, WA 98033 PHONE NUMBER: 822-2604

**UNOPPOSED**

D  
I  
S  
T  
R  
I  
C  
T  
5



**Catherine  
BOCK**

Catherine Bock, wife and mother of three, has served community needs for 17 years. Her volunteer activities include Evergreen Hospital, Cub Scouts, Multiple Sclerosis Society, crisis counseling, CAC ombudsman and precinct committeeman.

Catherine Bock received the Rockwell Elementary Golden Acorn award (1984).

Catherine Bock interned in Olympia with district Legislators on education committees (1987). Bock volunteers in local schools and works on district committees through the Citizen's Advisory Council to the Lake Washington School Board.

Catherine Bock will be a responsible and responsive member of the school board emphasizing her effective communications skills.

Catherine Bock stresses parental and citizen involvement, respect for alternative views and open board process.

Catherine Bock will encourage a positive environment where all views are respected and concerned citizens know that their input is important before decisions are made. Bock realizes that the "why" of decisions must be communicated to the community.

Catherine Bock will stress local control, believes in a constant vigilance to insure that school funds are used for the greatest educational advantage of students and feels that special levy funds should be used as voted by the community.

Catherine Bock will respect and listen to the concerns of all citizens in decision making, understanding that parents, teachers, board and administration must work together for effective education programs. Bock supports top-quality instruction offered to all students and believes that staffs must have ample opportunity to determine their own staff development needs.

Please vote for Catherine Bock.



**Joyce  
TREPUS**

Joyce Trepus is 48 years old, married and the mother of two sons. She graduated from Seattle Pacific College in 1960 and has continuously updated her education through seminars and various classes. As a teacher, parent, and a local community-school volunteer for many years, Joyce has visibly committed herself to quality education. Currently, Joyce Trepus is office manager for the family contracting business and is serving as a Lake Washington School District board director.

OPPORTUNITY, EXCELLENCE AND PARTNERSHIPS are hallmark beliefs I hold for our Lake Washington Schools. Active involvement in the district has shaped my hopes and actions for quality schools.

We must provide opportunity for all children to benefit from solid academic programs, especially in English, reading, science and mathematics. We must provide opportunity for adults to receive quality training in our vocational technical institute, and we must provide these opportunities to learn in schools free from drug and alcohol abuse, where positive discipline conditions exist.

I believe we have made significant strides in our quest for excellence by reducing class sizes. Excellence is also indicated by greatly improved test scores. Our students score among the highest in the nation, and all this is being achieved in classrooms where caring is demonstrated.

The partnership we have achieved includes listening and sensitivity to the concerns of parents. My aspirations are to improve that partnership between the schools, parents, community and school board. I am anxious to resume that quest this fall as one of your school board members.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 20816 NE Novelty Hill Rd Redmond, WA 98033 PHONE NUMBER: 868-1508



**Don  
WRIGHT**

Born April 7, 1947, in Seattle. Manager of the Water Supply and Treatment Department in the Bellevue Office of CH2M HILL. Graduate of Bothell High School. Received a B.S. degree in Civil Engineering and a M.S. in Sanitary Engineering from Washington State University. He is a Registered Professional Civil and Sanitary Engineer. Don is active in the American Water Works Association and is a Diplomate in the American Academy of Environmental Engineers.

Having grown up in Woodinville, I have seen the tremendous changes that have occurred in our community. This is a highly desirable place to work and raise a family, and the area will continue to grow. As a District Commissioner I have worked hard to meet the needs of both our existing and new customers. I have taken a businesslike approach to the utility, including use of technical and financial planning and performance evaluation. I have helped open the planning process by soliciting public input on many important issues.

The District currently faces important issues, such as planning for the region's future water supply, dealing with the tremendous cost impact of the sewerage projects currently planned by Metro, and re-evaluating the way government provides utility services. In addition, planning must continue to ensure the District's continued financial stability and to provide the utility improvements required to meet customer needs. As commissioner I will continue to provide the leadership to meet these challenges.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 15617 165th Place N.E., Woodinville, WA 98072 PHONE NUMBER: 483-5492

## UNOPPOSED

# Fire Protection District No. 36



**R. E. (Bob)  
WRIGHT**

Born in Wellsville, New York, 9-12-31. Employed by City of Seattle Engineering and Building Departments as an inspector. Previously owned commercial business for 10 years.

Attended Mount Herman, Mass. Prep School, Northwestern Louisiana University. Member of Phi Epsilon Kappa Honorary Fraternity. Holds a Certificate of Achievement in Building Trades Inspection from Seattle Community College.

Has resided in Woodinville for 8 years, served on Board of Fire Commissioners for 6 years.

In 1981, Bob Wright pledged to obtain 24 hour staffing, shorter response time and employee stability. We now staff 3 stations 24 hours, service 80% of the district in 4 minutes for aid calls and have increased our paid staff to 31.

2 new stations are completed, 1 is under construction, 1 is remodeled, 1 more is projected. All equipment is being updated and upgraded to meet modern firefighting standards. The educational level and proficiency of our firefighters and staff is among the finest for comparable jurisdictions.

As Chairperson of the board, the district has been guided to the use of computers, employment of professional administration, effective management methods and positive public image and awareness. The district now works very closely with adjacent jurisdictions.

With these items in mind, I believe that I am qualified to again serve you for ensuing years on the Commission. Therefore, I seek your support and your vote in the election, to continue to develop the goals requested by the community.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 17900 185 NE Woodinville, WA 98072 PHONE NUMBER: 788-1991



**Robert R. (Bob)  
MILLER**

Born August 6, 1945, married with two children. I have an Associate of Arts Degree, Bachelor of Arts Degree and two years graduate work. I am currently employed by the City of Seattle as a Fleet Manager Analyst. Previous employment areas include duties as a Park Ranger, Park Superintendent and Recreation Manager.

I attended U.S.C. Medical Center Emergency Medical Technician School and the L.A. County Sheriff's Academy Peace Officer Training Program.

I have strong backgrounds in management, supervision, budgeting, fiscal control, and public relations. I would bring experience in supervising staffs of over 180 people; preparing, administering and controlling budgets in excess of \$1.5 million.

The basic design and implementation of the Fire District #36 Master Plan is a good first step. Woodinville and Fire District #36 are entering a new and changing era with many challenges ahead. We need a commissioner who has proven leadership capacities, a strong background in budgeting and fiscal responsibility, and is keyed to respond and act positively toward the Fire District employees and the citizenry.

Issues needing immediate attention include: fire fighters' staffing levels, adequate water supplies in all district areas; changes in State legislation to improve fire protection capabilities; and improving communication within the Department and to the general population as a whole. If Woodinville incorporates, the Fire District commissioners will need to take a leading role in ensuring the citizens of the new City receive the fire protection services they need and expect the District to provide.

CAMPAIGN MAILING ADDRESS: 17522 156 Place NE Woodinville, WA 98072 PHONE NUMBER: 483-5219



## Public Hospital District No. 2 Commissioner, District No. 3

**LOCAL FOCUS:** The citizens of Bothell, Kenmore, Kirkland, Juanita, Redmond and Woodinville established King County Public Hospital District No. 2 to provide health services as are appropriate to the community's needs. Evergreen Hospital Medical Center and affiliated services (Surgi-center, Urgent Care, Care Network) exist to provide public access to high technology care with personal service.



**John  
PLOVIE**

Date of Birth: April 27, 1949. Occupation: Attorney. Education: 1971-B.S. Preventive Medicine, University of Washington; 1973-Masters of Health Administration, University of Washington; 1981-Juris Doctor, University of Puget Sound School of Law. Community involvement: 1984-1985-Community Council, Evergreen General Hospital; 1986-Marketing Committee, Evergreen General Hospital; Feb., 1987 to present-Commissioner, Public Hospital District No. 2 (Evergreen Hospital Medical Center); 1986, 1987-Chairman, Transportation Committee, Redmond Chamber of Commerce (two terms); 1987-Chairman, Finish 520 Task Force, Redmond Chamber of Commerce; 1983 to present-Member, Redmond Rotary Club (Rotarian of the Year, 1984-1985). Professional: 1981 to present-Member, Washington State Bar Association; 1983 to present-Member, Commercial Law League of America.

Health care has never been more complex than it is now. There is more competition, more insurance options and more health care choices. Slick advertisements for hospitals and insurance programs have made the choices even harder to make.

Yet, hospital care is still more costly than many can afford. Many do not have insurance or enough insurance. It is beyond the ability of any one hospital to solve all of these concerns. However, if each hospital contributes its fair share, then progress can be made.

If I am elected hospital commissioner, I pledge to find ways to serve our community health care needs in a more efficient, less costly manner. Evergreen is a good hospital with an excellent and very caring staff. It will take knowledgeable and concerned leadership for it to continue to meet our community needs in the future. I believe I have the skills, experience and community awareness to meet these challenges. Most of all, I am, like all of us, a health care consumer. When I am called upon to make decisions on policy at Evergreen, it is the needs of the consumer that will be most important to me. Sometimes that may mean making decisions that aren't popular with other hospitals or other health care providers. Nonetheless, that is a small price to pay to know that our families are well cared for when the need arises.

These are the things that are important to me. I have lived and worked in this community for many years. I believe that I can do a good job representing you as a hospital commissioner. If elected, I will do my best to represent you in this important position. I respectfully ask your support.

**UNOPPOSED**



# COMPLETE TEXT OF King County Proposition No. 1

AN ORDINANCE providing for the submission to the qualified electors of King County, at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general election on November 3, 1987, of a proposition seeking approval of the issuance by King County of not more than \$99,800,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds, the principal of and interest on which shall be payable from annual property tax levies in excess of regular property tax levies, maturing within a maximum term of 20 years, to finance certain capital improvement projects at Harborview and Pacific Medical Centers, and the Seattle-King County Health Department's Columbia, East, South, Southwest and Renton Clinics.

## PREAMBLE:

Harborview Medical Center ("Harborview") includes the only trauma center in a four-state region providing level one emergency patient care. It serves as the major regional facility for referrals of critical injuries including burn cases and spinal cord and head injuries. Annual emergency room patient visits have increased from 31,000 in 1982 to over 40,000 in the 12 months ended June 1987. Harborview plans an expansion and remodeling of its emergency trauma center to accommodate the increasing patient volume and to more efficiently locate emergency and other support services.

Currently nursing units with 92 beds for patients requiring acute nursing care are housed in a portion of the Harborview facility built in 1931. Harborview plans to relocate the nursing units to a modern facility to meet state regulations and to provide an efficient physical configuration for patient care.

All of Harborview's medical and surgical out-patient facilities are housed in aging facilities which lack adequate heating, ventilation and air conditioning and which contain inadequate clinic space which is inefficiently designed. Harborview intends to renovate its out-patient facilities to promote efficiency, increase capacity and meet contemporary standards.

Pacific Medical Center ("PMC") is public development authority which operates clinics in Seattle, Totem Lake, Renton and soon in Bellevue. Pursuant

to written agreements, PMC is the provider of specialty and diagnostic medical services for low-income and uninsured patients referred from King County and Seattle community health clinics. It is also the Regional Uniformed Services Treatment Facility for active duty and retired military personnel in King County under contract with the Department of Defense. Structural improvements to the PMC's Beacon Hill facility are necessary to meet the Seattle seismic and other building codes to allow the institution to continue fulfilling its major role in the King County public health care delivery system. The continuation of PMC's ability to contribute to the public health care system is important to King County's purposes to provide for the health and welfare of its citizens.

The non-profit community clinic known as the "Community Clinic System" has become the family doctor for more than 70,000 low income residents of King County. The Health Department plays a major role in planning, development and support of the community clinic system, as well as operating a network of health service centers throughout the county providing specific public health services focused on children, seniors and pregnant women. In order to meet the needs of the growing population, new facilities are needed immediately in the East side, South King County and in Southeast Seattle health department service areas. Furthermore, co-locating non-profit community clinics with these health department clinics provides the public both cost efficiencies and better access to comprehensive family services. The Renton and Southwest health department service centers require improvements to correct building deficiencies to promote their efficient operation. It is the intent of King County to issue unlimited tax general obligation bonds to finance the capital improvements and to submit this proposal for approval by the electorate.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

**SECTION 1.** "Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project," as used herein, shall mean:

A. Capital improvements to Harborview for the

purposes of expanding and consolidating its emergency trauma center and other key diagnostic and treatment departments, expanding, improving and relocating patient and visitor services, and modernizing, expanding and consolidating inpatient and out-patient facilities; and

B. Capital improvements to PMC for the purpose of making structural repairs and or additions which will bring its "Tower Building" on Beacon Hill into compliance with the City of Seattle's seismic and other building codes (the "PMC Project"); and

C. The following capital improvements to facilities operated or to be operated in whole or in part (as more specifically noted below) by the Health Department (collectively, the "Health Department Clinic Project"):

1. A new Southeast Seattle Community Health Center in Columbia City area intended to house the services of the Health Department's existing Columbia Health Center and which may also house (but shall not be required to do so) a co-located nonprofit community health clinic; and

2. A new East District Public Health Center in east King County intended to house the services of the Health Department's existing East District Public Health Center and which may also house (but shall not be required to do so) a co-located nonprofit community health clinic; and

3. A new health center in south King County intended to house the services of either the Health Department's existing Auburn Health Center or an additional Health Department health center, and, in either case, which may also house (but shall not be required to do so) a co-located nonprofit community health clinic; and

4. Expansion and remodeling of the Health Department's existing Renton Health Center; and

5. Roof repairs at the Health Department's existing Southwest Public Health Center.

**SECTION 2.** Subject to the approval of the qualified electors of King County, the county council hereby authorizes the issuance of unlimited tax general obligation bonds (the "Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$99,800,000 for the purpose of providing all or part of the

money necessary to pay the capital costs of the Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project. The term "capital costs," as used in the foregoing sentence, shall be construed consistently with the term "capital purposes" in Article VII, Section 2(b) of the Washington Constitution and R.C.W. 84.52.056, but, subject thereto, may include the costs of (i) property acquisition, site preparation and demolition; (ii) development, design, engineering, architecture, planning, financial, legal, relocation and other services lawfully incurred incident to the development of the components of the Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project and their financing; and (iii) construction, renovation, remodeling, equipping and furnishing of the components of the Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project and their financing, including its incidental costs and costs related to the sale and issuance of the Bonds; however, the term "capital costs" shall not include maintenance, operations or costs for replacement of equipment.

The maximum amount of principal proceeds of the Bonds to be made available to each component shall be as follows:

A. \$75,465,000 for the Harborview Project;

B. \$9,315,000 for the PMC Project; and

C. \$15,020,000 in the aggregate for the Health Department Clinic Projects.

The Bonds shall bear such date or dates; shall mature at such time or times not to exceed 20 years from the date of issuance thereof; shall be issued in such denominations; shall bear such terms, conditions and covenants; shall be in such form; shall bear interest at such fixed or variable rate or rates; shall bear such redemption and registration privileges; and shall be sold in such manner, at such time or times, in such amounts and at such price or prices as the county council shall hereafter determine by ordinance. The Bonds may be issued in one or more series, either separately or in combination with other authorized general obligation bonds of King County.

The Bonds shall be general obligations of King County and, unless paid from other sources, both the principal thereof and the interest thereon shall be payable from annual property tax levies, if authorized by the qualified electors as provided in section 5 of this ordinance, upon all taxable property within King County in excess of the regular prop-

erty tax levies without limitation as to rate or amount.

**SECTION 3.** Subject to the approval by the qualified electors of King County of the issuance of the Bonds for the purposes described in section 2 of this ordinance, the county council may, by ordinance, provide for the issuance of short-term obligations in anticipation of the issuance of the Bonds in accordance with the provisions of R.C.W. 39.50. The aggregate principal amount of all such short-term obligations and Bonds outstanding at any time shall not exceed \$99,800,000.

**SECTION 4.** No part of the Bonds or any short-term obligations in anticipation thereof, the proceeds of which are intended to finance the PMC Project, shall be issued or sold unless and until the county council shall have approved and authorized the execution of, and King County and the City of Seattle's Pacific Hospital Preservation and Development Authority have entered into, an appropriate interlocal cooperation agreement defining the rights and duties of the respective parties with respect to the accomplishment of the PMC Project and the use of the proceeds of Bonds or short-term obligations in anticipation thereof.

**SECTION 5.** The county council finds that an urgent need exists for the Regional Health Care Capital Improvements Project and declares that an emergency exists requiring submission to the qualified electors of King County of a proposition authorizing the issuance of the Bonds for the purposes described in section 2 of this ordinance at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general municipal election to be held on November 3, 1987.

The King County manager of records and elections as *ex officio* supervisor of elections is hereby requested to find the existence of such emergency pursuant to R.C.W. 29.13, and is requested to assume jurisdiction of and to call and conduct a special election and to submit to the qualified electors of King County the proposition set forth below. The clerk of the council is hereby authorized and directed to certify said proposition to the King County manager of records and elections in substantially the following form, with such additions, deletions or modifications as may be required by the King County prosecutor:

KING COUNTY  
REGIONAL HEALTH CARE  
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS  
PROPOSITION NO. 1

Shall King County, to finance Harborview Medical Center's trauma center and certain capital improvements at Harborview and Pacific Medical Centers, and the Health Department's Columbia, East, South, Southwest and Renton Clinics, as provided in King County Ordinance 8196, issue up to \$99,800,000 of unlimited tax general obligation bonds with a maximum term of 20 years, on which principal and interest shall be payable from annual property tax levies in excess of regular property tax levies?

BONDS, YES  
BONDS, NO

Certification of such proposition by the clerk of the council to the King County manager of records and elections, in accordance with law prior to the date of such election on November 3, 1987, and any other act consistent with the authority of and prior to the effective date of this ordinance, are hereby ratified and confirmed.

**SECTION 6.** If any one or more of the provisions of this ordinance shall be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this ordinance, the Bonds or any short-term obligations issued in anticipation thereof, and this ordinance, the Bonds and any short-term obligations issued in anticipation thereof shall be construed and enforced as if such unconstitutional or invalid provision had not been contained herein.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 10th day of August, 1987.

PASSED this 24th day of August, 1987.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Gary Grant (signed)  
Chair

ATTEST:  
Dorothy M. Owens (signed)  
Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 3rd day of September, 1987.

Tim Hill  
King County Executive

# COMPLETE TEXT OF Proposed Annexation to City of Kirkland (Lower Juanita) Prop. No. 1 & 2

## ORDINANCE NO. 8192

AN ORDINANCE establishing the date of an election on the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland of an area known as Lower Juanita.

### STATEMENT OF FACT:

The City Council of the City of Kirkland, Washington, by Resolution No. R-3348 has resolved that the best interest, and general welfare of the City of Kirkland would be served by the annexation of contiguous unincorporated territory known as Lower Juanita as hereafter described in accordance with R.C.W. 35A.14.015.

Said resolution does satisfy the provisions of Chapter 35.13, R.C.W. for qualification for annexation by election.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

**SECTION 1.** A special election shall be held on the 3rd day of November, 1987, in accordance with R.C.W. 35.13.070 within the territory of the proposed annexation as herein described, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors within said territory, the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland.

**SECTION 2.** The number of registered voters within the subject area is as nearly as may be 3,282.

**SECTION 3.** The following questions, including the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland shall be submitted at the election herein called at such time as said election is scheduled pursuant to the provisions of RCW 35A.14.050. et seq:

A. Shall the area of unincorporated King County, commonly known as Lower Juanita, contiguous to the City of Kirkland, as described in Section 2 of Resolution R-3348, adopted February 2, 1987 by the City of Kirkland, be annexed to the City of Kirkland?

Yes  
No

B. Shall all property within the area, upon annexation, be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as the property of the City of Kirkland is assessed and taxed to pay for the outstanding indebtedness of the City existing as of the date of annexation?

Yes  
No

**SECTION 4.** The

boundaries of the territory proposed for annexation are hereby described as follows:

Beginning at the NW corner of Section 32 Township 26 Range 5 EWM, and the true point of beginning;

Thence easterly along the northern boundary of said section 3,794.83 feet more or less to a point on a line that is parallel to and 157 feet west of the east boundary of the west 1/2 of SE 1/4 of Section 29 Township 26 Range 5 EWM;

Thence northerly along said parallel line 437.90 feet more or less;

Thence westerly and perpendicular 100 feet to the east boundary of the plat of Maple Wood Lane;

Thence northerly along the eastern boundary of said plat to the NE corner thereof;

Thence westerly along the northern boundary of said plat to the eastern boundary of the plat Shar-Lane, Division 4;

Thence northerly along the eastern boundary of said subdivision to the NE corner thereof;

Thence along the north boundary of said subdivision to the SE corner of the plat of Manor Heights;

Thence northerly along the eastern boundary of said subdivision to the SE corner of Tract A;

Thence N 88° 32' 48" W 91 feet;

Thence N 53° 03' 19" W 477.52 feet;

Thence N 58° 36' 30" W 204.61 feet to west boundary of the SE 1/4 of said section;

Thence northerly along said boundary and west boundary of the NE 1/4 of said section to the north margin of NE 128th street right-of-way. Thence easterly along said north margin to the west boundary of the east 1/2 of the E 1/2 of the NE 1/4 of said section.

Thence southerly along said boundary to the NW corner of the SE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of said 1/4 section.

Thence easterly along the North boundary of said subdivision of said section to the east boundary thereof and the centerline of 116th Avenue NE right-of-way.

Thence northerly and northwesterly along said centerline to the intersection of the extension of the south margin of NE 132nd Street right-of-way;

Thence westerly along said southern margin and the

southern margin of the NE 131st Way right-of-way, to the intersection of the west half of the east half of Section 30 Township 26 Range 5 EWM;

Thence southerly along said west line, and east margin of the 92nd Avenue NE right-of-way extended to its intersection with the southern margin of Juanita Drive NE right-of-way and the northern boundary of the Juanita Bay Condominiums;

Thence westerly along said northern boundary to the NW corner of said subdivision;

Thence southerly and southeasterly along the western boundary of said subdivision extended to the intersection with the outer harbor line;

Thence southeasterly along said outer harbor line to a point of intersection with the westerly projection of the southern boundary of lot 21B of Court Commissioners Plat, as recorded in volume 27, page 35 1/2 of King County plats;

Thence easterly along said projection to the inner harbor line.

Thence northeasterly

and northwesterly along the inner harbor line and the western boundaries of said plat to the northwest corner of lot 11B of said plat.

Thence northeasterly and easterly along the northern boundaries and projections of lots 11B, 11A, and 11 of said plat to the intersection with the west boundary of Section 32 Township 26 Range 5 EWM;

Thence northerly along said boundary to the NW corner of said section and the true point of beginning.

**SECTION 5.** The notice of election shall be published as required by law.

**INTRODUCED AND READ** for the first time this 18th day of May, 1987.

**PASSED** this 24th day of August, 1987.

**KING COUNTY COUNCIL**  
**KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**

Gary Grant (Signed)  
Chairman  
ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Owens (Signed)  
Clerk of the Council

**APPROVED** this 3rd day of September, 1987.

Tim Hill (Signed)  
King County Executive

ness of the City existing as of the date of annexation?

Yes

No

**SECTION 4.** The boundaries of the territory proposed for annexation are hereby described as follows:

Beginning at the N.E. corner of Lot #7, Block 65, Burke and Farrar's Kirkland Addition, Division 21 as recorded in volume 20, page 57 of plats, King County, Washington, said point is located on the westerly margin of the 132nd Avenue N.E. right-of-way, and said point being the true point of beginning;

Thence southerly along said margin 255.05 feet more or less to the Northern right-of-way margin of SR 980 (132nd Avenue N.E. Sta. 10+75);

Thence easterly along said right-of-way margin 60 feet more or less to the easterly margin of 132nd Avenue N.E.;

Thence northerly along said margin and continuing along the easterly margin of Seattle Water Department Eastside Supply Line right-of-way to its intersection with the south margin of NE 124th Street;

Thence westerly along said right-of-way to the northerly tangent point of the southerly margin of said right-of-way with the westerly margin of the Slater Avenue N.E. right-of-way;

Thence northwesterly 60 feet and perpendicular to the centerline of the NE 124th Street right-of-way to the northerly margin of said right-of-way;

Thence S 69° 26' 36" W to its point of intersection with the west boundary of the east half of the S.E. quarter of S 28 T 26 R 5 EWM;

Thence southerly along said boundary to its intersection with the northerly margin of the Slater Avenue NE right-of-way;

Thence southwesterly and southerly along said margin 1,340 feet more or less to a point of intersection with the southeast corner of that area annexed by Kirkland Ordinance 2554 in the S.W. quarter of the S.E. quarter of said section;

Thence S 89° 08' 54" W 122.68 feet;

Thence N 87° 09' 26" W 617.97 feet more or less to the centerline of the 124th Avenue N.E. right-of-way;

Thence southerly along said centerline to the southeast corner of the SW quarter of S 28 T 26 R 5 EWM;

Thence westerly along the southern boundary of said section to its point of intersection with the old westerly margin of P.S.H. No. 1 (Sign Route 405) and existing city limits of

Kirkland;

Thence southerly along said margin to the northerly margin of the N.E. 90th Street right-of-way;

Thence easterly along said margin to its intersection with the western margin of 120th Avenue N.E.;

Thence northerly along said margin to the southerly margin of N.E. 92nd St. projected westerly;

Thence easterly along said projection and margin to the N.E. corner of lot 5 of Block 41, Burke and Farrar's Kirkland Addition, Division No. 14;

Thence southerly along the east boundary of lots 5 and 10 of said block to the south boundary of said block;

Thence easterly along said boundary extended to the S.W. corner of block 40 and the east margin of the 124th Avenue N.E. right-of-way;

Thence southerly along said margin to the S.W. corner of lot 6, block 15, Burke and Farrar's Kirkland Addition, Division #6;

Thence easterly along the southern boundary of lot 6 to the S.E. corner thereof;

Thence northerly along the east boundary of said lot to the N.E. Corner thereof;

Thence easterly along the north boundary of lot 17 of said block to the westerly margin of the 126th Avenue N.E. right-of-way;

Thence southerly along said margin to the NE corner of lot 13 of said block;

Thence easterly across said right-of-way to the NW corner of lot 10, block 14 of said subdivision;

Thence easterly along the north boundary of lots 10 and 13 of said block to the west margin of 128th Avenue NE;

Thence southerly 50 feet more or less to the NE corner of the south 50 feet of lot 13 of said block;

Thence easterly across said right-of-way to the SW corner of lot 2, block 65, Burke and Farrar's Kirkland Addition, Division 21;

Thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said lot to the SE corner thereof;

Thence northerly along the east boundary of said lot to the NE corner thereof;

Thence easterly along the southern boundaries of the plat of La Rose Park, and lot 8, block 65, of Burke and Farrar's Kirkland Addition, Division 21, to the western margin of 132nd Avenue NE right-of-way, and true point of beginning.

**SECTION 5.** The notice of election shall be published as required by law.

**INTRODUCED AND READ** for the first time this

# COMPLETE TEXT OF Proposed Annexation to City of Kirkland (N. Rose Hill) Prop. No. 1 & 2

## ORDINANCE 8199

AN ORDINANCE establishing the date of an election on the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland of an area known as North Rose Hill.

### STATEMENT OF FACT:

The City Council of the City of Kirkland, Washington, by Resolution No. R-3349 has resolved that the best interest, and general welfare of the City of Kirkland would be served by the annexation of contiguous unincorporated territory known as North Rose Hill as hereafter described in accordance with R.C.W. 35A.14.015.

Said resolution does satisfy the provisions of Chapter 35.13, R.C.W. for qualification for annexation by election.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

**SECTION 1.** A special election shall be held on the 3rd day of November, 1987, in accordance with R.C.W. 35.13.070 within the territory of the proposed annexation as herein described, for the purpose of submitting to

the qualified electors within said territory, the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland.

**SECTION 2.** The number of registered voters within the subject area is as nearly as may be 1,974.

**SECTION 3.** The following questions, including the question of annexation to the City of Kirkland shall be submitted at the election herein called at such time as said election is scheduled pursuant to the provisions of RCW 35A.14.050. et seq:

A. Shall the area of unincorporated King County, commonly known as North Rose Hill, contiguous to the City of Kirkland, as described in Section 2 of Resolution R-3349, adopted February 2, 1987 by the City of Kirkland, be annexed to the City of Kirkland?

Yes  
No

B. Shall all property within the area, upon annexation, be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as the property of the City of Kirkland is assessed and taxed to pay for the outstanding indebted-



18th day of May, 1987.

PASSED this 31st day of August, 1987.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASH-  
INGTON

Gary Grant (Signed)  
Chairman

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Owens (Signed)  
Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 1st day of September, 1987.

Tim Hill (Signed)  
King County Executive

## COMPLETE TEXT OF Pro- posed Annexation to City of Kirkland (S. Rose Hill) Prop. No. 1 & 2

### ORDINANCE 8193

AN ORDINANCE est-  
ablishing the date of an  
election on the question of  
annexation to the City of  
Kirkland of an area known as  
South Rose Hill.

### STATEMENT OF FACT:

The City Council of the  
City of Kirkland, Washing-  
ton, by Resolution No. R-  
3350 has resolved that the  
best interest, and general  
welfare of the City of  
Kirkland would be served by  
the annexation of contig-  
uous unincorporated territory  
known as South Rose Hill as  
hereafter described in ac-  
cordance with R.C.W.  
35A.14.015.

Said resolution does  
satisfy the provisions of  
Chapter 35.13. R.C.W. for  
qualification for annexation  
by election.

BE IT ORDAINED BY  
THE COUNCIL OF KING  
COUNTY:

**SECTION 1.** A special  
election shall be held on the  
3rd day of November, 1987,  
in accordance with R.C.W.  
35.13.070 within the terri-  
tory of the proposed annexa-  
tion as herein described, for  
the purpose of submitting to  
the qualified electors within  
said territory, the question of  
annexation to the City of  
Kirkland.

**SECTION 2.** The num-  
ber of registered voters  
within the subject area is as  
nearly as may be 1,475.

**SECTION 3.** The fol-  
lowing questions, including  
the question of annexation  
to the City of Kirkland shall  
be submitted at the election  
herein called at such time as  
said election is scheduled  
pursuant to the provisions of  
RCW 35A.14.050. et seq:

A. Shall the area of  
unincorporated King  
County, commonly known  
as South Rose Hill, contig-

uous to the City of Kirkland, as  
described in Section 2 of  
Resolution R-3350, adopted  
February 2, 1987 by the City  
of Kirkland, be annexed to  
the City of Kirkland?  
Yes  
No

B. Shall all property  
within the area, upon an-  
nexation, be assessed and  
taxed at the same rate and on  
the same basis as the prop-  
erty of the City of Kirkland is  
assessed and taxed to pay for  
the outstanding indebted-  
ness of the City existing as of  
the date of annexation?  
Yes  
No

**SECTION 4.** The  
boundaries of the territory  
proposed for annexation are  
hereby described as follows:

Beginning at the S.E.  
corner of the S.W. quarter of  
Section 9, Township 25  
Range 5 EWM;

Thence North along the  
East line of said S.W. quarter  
30 feet to the northerly mar-  
gin of N.E. 60th Street right-  
of-way and the true point of  
beginning;

Thence easterly along  
said right-of-way margin to  
the N.E. corner of that area  
annexed to the City of  
Kirkland by Ordinance No.  
2960;

Thence S 1° 57' 43" W  
60 feet more or less to the  
Southerly right-of-way mar-  
gin;

Thence S 88° 46' 52" E  
along said southerly right-of-  
way margin to the westerly  
margin of the 132nd Avenue  
N.E. right-of-way;

Thence northerly 30  
feet more or less to the south-

ern boundary of Section 9  
and the N.W. corner of that  
area annexed to Bellevue by  
Ordinance No. 1121;

Thence easterly along  
said city limits 60 feet more  
or less to the easterly margin  
of the 132nd Avenue N.E.  
right-of-way;

Thence northerly along  
said easterly right-of-way  
margin to the northerly  
boundary of State Route 908/  
N.E. 85th Street (132nd  
Avenue Station 10+75);

Thence westerly 60 feet  
more or less along the north  
boundary of SR 908 N.E.  
85th Street margin to the  
westerly margin of the  
132nd Avenue N.E. right-of-  
way;

Thence northerly along  
said margin 255.05 feet  
more or less to the N.E. cor-  
ner of Lot #7, Block 65,  
Burke and Farrar's Kirkland  
Addition, Division 21;

Thence S 89° 42' 22" W  
655.86 feet more or less to  
the N.E. corner of Lot 2 of  
said subdivision;

Thence 150.02 feet  
more or less along the east-  
erly boundary of said lot to  
the S.E. corner of said lot;

Thence westerly along  
the southerly boundary of  
said lot and its projection to  
the westerly margin of the  
128th Avenue N.E. right-of-  
way 718.85 feet more or  
less;

Thence northerly along  
said margin 50 feet more or  
less to the N.E. corner of Lot  
13 of Block 14 of Burke &  
Farrar's Kirkland Addition,  
Division 6;

Thence S 86° 47' 58" W  
along the northerly bound-

ary of lots 10 and 13 of said  
subdivision and its projec-  
tion to the westerly margin of  
the 126th Avenue N.E. right-  
of-way;

Thence southerly along  
said right-of-way margin  
630 feet more or less to a  
point 50 feet north of the  
southern boundary of lot 25,  
block 12 of said subdivision;

Thence westerly along a  
line lying parallel with and  
50 feet north of the southern  
boundary of Lot 25 of said  
block 370 feet to the eastern  
boundary of lot 4 of said  
block;

Thence southerly 50  
feet along said easterly  
boundary to the S.E. corner  
of said lot 4;

Thence westerly 365  
feet more or less along the  
southerly boundary of said  
lot to the S.W. corner of said  
lot, said corner lies on the  
easterly margin of the 124th  
Avenue N.E. right-of-way;

Thence southerly along  
said right-of-way margin  
1,057 feet more or less ex-  
tended to the south margin of  
the N.E. 80th Street right-of-  
way;

Thence westerly along  
said south margin to its point  
of intersection with the east-  
erly boundary of the west  
half of the N.W. 1/4 of the  
N.W. 1/4 of S 9 T 25 R 5  
EWM;

Thence south along said  
boundary to the centerline of  
N.E. 75th Street right-of-  
way;

Thence westerly along  
said centerline extended to  
the point of intersection with  
the westerly margin of the  
116th Avenue N.E. right-of-

way;  
Thence southerly along  
said margin 745 feet more or  
less to a point of intersection  
with the City Limits of  
Kirkland as established by  
Ordinance No. 2484;

Thence along said City  
Limits to the east margin of  
the 116th Avenue N.E. right-  
of-way;

Thence continuing east-  
erly and southerly along the  
City Limits established by  
said Ordinance No. 2484 to  
its intersection with the cen-  
terline of State Aid Road #4;

Thence southeasterly  
and easterly along said cen-  
terline to its point of intersec-  
tion with the centerline of  
the 124th Avenue N.E. right-  
of-way;

Thence southerly along  
the west margin of the SE  
quarter of S 9 T 25 R 5 to the  
northerly margin of the N.E.  
60th Street right-of-way and  
the true point of beginning.

**SECTION 5.** The notice  
of election shall be pub-  
lished as required by law.

**INTRODUCED AND  
READ** for the first time this  
18th day of May, 1987.

PASSED this 24th day of  
August, 1987.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASH-  
INGTON

Gary Grant (Signed)  
Chairman

ATTEST:  
Dorothy M. Owens  
Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 3rd  
day of September, 1987.

Tim Hill (Signed)  
King County Executive





---

## For Your Information...

Washington territory became a state in 1889. For nearly 100 years our state has been a leader in supporting citizen rights, populism and education for all. In 1914 Washington State produced its first voters' pamphlet presenting state issues. In 1985 the Washington State Legislature granted the same opportunity to local governments.

This pamphlet represents the first co-production between the state of Washington and a county, allowing residents of King County to receive in one publication issues and candidates ranging from the state level to the smallest taxing district.

State law requires that local legislation be adopted to allow publication of a pamphlet. In June 1987 the King County Council and the County Executive signed into law the enabling legislation and funding. Records and Elections Division was given the responsibility of implementing the pamphlet. Rules for participating were adopted with the help of the cities and towns, the Municipal League, the League of Women Voters, Common Cause, and the Republican and Democratic Parties.

Each city, town, or district must pay the cost of participation for its candidates and issues to be included in the pamphlet. That cost amounts to less than one cent for each person in King County.

This issue of the Voters' Pamphlet has been published in 16 editions, three of which have been jointly produced with the City of Seattle. The pamphlet includes 90% of cities and towns, 85% of the school districts, 50% of the fire districts and 25% of the remaining taxing authorities. Over 75% of all contested races in King County are included. Special editions are also available in Spanish, Chinese, Braille and tape cassettes.

King County government is proud to bring this pamphlet to you. Please read the statements and study the issues. A sample ballot is included for your convenience, as is an absentee ballot application.

Let us know what you think of this issue and how we can make future editions a better voting tool for you.

### King County Division of Records and Elections

---

## ... And For Our Information

Please take a minute and complete this comment sheet. Your comments provide valuable assistance in the improvement of this voters' pamphlet. Please mail to King County Division of Records and Elections, 553 King County Administration Building, Seattle, WA 98104.

- |   | YES                      | NO                       |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Was this pamphlet delivered early enough to help you study the issues?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Were the design and format of the pamphlet appealing and readable?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Was the information, including the ballot title and explanatory statement, provided for each measure clear and understandable?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Do you have any suggestions which might improve the voters' pamphlet or is there any other voter information you would like to have included in future editions of the voters' pamphlet? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

---

---

---

**YOUR COMMENTS COUNT!**

---

## MAJOR POLITICAL PARTY CAUCUS AND CONVENTION PROCEDURES

---

In Washington State, the candidates for most offices which appear on the state general election ballot are nominated at a primary election. The most important exception to this procedure is the nomination of candidates for the positions of President and Vice President. This information is presented to familiarize Washington voters with the process by which the nominees for President and Vice President are determined and how Washington residents can participate in that selection process. This section is detachable so that you may keep it after the election for reference.

Delegates to the national nominating conventions of the major political parties from Washington are selected through a system of precinct caucuses, county or legislative district conventions, and finally, the state convention. The first step in this process is the precinct caucus, a neighborhood-level meeting open to all of the members of a particular political party. Precinct caucuses are held in each precinct of the state in the early spring of each presidential year. Individuals are elected from each precinct to attend the legislative district or county convention where the delegates to the state convention are chosen. The delegates to the state convention select the delegates to the national convention at which the presidential and vice-presidential nominees are selected. In addition to the selection of delegates, those persons attending party caucuses and conventions have the opportunity to determine the party platform, vote on resolutions, and meet party candidates for a variety of local, state and national offices.

### DATES OF PRECINCT CAUCUSES AND CONVENTIONS

	Republicans	Democrats
Precinct caucuses	March 8, 1988	March 8, 1988
County conventions	April-June 1988*	April 23, 1988
District conventions	April-June 1988*	April 30, 1988
State convention	July 15-16, 1988	June 11-12, 1988
Location of state convention	Tacoma	Olympia-Tumwater-Lacey

\*Information on the time of all of the caucuses and conventions was not complete at the time this publication was prepared.

### RULES AND PROCEDURES

Each political party has the authority under state law to adopt rules to govern the delegate selection process and other party activities which occur in conjunction with the caucuses and conventions. These party rules specify the number of delegates from each precinct to the county or legislative district convention, the number of delegates from each legislative district or county convention to the state convention, and the procedural rules for conducting the caucuses and conventions. The delegate allocation formulas are usually based on population or a combination of population and the number of votes certain candidates for that party received in the precinct, district or county. A copy of the rules of either party should be available from the state committee of that party in advance of the time precinct caucuses are held.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The dates and locations of all party caucuses and conventions receive advance press coverage and are generally advertised by the parties. Specific questions you have about any aspect of the nominating procedure may be directed to the state committee of the respective party. They may be able to respond to your inquiry directly or they may refer you to either your precinct committeeperson or your county or district chairperson. The addresses and telephone numbers of the state committees are as follows:

Washington State Republican Party  
Nine Lake Bellevue Drive, Suite 203  
Bellevue, WA 98005  
(206) 451-1988

Washington State Democratic Committee  
1702 Smith Tower  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 583-0664

---

## **INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE AND MINOR PARTY NOMINATING PROCEDURES**

---

This summary of the procedures governing the nomination of independent and minor party candidates is not meant to be inclusive. Persons interested in being nominated in this manner should consult Chapter 29.24 of the Revised Code of Washington or obtain more detailed information from the office of the Secretary of State, P.O. Box 9000 (AS-22), Legislative Building, Olympia, WA 98504.

### **NOMINATING CONVENTION**

Any nomination of a candidate for partisan political office other than by major political party must be made by a convention held on the last Saturday preceding the filing period. In 1988, this will be July 23. Notice of the intention to hold a nominating convention must be published in a newspaper of general circulation within the county in which the convention is to be held at least ten days before the date of the convention. A number of registered voters equal to one for each 10,000 voters who voted in the jurisdiction for which each nomination is made or twenty-five such voters, whichever number is greater, must attend the nominating convention and sign the nominating petition for the candidates who are nominated.

### **CERTIFICATE OF NOMINATION**

The signatures and addresses of the registered voters who attended the convention and a record of the proceedings of the convention must be submitted to the office of the Secretary of State no later than the last day allowed for candidates to file for office. In 1988 this deadline is July 29. Any candidate who is nominated at an independent or minor party convention must file a declaration of candidacy with the Secretary of State and pay the filing fee required for the office sought. (A nominating petition containing signatures of registered voters equal to the dollar amount of the filing fee is permitted for those candidates without sufficient assets or income to pay the filing fee.) The names of all of the candidates who have been nominated by convention will be printed on the primary election ballot together with the major party candidates for their respective offices. With the exception of candidates for the offices of President and Vice President, no candidate may have his or her name printed on the general election ballot unless he or she receives at least one percent of the total votes cast for that office in the partisan primary.

**SECRETARY OF STATE  
TOLL-FREE VOTER INFORMATION  
1-800-824-VOTE (8683)  
TDD TOLL-FREE VOTER INFORMATION  
1-800-422-VOTE (8683)**



# WASHINGTON STATE VOTER INFORMATION

## VOTER REGISTRATION

### Qualifications for registering:

1. You are a U.S. citizen by birth or naturalization.
2. You will be 18 or older on the day of the primary or general election.
3. You are a legal resident of the state of Washington.

### When to register:

Anytime, but you must register 30 days before the election to be qualified to vote. The voter registration deadline for the 1987 state general election is October 3, 1987.

### Where to register:

You must register in person at the **King County Division of Records and Elections** or before a city or town clerk or deputy voter registrar. Deputy registrars are located in most public schools, some fire stations and state offices. Contact **Records and Elections at 344-5265** for the location of the registration facility nearest to you.

### When it is necessary to re-register:

You must re-register only if:

1. You did not vote in the previous 24-month period, or
2. You did not vote in the 1984 presidential election, or
3. You have moved from one county to another, or
4. You have legally changed your name.

To be eligible to vote, you must re-register 30 days before the election. Keep your registration current. Your registration remains valid as long as you exercise your right to vote!

### If you move, you must transfer your registration:

If you move within a county, you should also change your voter registration. This can be done before a deputy voter registrar or by mail. If you mail the information, include both your old and new addresses and your signature and forward to **Records and Elections Division, 553 King County Administration Building, Seattle, WA 98104**. To be eligible to vote in your new precinct, you must transfer your registration 30 days before the election.

## ELECTION DAY AND VOTING

### Where to vote:

At your precinct's polling place. The name and number of your precinct and polling place are on your registration card. Page 3 describes the coding of your voter registration card. Polling place locations are also published in the newspaper sometime the week before the election. You may also call **Records and Elections Division at 344-5282 or 344-2565** for information.

### When to vote:

Polls are open from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

### How to vote:

Three methods of voting are used in Washington State: punchcard, lever machines and paper ballots. King County uses punchcard voting. If you need assistance, you may ask an election worker, before you vote, to explain how to use the voting device. You may also request assistance from two election officials of opposite political parties or a person of your choice in recording your vote. If you make an error on your ballot, you may request a replacement.

### Absentee Voting:

**1. Regular Absentee Ballot:** If you cannot vote in person, you may vote by absentee ballot. You may request an absentee ballot, either in person or by mail, as early as 45 days before the election, but no later than the day before the election.

Exception: If you are confined to the hospital and were admitted no earlier than five days before the election, you may apply for an absentee ballot up to and including the day of the election.

**2. Service Absentee Ballot:** Members of the military service may apply for an absentee ballot at any time. Such service voters will be mailed an absentee ballot for the next primary or general election, or special election.

**3. Special Absentee Ballot:** A voter who is working outside the continental United States and will be unable to return a regular absentee ballot by normal mail delivery may apply for a special absentee ballot 90 days before the primary or general election. The special absentee ballot will contain the offices and measures, if known, scheduled to appear on the ballot. The Records and Elections Division will include a list of candidates who have filed and a list of any issues that have been referred to the ballot before the application was filed.

The voter may use the special absentee ballot to write in the name of any eligible candidate for each office and vote on any measure.

**4. Ongoing Absentee Ballot:** If you are a disabled person or a person over the age of 65, you may apply for status as an ongoing absentee voter. This will entitle you to automatically receive an absentee ballot for each subsequent election through January of the next odd-numbered year. At that time, the **Division of Records and Elections** will notify you and permit you to renew your status as an ongoing absentee voter.

# 1987 GENERAL ELECTION SAMPLE BALLOT

	YES	NO		YES	NO
<b>REFERENDUM BILL 41</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>BELLEVUE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 405</b>		
<b>INITIATIVE MEASURE 92</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 1</b>		
<b>SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 8207</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Malcolm (Mac) Douglas	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 8212</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Steven (Matt) Goldberg	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4212</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 2</b>		
<b>HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4220</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Phil Noble	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>KING COUNTY PROPOSITION NO. 1</b>			Sheila R. Fix	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Regional Health Care Capital			<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 4</b>		
Improvements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sherrie Mill	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>KING COUNTY ASSESSOR</b>			<b>LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT</b>		
Jeanette Berleen (R)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>NO. 414</b>		
Ruthe Ridder (D)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 1</b>		
<b>COURT OF APPEALS, JUDGE</b>			Clare Cochran	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Robert W. Winsor	<input type="checkbox"/>		Carole George	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>PORT OF SEATTLE DISTRICT NO. 3</b>			<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 4</b>		
Paige Miller	<input type="checkbox"/>		Bob Hughes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
George Kargianis	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>DIRECTOR, DISTRICT NO. 5</b>		
<b>CITY OF BELLEVUE, COUNCIL</b>			Catherine Bock	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>POSITION NO. 1</b>			Joyce Trepus	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Don Davidson	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>*NORTHSHORE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 417</b>		
Jim Keeffe	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>WOODINVILLE WATER DISTRICT,</b>		
<b>POSITION NO. 2</b>			<b>COMMISSIONER</b>		
Jean Carpenter	<input type="checkbox"/>		Don Wright	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Tom Hansen	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>*FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 14</b>		
<b>POSITION NO. 3</b>			<b>*FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 34</b>		
Terry Lukens	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 36,</b>		
Margo Waid	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>COMMISSIONER</b>		
<b>POSITION NO. 4</b>			R. E. (Bob) Wright	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Don MacKenzie	<input type="checkbox"/>		Robert R. (Bob) Miller	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Richard Rising	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>*FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 41</b>		
<b>CITY OF KIRKLAND, COUNCIL</b>			<b>*FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 42</b>		
<b>POSITION NO. 1</b>			<b>*NORTHEAST LAKE WASHINGTON SEWER</b>		
Jim Hart	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>AND WATER DISTRICT</b>		
Randy Barton	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>*ROSE HILL SEWER AND WATER DISTRICT</b>		
<b>POSITION NO. 2</b>			<b>PUBLIC HOSPITAL DISTRICT NO. 2,</b>		
Bob Neir	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>COMMISSIONER</b>		
Barbara A. Proft-Williams	<input type="checkbox"/>		John Plovie	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>POSITION NO. 3</b>			<b>PROPOSED ANNEXATIONS TO CITY OF</b>		
Dave Russell	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>KIRKLAND</b>		
<b>CITY OF REDMOND, MAYOR</b>			<b>PROPOSITION NO. 1</b>		
Doreen Marchione	<input type="checkbox"/>		Lower Juanita Area	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fred Meitzer	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>PROPOSITION NO. 2</b>		
<b>COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 1</b>			Assumption of Indebtedness	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Arnold Tomac	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>PROPOSITION NO. 1</b>		
<b>COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 2</b>			North Rose Hill Area	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Margaret Doman	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>PROPOSITION NO. 2</b>		
<b>COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 3</b>			Assumption of Indebtedness	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Christine T. Himes	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>PROPOSITION NO. 1</b>		
Richard Cole	<input type="checkbox"/>		South Rose Hill Area	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 4</b>			<b>PROPOSITION NO. 2</b>		
Bob Ashworth	<input type="checkbox"/>		Assumption of Indebtedness	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rosemarie Ives	<input type="checkbox"/>				

# Absentee Ballot Application Certification

Mail To: ABSENTEE BALLOT Room 553, King County Administration Building,  
500 4th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104

TO BE FILLED OUT BY APPLICANT

PLEASE PRINT IN INK

IF KNOWN :

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM A REGISTERED VOTER.

Registered Name \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address \_\_\_\_\_ # \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: (Day) \_\_\_\_\_ (Evening) \_\_\_\_\_

For identification purposes only: (Optional)

Birth Date \_\_\_\_\_ Social Security No. \_\_\_\_\_

SEND MY BALLOT TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:

Street Address \_\_\_\_\_ # \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Country \_\_\_\_\_

TO BE VALID, YOUR WRITTEN SIGNATURE MUST BE INCLUDED

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Registration No. KI \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Legislative Dist. \_\_\_\_\_

Cong. Dist. \_\_\_\_\_

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

Primary Election Only Sep \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

General Election Only Nov \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

Primary & General Election Sep \_\_\_\_\_ Nov \_\_\_\_\_

Special Election Only \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

Precinct Code \_\_\_\_\_

Levy Code \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Code-P \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Code-G \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Mailed \_\_\_\_\_

By issuance of a ballot this dept. certifies that the applicant's signature has been compared against the applicant's registration form, and that the applicant is qualified to receive a ballot.



# Absentee Ballot Application Certification

Mail To: ABSENTEE BALLOT Room 553, King County Administration Building,  
500 4th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104

TO BE FILLED OUT BY APPLICANT

PLEASE PRINT IN INK

IF KNOWN :

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT I AM A REGISTERED VOTER.

Registered Name \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address \_\_\_\_\_ # \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: (Day) \_\_\_\_\_ (Evening) \_\_\_\_\_

For identification purposes only: (Optional)

Birth Date \_\_\_\_\_ Social Security No. \_\_\_\_\_

SEND MY BALLOT TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:

Street Address \_\_\_\_\_ # \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Country \_\_\_\_\_

TO BE VALID, YOUR WRITTEN SIGNATURE MUST BE INCLUDED

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Registration No. KI \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Legislative Dist. \_\_\_\_\_

Cong. Dist. \_\_\_\_\_

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

Primary Election Only Sep \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

General Election Only Nov \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

Primary & General Election Sep \_\_\_\_\_ Nov \_\_\_\_\_

Special Election Only \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

Precinct Code \_\_\_\_\_

Levy Code \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Code-P \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Code-G \_\_\_\_\_

Ballot Mailed \_\_\_\_\_

By issuance of a ballot this dept. certifies that the applicant's signature has been compared against the applicant's registration form, and that the applicant is qualified to receive a ballot.



# We the People

of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do hereby establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

## Article I

Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

Section 2. The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

No Person shall be a Representative who shall not have attained to the Age of twenty five Years, seven Years shall have elapsed since the emigration of such Person into the United States, and he shall, when elected, have been seven Years a Citizen of the United States, and, when elected, shall, when elected, have been seven Years a Citizen of the United States, and, when elected, shall, when elected, have been seven Years a Citizen of the United States.

The House of Representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective Numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole Number of free Persons, including those bound to Service for a Term of Years, and excluding Indians not taxed, three fifths of all other Persons.

Representatives and direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective Numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole Number of free Persons, including those bound to Service for a Term of Years, and excluding Indians not taxed, three fifths of all other Persons.

There shall be a Representative for every thirty thousand Persons; but no State shall have less than one Representative, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 3. The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, chosen by the Electors in each State for six Years, and each Senator shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 4. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 5. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 6. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 7. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 8. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 9. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 10. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 11. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 12. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 13. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 14. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 15. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 16. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 17. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 18. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 19. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 20. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 21. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 22. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 23. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 24. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 25. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 26. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 27. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 28. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 29. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 30. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 31. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 32. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 33. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 34. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

Section 35. The Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature.

1 • 9 • 8 • 7

# VOTERS' PAMPHLET

## OFFICIAL LOCAL VOTERS' PAMPHLET

Participating Jurisdictions: King County • Port of Seattle • City of Bellevue • City of Kirkland • City of Redmond • Bellevue School District No. 405 • Lake Washington School District No. 414 • Woodinville Water District • Fire Protection District No. 36 • Public Hospital District No. 2

BULK RATE  
U.S. POSTAGE  
**PAID**  
Seattle, Washington  
Permit No. 1216

CAR. RT. PRESORT

RESIDENTIAL PATRON, LOCAL

ZIP CODES WITHIN REGION 6:  
98033 • 98034

EDITION 6