553! 553! 1968 King Co C.1 SIAIL JOHRY 1968





# OFFICIAL VOTERS PAMPHLET

GENERAL ELECTION TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1968

Candidates Pamphlet Enclosed

Published by the Secretary of State





# LIBRARY USE ONLY



INTRODUCTION

As your Secretary of State, one of my duties prescribed by law is to prepare this 1968 edition of the official Voter's Pamphlet containing the official ballot titles, full explanations and complete text of the 12 state measures to be voted upon at the November 5, 1968 state general election.

Of these 12 measures, 3 are initiatives (initiated directly by the people), 3 are legislative referendum bills (measures passed by the legislature, but referred by it to the people for decision), one is a referendum measure (an act passed by the legislature and approved into law by the Governor but referred to the voters by petition), and 7 are proposed constitutional amendments which must first be passed by at least two-thirds approval of the members of each branch of of the legislature, then referred to the voters for final decision.

The official ballot titles and explanations have been prepared by the Attorney General as required by law. The statements for and against have been prepared by committees appointed under a procedure established by law. This office has no authority to evaluate their truth or accuracy.

I sincerely hope that this pamphlet will be helpful to you as you make the important decisions facing every voter on November 5. Extra copies may be obtained at the offices of City Clerks, County Auditors, Public Libraries or directly from the Secretary of State's office.

#### CERTIFICATION

As Secretary of State of the State of Washington, I hereby certify that I have caused the text of all laws, proposed measures, ballot titles, official explanations, etc. that appear within this publication to be carefully compared with the original such instruments now on file in my office and find them to be a full and true copy of said originals.

Witness my hand and the seal of the State of Washington this 23rd day of September, 1968.

A. LUDLOW KRAMER Secretary of State

## **VOTING CHECK LIST**

## STATE BALLOT ISSUES

Initiative 242 Drivers Implied Consent—Intoxication Tests	FOR	AGAINST	SJR 5 Investment of Public Pension Funds	YES	NO
Initiative 245 Reducing Maximum Retail Service Charges	FOR	AGAINST	SJR 6 Authorizing State Court of Appeals	YES	NO
Referendum Measure 35 Non-Discrimination by Realty Brokers, Salesmen	FOR	AGAINST	SJR 17 Providing for State Building Authority	YES	NO
Referendum Bill 17 Water Pollution Control Facilities Bonds	FOR	AGAINST	SJR 23 Taxing Districts: Excess Levy Elections	YES	МО
Referendum Bill 18 Bonds for Outdoor Recreation	FOR	AGAINST	SJR 24 Vacancy: Legislative, County Elective Offices	YES	NO
Referendum Bill 19 State Building Projects: Bond Issue	FOR	AGAINST	HJR 1 Taxation Based on Actual Use	YES	МО
Initiative Measure 32 (to the Legislature) Local Processing of State Timber	FOR	AGAINST	HJR 13 Authorizing Compensation Increase During	YES	МО

PRESIDENTIAL AND FEDERAL OFFICES	LEGISLATIVE OFFICES
President of U.S.	State Senator
U. S. Senator	State Representative, Position #1
U. S. Representative	State Representative, Position #2
STATE OFFICES	State Representative, Position #3 (If any)
Governor	Fosition #5 (II any)
Lieutenant Governor	COUNTY OFFICES
Secretary of State	
State Treasurer	Commissioner
State Auditor	Commissioner
Attorney General	-
Commissioner of Public Lands	
Insurance Commissioner	LOCAL ISSUES
Superintendent of Public Instruction	YES NO
Judges	YES NO
	YES NO
	YES NO

STA	TEMENTS FOR	COMPLETE
	ND AGAINST	TEXT
INITIATIVES TO THE PEOPLE:	Pages	Starting Page
No. 242—Driver's Implied Consent—Intoxication Tests	6, 7	36
No. 245—Reducing Maximum Retail Service Charges	8, 9	37
REFERENDUM MEASURE:		
No. 35—Non-Discrimination by Realty Brokers, Salesmen	10, 11	39
REFERENDUM BILLS:		
No. 17—Water Pollution Control Facilities Bonds	12. 13	41
No. 18—Bonds for Outdoor Recreation	14, 15	42
No. 19—State Building Projects: Bond Issue	16, 17	43
INITIATIVE TO THE LEGISLATURE:		
No. 32—Local Processing of State Timber	18, 19	45
PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS:		
SJR 5—Investment of Public Pension Funds	20, 21	48
SJR 6-Authorizing State Court of Appeals	22, 23	48
SJR 17—Providing for State Building Authority	24, 25	49
SJR 23—Taxing Districts: Excess Levy Elections	26, 27	49
SJR 24—Vacancy: Legislative, County Elective Offices	30, 31	50
HJR 1—Taxation Based on Actual Use	32, 33	51
HJR 13—Authorizing Compensation Increase During Term	34, 35	51

# INITIATIVE 242

Official ballot title:\*

## DRIVERS' IMPLIED CONSENT— INTOXICATION TESTS

AN ACT providing that any person operating a motor vehicle on the public highways shall be deemed to have consented to a breath test (if unconscious a blood test) to determine intoxication, when arrested for any offense, provided the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe such operator was driving or in control of a vehicle while intoxicated; directing a six-month revocation of driving privileges for a person refusing such test after having been advised of his rights and consequences of refusal; providing hearing and appeal procedures; and reducing the blood alcohol percentage necessary to raise a presumption of intoxication.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

## Initiative 242 increases public safety on our highways

Motor vehicle registration in Washington has jumped 39% since 1960. Our highways are dangerously congested and only alert drivers who can react quickly to driving hazards should be allowed to drive on them. The Washington State Patrol reports that over half of last year's highway fatalities involved alcohol. Based on highway mishap research conducted over the past 35 years, the United States Secretary of Transportation, Alan S. Boyd, reported to Congress in August, 1968, "Alcohol has been found to be the largest single factor leading to fatal crashes."

# The moderate drinker is not affected by Initiative 242

The person who drinks the equivalent of seven or more ounces of 80-proof whiskey within an hour on an empty stomach and then drives, is the target of Initiative 242. (This is based upon an average male subject of 150 pounds.) The social drinker, such as one who has a few drinks and wine before and during dinner, is generally within the proposed limits.

#### Breath tests are fair and accurate

Qualified technicians who are trained and authorized under the supervision of the state toxicologist will give breath tests. At 0.15%

(150 mg) the driver suffers severe impairment of physical and mental functions. The proposed 0.10% (100 mg) is more realistic. The test protects those who have a condition causing symptoms similar to those of intoxication.

#### Constitutional rights are protected

The United States Supreme Court has ruled implied consent legislation does not infringe on constitutional freedoms since it seeks only physical evidence. (A comparable example of physical evidence is finger-printing.) The American Bar Association supports Implied Consent and lowering alcohol limits.

Twenty-seven states, including Oregon and California, have Implied Consent. Legislation similar to Initiative 242 passed in the House of Representatives in 1967, but never came to a vote in the Senate. This initiative is presented to the people because we cannot afford to wait longer for protection from the drunken driver.

Vote FOR 242—Implied Consent!

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Initiative 242:

242:
AL HENRY, Senator, 17th Legislative District; NORWOOD CUNNINGHAM, Representative, 30th Legislative District; NAT WASHINGTON, Senator, 13th Legislative District. Advisory Committee: DANIEL J. EVANS. Governor, State of Washington: RAYMOND A. NORWOOD. Corporate Director of Safety, The Boeing Company; REV. EVERETT J. JENSEN, General Secretary, Washington-Northern Idaho Council of Churches; DAVID C. GUILBERT, Chairman, Legislative Activities, Inland Automobile Association; DR. CHARLES P. LARSON, Vice President, Washington State Medical Association.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under existing state law, a person operating a motor vehicle on the public highways of this state is not, thereby, deemed to have consented to any sort of chemical test to determine the alcoholic content of his blood. However, if a person voluntarily submits to such a test, the results of the test are admissible in any criminal proceedings relating to driving a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor. By statute it is presumed that the defendant was under the influence of intoxicating liquor if the amount of alcohol in his blood was 0.15% or more by weight of alcohol. If the test indicates 0.05% or less blood alcohol content, then it is presumed that the defendant was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor.

# Effect of Initiative Measure No. 242 if approved into Law:

The proposed act provides that any person oper-

ating a motor vehicle on the public highways of this state shall be deemed to have consented to a breath test (if unconscious, a blood test) to determine the extent of his intoxication, when arrested for any offense, providing the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe that such person was driving or in control of a vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor. A person who refuses such a test after having been advised of his rights and the consequences of such refusal would be subject to a six-month revocation of his driving privileges. The act also provides for hearing and appeal procedures

In addition, the proposed act reduces the amount of blood alcohol percentage necessary to raise a presumption of being under the influence of intoxicating liquor from 0.15% to 0.10%, and makes both the presumption of intoxication and the presumption of nonintoxication applicable in civil as well as criminal actions or proceedings: Provided, the breath test or blood test, as the case may be, is given by a person qualified under the act to administer such tests.

Note: Complete text of Initiative Measure No. 242 starts on Page 36.

## Statement AGAINST

No member of the 1967 Legislature or any responsible statewide organization could be enlisted to write a statement against Initiative Measure No. 242 for publication in this pamphlet.

# INITIATIVE 245

Official ballot title:\*

# REDUCING MAXIMUM RETAIL SERVICE CHARGES

AN ACT amending the present state law regulating retail installment sales of goods and services by reducing the maximum amount which may be legally assessed as a service charge in connection with retail installment transactions from 18% per year computed monthly on the unpaid balance (1½% per month) to 12% per year computed monthly (1% per month); reducing from \$15.00 to \$10.00 the alternative service charge that may be assessed on a retail installment contract notwithstanding the 12% maximum; and eliminating two other methods of computing service charges on such contracts which are permitted under the present law.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

## Why the battle for Initiative 245 MUST be won

Retail stores are charging 18% interest per year on "revolving charge accounts." Automobile dealers, too. Now banks have adopted this outrageous practice—they are raising their rates on credit card accounts from 12% to 18%. That's why Initiative 245 was filed. It rolls the rates back to 12%!

#### Twelve percent is enough!

If you borrow money from a bank, the law says anything above 12% is usury and illegal. But—the same bank now charges 18% on retail charge accounts. What's the difference between the two? Organized labor believes there is none. That's why Initiative 245 was filed with 143,103 signatures.

If 12% interest is enough for one kind of credit, it is enough for the other. Both amount to the same thing.

# Why should you pay for losses from deadbeats?

Thousands of bank and retail store credit cards have been mailed out indiscriminately to people who did not ask for them. Radio, television, billboards, newspapers are shouting "Buy!" "Buy!" "Use your credit card! Use your revolving charge account!"

So what has happened? Deadbeats—bad credit risks—credit losses—and you pay for it at 18% interest.

#### TWELVE PERCENT IS ENOUGH!

### Who suffers most?

The young, newly-married. The elderly, on Social Security or on small incomes. The disadvantaged poor of all ages. They are victimized so often that "the poor pay more" has become a universal principle of our society.

They will agree that TWELVE PERCENT IS ENOUGH, and they would especially ask that you vote FOR Initiative 245.

#### Who is opposing Initiative 245?

The big banks, department stores, automobile dealers, furniture and appliance stores. Beware of the biggest campaign ever mounted against a ballot measure.

But if YOU believe 12% is enough .

Vote FOR Initiative 245

Committee appointed to compose statement For Initiative No. 245:

JOE DAVIS, President, Washington State Labor Council, AFL-CIO; MARVIN L. WILLIAMS, Secretary-Treasurer, Washington State Labor Council, AFL-CIO; WALTER E. BERG, President, Aeronautical Industrial District Lodge 751, I.A.M. & A.W., AFL-CIO.

Advisory Committee: DR. WM. A. McCOLL, M.D., Chairman, Washington Committee on Consumer Interests; DON ELLIS, President, Teamsters Joint Council No. 28; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange; ROBERT RIDDER, State Senator; GEORGETTE VALLE, former State Representative.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the present law regulating the retail installment sales of goods and services, the maximum amount which may be assessed as a service charge in connection with such installment transactions is 18% per year (1½% per month), computed monthly on the unpaid balance. However, the existing law permits three alternative methods\* of computing the maximum service charge on retail installment contracts under which the resulting service charge may actually exceed 18% per year.

\*These alternative methods are set forth in section 3 of the initiative appearing on page 39 of this pamphlet.

## Effect of Initiative Measure No. 245 if approved into Law:

The proposed act would reduce the maximum amount which may be legally assessed as a service charge in connection with retail installment transactions from 18% per year to 12% per year computed monthly on the unpaid balance (1% per month). The act will also eliminate two of the alternative methods of computing the maximum service charge on retail installment contracts. In addition, it would change the third alternative method by reducing from \$15.00 to \$10.00 the allowable maximum amount of service charge which may be assessed in a single retail installment contract, although such charge may exceed the 12% maximum.

Note: Complete text of Initiative Measure No. 245 starts on Page 37.

## Statement AGAINST

#### Initiative 245 would force an increase in prices

At present, the service charge does not cover the cost of credit. A recent study of 14 major retail stores in the State of Washington showed their cost of handling credit exceeded their service charge income by \$1,-250,000 per year. If the retailer is forced to lose even more money on the extension of credit, he must make up this difference by increasing the cost of goods sold. Due to this increase, the people who pay cash or pay within the no service charge period will be subsidizing the credit purchaser.

### Initiative 245 would eliminate credit for those who need it most

Passage of Initiative 245 could cause the cancellation of many existing accounts which are slow pay, marginal risk or cover only small purchases. Also the people who need credit the most—the large family, the young family, and lower income individuals will be unable to secure credit. These people will still have the desire to increase their family's standard of living. They will be forced to finance these purchases by more costlier means.

# Federal and State governments are opposed to this type of legislation

After an 8-year study, the United States Government found no need for credit service charge limitations. The Washington State Legislature has, after careful analysis, found existing charges necessary and justified. Only 29 states have service charge maximums, and, 25 have equal or higher service charge limits. In the other 21 states, there are no laws setting any maximums. The National AFL-CIO Labor Council in Washington, D. C. advises a service charge maximum of 2%.

# Initiative 245 would limit purchasing power and damage Washington's economy

The passage of Initiative 245 would arbitrarily establish a maximum limit for service charges on credit accounts which is far below the actual cost of providing this service. Credit has enabled the consumer to purchase more and better goods and services directly increasing Washington's over-all economy. Stringent credit controls will definitely limit purchasing power and affect our total economy.

Committee appointed to compose statement AGAINST Initiative No. 245:

JOEL PRITCHARD, State Senator; SID MORRISON, State Representative; DR. GUNDAR J. KING, Director, School of Business Administration, Pacific Lutheran University.

Advisory Committee: MILTON W. MARTIN, Superintendent of Public Schools (retired), Yakima; ROSS MALONEY, Assistant Professor of Economics, Peninsula College; GRANT THOMAS, Chairman of School of Business & Industry, Eastern Washington State College; ROD MORELAND, owner and operator restaurant and motel; PAUL C. PERDUE, University Student Placement Director, University Puget Sound.

# REFERENDUM 35

(Sec. 3, Chap. 22, Laws of 1967.)

Official ballot title:\*

# NON-DISCRIMINATION BY REALTY BROKERS, SALESMEN

AN ACT relating to real estate brokers and salesmen; adding discrimination because of race, creed, color or national origin as a ground for the suspension or revocation of real estate licenses. It provides that prior to taking any action to suspend, revoke or deny a license for discrimination, the state director administering real estate licensing shall order the broker or salesman to stop the discriminatory act or practice. Upon receipt of a written promise to stop the discrimination, the director shall take no further action unless within six months thereafter the broker or salesman engages in further discrimination.

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 25; Nays, 17; Absent or not voting, 7. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 83; Nays, 8; Absent or not voting, 8.

## Statement FOR

No member of the 1967 Legislature could be enlisted to write a statement for Referendum Measure No. 35 for publication in this pamphlet.

<sup>\*</sup>Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Persons who act as real estate brokers or salesmen must obtain a real estate license from the State of Washington. These licenses are subject to suspension or revocation for some 17 separate statutory grounds, none of which specifically refer to discriminatory practices.

## Effect of Referendum Measure No. 35 if approved into Law:

If approved, the act will add discrimination because of race, creed, color or national origin as an additional statutory ground for the suspension or revocation of real estate licenses. The act further provides that before any action to suspend, revoke, or deny a license for discrimination may be taken, the state director administering real estate licensing shall order the broker or salesman to stop the discriminatory act or practice. Upon receipt of a written promise to stop the discrimination from the broker or salesman engaged in a discriminatory

practice, the director shall take no further action unless, within six months thereafter, the broker or salesman engages in further discrimination.

Note: Complete text of Referendum Measure 35 starts on Page 39.

## Statement **AGAINST**

No member of the 1967 Legislature could be enlisted to write a statement against Referendum No. 35 for publication in this pamphlet.

# REFERENDUM 17

Chapter 106, Laws of 1967

Official ballot title:\*

## WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITIES BONDS

AN ACT providing for the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$25,000,000 to finance grants by the pollution control commission to public bodies, in conjunction with federal grants authorized pursuant to the federal water pollution control act, for the purpose of aiding in the construction and improvement of water pollution control facilities; providing for payment of the bonds from unpledged retail sales tax revenue or other means authorized by the legislature; and appropriating \$9,000,000 to the pollution control commission for the above described purposes during the present biennium.

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 39; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 10. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 93; Nays, 3; Absent or not voting, 3. \*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

### Let's make and keep our water clean:

Referendum Bill 17 will help us keep ahead of water pollution. It will provide \$25 million in state funds to assist local governmental bodies in the construction and improvement of sewage treatment facilities.

Pollution control is a good investment for our state, because we all want to use and enjoy clean water. Water pollution respects no boundaries. Inadequately treated wastes spoil our rivers, lakes and beaches for recreation and lessen the supply of clean water for home and industry.

#### Why Referendum 17 is needed:

The state of Washington has adopted Water Quality Standards and an implementation and enforcement plan in compliance with the federal Water Quality Act. In order to meet the standards and deadlines set, many communities must build new sewage treatment facilities or improve existing ones. Funds from Referendum Bill 17, along with federal grants, will help local governments meet the capital cost of needed improvements in pollution control.

#### How Referendum 17 will work:

Funds from Referendum 17 will be administered by the state Water Pollution Control Commission, which also handles the federal construction grant program for sewage treat-

ment facilities. Since 1956, when the federal grant program began, the Commission has certified projects in all parts of the state—in over 200 communities ranging in size from the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle to the town of Palouse. Referendum 17 will speed up needed sewage treatment by providing state funds to augment the federal grant program and avoid higher costs later. Bonds will be paid from existing sales tax revenues.

#### Who supports Referendum 17?

Civic organizations, recreation and conservation groups, industry, agriculture and local government officials are working with the Washington Committee for Clean Water for Referendum 17. KEEP WASHINGTON'S WATER SAFE FOR THE USE AND ENJOYMENT OF ALL ITS CITIZENS—VOTE FOR REFERENDUM 17.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Referendum Bill No. 17:

DON L. TALLEY, State Senator; JONATHAN WHETZEL, State Representative; DONALD H. BRAZIER, JR., State Representative.

Advisory Committee: MRS. MORTIMER H. THOMAS, President, League of Women Voters of Washington; WHEELER GREY, Washington Committee for Clean Water; ROBERT G. PETTIE, Past President, Washington State Sportsmen's Council; NEAL FOSSEEN, former mayor, City of Spokane; HARRY SPRINKER, Chairman, Board of Pierce County Commissioners.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under present law governmental facilities for controlling water pollution are financed by local public bodies and state agencies with whatever funds are available for that purpose.

At its 1967 session, the legislature enacted legislation providing for the issuance of state general obligation bonds, the proceeds of which would be used to finance construction of water pollution control facilities. Under the state constitution, a law authorizing the state to contract a debt through the issuance of such bonds cannot take effect until it shall, at a general election, have been submitted and approved by the people.

# Effect of Referendum Bill No. 17 if approved into Law:

If approved, the law will authorize the sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount up to \$25,000,000. The proceeds from the sale of the

bonds shall be administered by the Water Pollution Control Commission, an agency of the state. The commission is authorized to make and administer grants of such funds to municipal or public corporations, to counties and to state agencies, in conjunction with federal grants authorized pursuant to the federal water pollution control act. The act also appropriates \$9,000,000 from the bond proceeds to the commission for the above-described purposes during the current biennium.

The act provides for the payment of the bonds from a portion of the proceeds of the retail sales tax and such other sources as may be authorized by the legislature, and in addition provides that the bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state for payment of the principal and interest thereon when due.

Note: Complete text of Referendum Bill No. 17 starts on Page 41.

## Statement AGAINST

Referendum Bill No. 17 was overwhelmingly approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

# REFERENDUM 18

Chapter 126, Laws Extraordinary Session, 1967 Official ballot title:\*

#### BONDS FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

AN ACT authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$40,000,000 to finance the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities. The act directs the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation to allocate half of the money so raised to state agencies for such acquisition and development as the legislature may direct and the other half to local public bodies for acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities within their jurisdictions. Payment of the bonds will come from unpledged retail sales tax revenues or other means authorized by the legislature.

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 92; Nays, 2; Absent or not voting, 5. SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 47; Nays, 1; Absent or not voting, 1.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

## Referendum 18 is for all of us, for our children, and for the beauty and prosperity of Washington

Our exploding population with its increased demand for recreation areas and facilities is crowding existing parks, beaches, streams, game-lands—all of our recreation areas. Between 1960 and 1967, attendance at Washington's state parks increased 300%. Our crowded urban areas need breathing space. Suitable sites are limited and acquisition costs rise monthly. Thousands of acres are bought each year for other purposes—once lost they are gone forever.

# Referendum 18 will assure recreation space now and in the future

The funds will come from existing revenue sources and will provide \$40 million for acquisition and development of outdoor recreation lands. The funds will be administered by the State Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation and are to be divided equally between the state and local public agencies.

## Referendum 18 will buy and develop beaches, parks, streambanks, game-lands, boat launching sites, etc.

This program, which will help preserve and enhance the beauty of the state, and the recreation of all of its citizens, has the endorsement of citizens and organizations throughout the state. Such groups include: The Association



of Washington Cities, the Federation of Western Outdoor Clubs, Puget Sound Interclub Association, American Institute of Planners, Puget Sound Section, Recreation Unlimited, Washington State Association of County Commissioners, Northwest Marine Industries, Forward Thrust.

SAVE OUR OUTDOOR HERITAGE . . . PROTECT YOUR FUTURE OUTDOOR RECREATION . . . VOTE FOR REFERENDUM 18—Outdoor Recreation Bond Issue.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Referendum Bill No. 18:

GORDON HERR (D), State Senator; SLADE GORTON (R), State Representative; S. E. FLANAGAN (R), State Representative.

Advisory Committee: JAMES WHITTAKER; THOMAS O. WIMMER, President, Washington Environmental Council; RICHARD W. VAN DRIEL, President, Washington State Sportsmen's Council, Inc.; BROCK EVANS, A. W. STEV-ENSON, Co-Chairmen, Citizens for Outdoor Recreation; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under existing law the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities is financed by various state agencies and local public bodies with whatever funds are made available for that purpose. State and local outdoor recreation budgets are presently supplemented by state funds derived from two measures approved by the voters in 1964: Initiative 215, which provides about onehalf million dollars a year from unrefunded motor vehicle fuel taxes paid by purchasers of fuel used in boats, and Referendum 11, which authorized the sale of \$10 million in bonds between 1964 and January 1, 1970. The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation, an agency composed of state officials and members of the public appointed by the Governor, administers these supplemental funds, allocating one-half to state agencies and one-half to counties, cities, and other local public bodies on the basis of an approved statewide outdoor recreation and open space plan.

Under the state constitution, a law authorizing the state to contract debt through the issuance of general obligation bonds cannot take effect until it shall, at a general election, have been submitted to and approved by the people.

# Effect of Referendum Bill No. 18 if approved into Law:

If approved, the act will authorize the sale of general obligation bonds in an amount up to \$40 million for the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities in this state. The money will be allocated half to state agencies and half to local public bodies, and will be administered by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation.

The act provides for payment of the bonds from a portion of the proceeds of the retail sales tax and such other sources as may be authorized by the legislature, and in addition provides that the bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state for payment of the principal and interest thereon when due.

Note: Complete text of Referendum Bill No. 18 starts on Page 42.

## Statement AGAINST

Referendum Bill No. 18 was overwhelmingly approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

# REFERENDUM 19

Chapter 148, Laws Extraordinary Session, 1967 Official ballot title:\*

### STATE BUILDING PROJECTS: BOND ISSUE

AN ACT authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$63,059,000; appropriating the proceeds to finance various building projects for the Department of General Administration, the Department of Institutions, and certain state institutions of higher education; and providing for payment of the bonds from unpledged retail sales tax revenues or such other means authorized by the legislature.

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 41; Nays, 1; Absent or not voting, 7. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 76; Nays, 12; Absent or not voting, 11.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

#### Educating young people: Key to the future

Shortage of college classrooms, laboratories and other training facilities for youth of all capabilities continues to be a major problem in our state. By 1975 the state colleges and universities must provide room for nearly 70,000 students; otherwise, many qualified youngsters may not get in. Their education—the key to our future—requires action NOW.

Referendum 19—if approved by the voters—will provide money for new buildings and facilities at the five existing colleges and universities. It also will provide \$15 million to start constructing the Evergreen State College, the first new four-year state college in this century.

## But no building can be built there unless Referendum 19 passes

In this decade alone, the University of Washington has faced the task of providing facilities to serve an added enrollment equal to all students now attending Stanford University. Other institutions have expanded, too, but not enough.

The situation may become even more acute as the young veterans of the Viet Nam War come home, demanding their college education under the provisions of the GI Bill of Rights.

## Referendum 19 helps handicapped youngsters, too

Mentally retarded, blind, deaf and emotionally disturbed children will be aided immeasurably by the Department of Institutions' share of Referendum 19 funds. These are the "voiceless ones" who have few to plead their case, yet many of them can be helped to become useful citizens.

They need and deserve the best training, counseling and care the state can provide.

The biggest single project is a residential and training hall for the severely retarded at Fircrest School near Seattle. Projects at six other institutions throughout the state are equally important to the welfare of troubled children.

#### How will Referendum 19 be paid for?

These 30 long-range capital improvements will be financed with borrowed money, just as you and we finance the purchase of a home. The money will be repaid out of sales tax receipts over a 20 year period. Since the bonds that will be issued tend to replace existing bond issues that are being retired, no pressure to increase the sales tax is contemplated. REFERENDUM 19 HAS ABSOLUTELY NO EFFECT ON PROPERTY TAXES. Financing capital improvements in this way spreads the cost to a rapidly growing number of taxpayers, including the students who will benefit from the facilities.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Referendum Bill No. 19:

REUBEN A. KNOBLAUCH, State Senator; THOMAS L. COPELAND, State Representative; ROBERT O'BRIEN, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: JOHN RUPP, State Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; MRS. GEORGE N. PRINCE, Vice Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; DR. C. CLEMENT FRENCH, Vice Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; JOE DAVIS, President, Washington State Labor Council; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange.

#### The Law as it now exists:

The construction and improvement of buildings and other facilities for use by various state agencies is from time to time financed with the issuance by the state of general obligation bonds. The 1967 legislature passed an act authorizing the issuance of such bonds to finance various building projects for the Department of General Administration, the Department of Institutions, and certain state institutions of higher education. However, under the present state constitution, no law authorizing the state to contract debt through the issuance of general obligation bonds can take effect until it shall at a general election have been submitted to and approved by the people.

# Effect of Referendum Bill No. 19 if approved into Law:

If approved, the act will authorize the sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount up to \$63,059,000. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds will be used to finance buildings and facilities for the Department of General Administration, the Department of Institutions, the University of Washington, Washington State University, Western Washington State College, Central Washington State College, Central Washington State College. A detailed list of these projects and the specific amounts appropriated for each project appears in the text of the act itself, as set forth on pages 43 and 44 of this pamphlet. The act provides for payment of the bonds from a portion of the proceeds of the retail sales tax and such other sources as may be authorized by the legislature, and in addition provides that the bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state for payment of the principal and interest thereon when due.

Note: Complete text of Referendum Bill No. 19 starts on Page 43.

## Statement AGAINST

Referendum Bill No. 19 was overwhelmingly approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

## INITIATIVE MEASURE

32

(To the Legislature)

Official ballot title:\*

# LOCAL PROCESSING OF STATE TIMBER

AN ACT establishing a state agency to be known as the Full Employment Commission; providing for a procedure whereby timber sold by the state to any "responsible bidder" and removed from state-owned or administered lands will be branded, and will receive primary processing in a facility employing Washington residents located in the state of Washington or within fifteen miles from any boundary thereof in an abutting state, unless permission is granted by the Full Employment Commission for primary processing elsewhere based upon a finding that no reasonable market presently exists for the timber at such a facility; and establishing penalties.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

DISASTER FACES WASHINGTON'S LUMBER INDUSTRY AND ITS EMPLOYEES! INITIATIVE 32 to the Legislature will enable our lumber and plywood mills to survive.

### The reason for Initiative 32

Log Shortage Looms. Logs are being exported to Japan at an astronomical rate. This has caused the price of logs to go sky high, because the Japanese exporters have a monopoly on Japan's lumber market. Since the Japanese sell their lumber at high prices, these exporters can pay any price necessary to prevent our mills from buying Washington logs. WHY SHOULD WASHINGTON STATE-OWNED LANDS CONTINUE TO BE A TREE FARM FOR JAPAN? INITIATIVE 32 will plug a big loophole in the unrestricted export of a critically short natural resource!

#### Exporting logs means exporting jobs

76 Mills Closed; 8200 Jobs Down the Drain. Japan wants more U. S. logs to generate jobs—for the Japanese. The result of losing these 8200 jobs is Washington's loss of over \$300,000,000 in payrolls and total business sales volume. INITIATIVE 32 not only assures the preservation of existing jobs, but will create many more new jobs.

#### Log exports restricted in our neighbor states

It's Time for Washington to Follow Suit! Oregon, Idaho, Alaska, British Columbia, and the Federal Government have recognized and corrected the problem of log exports. INITIATIVE 32 is patterned after these examples of our neigh-

bors by requiring local processing of public timber. RESULT? Spectacular increases in manufactured wood product exports, as opposed to exporting raw materials — BUT THE JAPANESE HAVE TURNED TO BIDDING UP THE PRICE OF LOGS GROWN IN WASHINGTON STATE, WHICH HAS NO RESTRICTION ON LOG EXPORT!

## Initiative 32 to the Legislature benefits our schools

Local Property Tax Strain Will Be Eased. INI-TIATIVE 32 will restore the rightful share of tax dollars to the operation of local school districts, thereby easing the load on property taxes. INI-TIATIVE 32 will assure the economic well being of a traditionally strong bulwark of Washington's economy. INITIATIVE 32 will provide more longshore, port, and wood products jobs, and will at the same time increase our export of finished products.

Vote FOR Initiative 32.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Initiative 32 to the Legislature:

ART AVEY, State Representative; GEORGE CASSEDAY, President, Puget Sound Council, Lumber and Sawmill Workers; DON RASMUSSEN, President, Home Builders Association of Washington.

Advisory Committee: State Representative BILL MAY, Editor, Labor World, Spokane: State Representative JOE D. HAUSSLER, orchardist and businessman; DELTA V. SMYTH, former Chairman, Olympia Port Commission; JACK E. RAMSEY, member, Washington Education Association and school principal; H. H. BROWN, State Executive Secretary, Carpenters and Joiners Union.

The Law as it now exists:

When state-owned or state-administered timber is sold, it must be sold to the highest bidder. There is no state law which prescribes where this timber shall be processed.

# Effect of Initiative Measure No. 32 if approved into Law:

The proposed act would create a new state agency to be known as the Full Employment Commission. The act would further provide that unless permission is granted by this commission (based upon a finding as to market conditions) to process state-owned or state-administered timber elsewhere, every purchaser of such timber, where its appraised value exceeds \$2,000, must agree that it will receive its "primary processing" in a facility employing residents of the state of Washington which is located within the state or another state, if located within 15 miles of this state.

Penalties are provided for failure to comply with the provisions of the act.

Note: Complete text of Initiative Measure No. 32 (To the Legislature) starts on Page 45.

## Statement AGAINST

### It will raise taxes!

Schools and counties would lose millions of dollars of income from state-owned land log sales.

Higher taxes will be needed to replace that lost revenue.

It would establish an expensive, unnecessary governmental bureaucracy, free to hire and spend with few restrictions.

It applies only to public land logs. Private timber owners remain free to export.

It is bad legislation! It was rejected by the '67 Legislature. Similar bills were rejected in previous sessions.

#### It will eliminate jobs!

Jobs will be lost. More than 5,000 people are employed in log exporting and related work. Many of these persons will be thrown out of work if Initiative 32 passes.

Income and payrolls in our eleven log exporting communities will be drastically reduced, damaging the economy.

It isn't necessary! Officials report we grow more timber than we cut. We have plenty of timber for our domestic needs and the export market too.

This restriction on free trade will invite retaliation from buyers of other Washington products — aerospace and agriculture. It would jeopardize our \$2.1 billion import-

export business—threatening additional thousands of jobs.

#### It's not the answer!

We urge you to carefully read the Initiative itself on page 45. You'll discover immediately that it is unbelievably complex and confusing

One fact, however, stands out clearly . . . it offers no real solution to the problems confronting our wood processing industries, and can only warrant your vote AGAINST INITIATIVE 32.

That's why these organizations strongly oppose Initiative 32: League of Women Voters of Washington, Washington Education Association, International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, Washington State School Directors Association, Council of the Pacific Northwest Log Exporting Industries, Washington Farm Forestry Association, Log Truckers Conference—Washington Trucking Assns., Inc., Washington Public Ports Association, Washington Association of Stevedores, Washington Association of Wheat Growers.

Committee appointed to compose statement AGAINST Initiative 32:

WALTER WILLIAMS, State Senator; OTTO AMEN, State Representative.

Representative.

Advisory Committee: WILLIAM GETTINGS, Regional Director, International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union; MRS. JAMES E. PECK, Foreign Policy Chairman, League of Women Voters of Washington; WILLIAM F. DEVIN, former Mayor of Seattle; PHIL FROST, former Editor, International Woodworker, IWA, AFL-CIO; DON MURRAY, Asst. Executive Secretary of Professional Services, Washington Education Association.

# SENATE JOINT 5

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

## INVESTMENT OF PUBLIC PENSION FUNDS

Shall the Constitution of the state of Washington be amended by adding a new article and section permitting the moneys of any public pension or retirement fund to be invested in such manner as may be authorized by law?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 40; Nays, 8; Absent or not voting, 1. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 86; Nays, 1; Absent or not voting, 12.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

The Washington State Constitution, adopted in 1889, severely limits the investment of public funds, including pension and retirement funds. State employee retirement boards have found that the present constitutional limitations do not allow participation in some kinds of excellent long-term investments, the earnings of which could play a major role in financing the cost of a retirement system. In many cases returns from such investments will support as much as ½ of the total cost.

SJR 5 would not automatically make any change in the investment laws of the retirement and pension funds, but its passage would enable the legislature to do so. This would grant the same constitutional authority to the retirement boards as the voters approved for the state's Permanent School Fund in 1966.

SJR 5 is supported by retirement boards representing more than 95% of the public employees in the State of Washington, and public officials, public employee groups, retired employees and retirement fund administrators.

In the study, State and Local Pension Funds, 1968, the Investment Bankers Association of America found that the investment laws of the State of Washington are among the twelve most restrictive of all the 50 states. The Council of State Governments in

its March, 1967 report, Administration of State Fund Investments, states, "In the past few years, state governments have been reviewing their practices with respect to investment of state funds, seeking to maximize interest earnings on funds not required for immediate use."

The passage of SJR 5 will allow the State of Washington to keep pace with other progressive states by securing for public employee retirement funds the best earnings possible. Without any additional cost to Washington taxpayers, this will bring more income to tens of thousands of Washington families.

Committee appointed to compose the statement FOR Senate Joint Resolution No. 5:

MIKE McCORMACK, State Senator; HOMER HUMISTON, State Representative; PERCY A. LOCKITCH, Member, State Public Pension Commission.

Advisory Committee: JOHN N. RYDER, State Senator; NORM SCHUT, Executive Director, Washington Federation of State Employees AFL-CIO; FRANK W. FOLEY, State Senator; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange; JERRY C. KOPET, State Representative.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the present constitutional provisions relating to the investment or other use of public funds, there is some uncertainty as to the type of investments which the legislature may authorize the various public employees retirement boards to make when investing pension and retirement funds.

# Effect of Senate Joint Resolution No. 5 if approved into Law:

The proposed constitutional amendment would remove this uncertainty by expressly permitting the investment of public pension and retirement funds in such manner as is authorized by appropriate legislative enactment.

Note: Complete text of Senate Joint Resolution No. 5 appears on Page 48.

## Statement AGAINST

Before any constitutional amendment can be submitted to the voters for decision, our state constitution requires that the proposal must first be approved by at least two-thirds of the members of each branch of the state legislature.

Senate Joint Resolution No. 5 was so approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

# SENATE JOINT 6

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

### AUTHORIZING STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Shall the State Constitution be amended to provide for establishment by the legislature of a state court of appeals with such jurisdiction as may be granted by statute or rules as authorized thereby; providing that the number, manner of election, compensation, term of office, removal and retirement of judges of such court shall be prescribed by statute; and providing that the administration and procedures of the court shall be prescribed by supreme court rules?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 47; Nays, 2; Absent or not voting, 0. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 88; Nays, 4; Absent or not voting, 7.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

SJR 6 is a constitutional amendment which will provide faster and more efficient justice for Washington State citizens through the establishment of a new intermediate court of appeals.

# Why does Washington State need a new Court of Appeals?

Presently the only court for handling appeals, the Washington State Supreme Court, is unable to cope with the increasingly heavy volume of appeals arising out of the lower courts. Population explosion, coupled with the legal problems created by the complexity of everyday life, is the principal reason for this severe "backlog" in our courts. Since criminal cases by law have priority on the court's calendar, the time could come, if we do nothing, when the Supreme Court would have no time for civil appeals.

#### Waiting for justice is injustice

The courts are for all citizens. The courts should be a place where people can settle all kinds of disputes and decide the guilt or innocence of persons charged with crimes in a reasonable period of time. Jammed courts cause delays. We need adequate courts, and when changing conditions threaten the efficiency of our judicial system, a change is required.

#### Act now

The time for change is now, before such injustice sets in. Passage of SJR 6 is the best way to solve the inadequacy of our present court system. A court of appeals works. Nineteen states have established intermediate appellate court systems as proposed in Washington under SJR 6.

Statewide Steering Committee: WILLIAM M. ALLEN, Board Chairman, The Boeing Co.; MRS. DOROTHY BUTTON, AAUW; EDWARD CARLSON, President, Western International Hotels; STEPHEN CHADWICK, SR., American Legion; JOSEPH DRUMHELLER, Spokane Businessman; HUGH A. EVANS, President, Superior Court Judges Assoc.; ROBERT C. FINLEY, Supreme Court Chief Justice; DR. C. CLEMENT FRENCH, Ex-president, WSU; THOMAS GOSE, President, State Bar Association; A. LARS NELSON, Master, State Grange; RENO ODLIN, Board Chairman, Puget Sound National Bank; MRS. HENRY OWEN, Seattle Civic Leader; CHARLES Z. SMITH, King County Superior Court Judge; JAMES T. SULLIVAN, Seattle Building Trades Council; MRS. MORTIMER THOMAS, President, State League of Women Voters.

Committee appointed to compose the statement FOR Senate Joint Resolution No.  $6\colon$ 

NEWMAN (ZEKE) CLARK, State Representative; FRED DORE, State Senator; AUGUST MARDESICH, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: STEWART BLEDSOE, State Representative; LOUIS BRUNO, State Supt. of Public Instruction; FATHER JOHN A. FITTERER, S.J., President, Seattle University; BEN H. SEFRIT, Publisher, Bellingham Herald; WESLEY C. UHLMAN, State Senator.

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the present state constitution, the state court system is comprised of a supreme court, together with superior courts in each county, justices of the peace, and such inferior courts as the legislature may provide. The superior courts are primarily trial courts of original jurisdiction, although they also have appellate jurisdiction to the extent provided by appropriate legislative enactment with respect to causes arising in justice courts and other inferior courts in their respective counties. The supreme court has original jurisdiction in certain cases involving state offices and mandatory appellate jurisdiction in all actions or proceedings except in certain cases where the amount in controversy does not exceed \$200. In all of its appellate cases the supreme court is required to enter a written decision.

# Effect of Senate Joint Resolution No. 6 if approved into Law:

The proposed amendment provides for establishment by the legislature of a new court of appeals. The new court's jurisdiction and extent of review power over superior courts is to be provided for by statute or by supreme court rule authorized thereby. The number, manner of election, compensation, term of office, removal and retirement of judges of the court of appeals are also to be prescribed by statute, while the administrative procedure of the court is to be prescribed by supreme court rule.

Note: Complete text of Senate Joint Resolution No. 6 starts on Page 48.

## Statement AGAINST

It is neither desirable nor necessary to amend the Constitution to relieve the present congestion in the Supreme Court.

The creation of an intermediate appeals court will mean a second level of appellate procedure and an increase in the cost of litigation since many losers will appeal or attempt to appeal from the intermediate court to the Supreme Court with the resultant expense and added delay involved in an additional appeal.

The creation of an intermediate appeals court will create a non-authoritative body of law to complicate the task of ascertaining the Washington common law.

Relief of the congestion can be accomplished without amending the Constitution by a simple act of the Legislature by increasing the number of departments. The authority of the Legislature to set the number of departments and the number of judges is now in the Constitution, Section 2, Article IV.

The present two departments are fixed by statute, RCW 2.04.120. The Legislature, by amending this statute and increasing the number of judges, can provide for as many departments of the court simultaneously hearing cases as is deemed necessary.

Such operation would be similar to that followed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals which has a number of panels that function like the departments of our Supreme Court. The system there works well and the decisions are all those of the Circuit Court of Appeals with the authority of that court.

The amendment is unnecessary.

Committee appointed to arrange for the statement AGAINST SJR. No. 6:

DAVID E. McMILLAN, State Senator; MRS. JOSEPH E. HURLEY, State Representative; LYLE L. IVERSEN, Attorney.

# SENATE JOINT 17

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

## PROVIDING FOR STATE BUILDING AUTHORITY

Shall Article VIII of the State Constitution be amended by adding a new section authorizing creation by the legislature of a state building authority to construct buildings and improvements for lease to state agencies or departments for up to seventy-five years, and to finance such construction through issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be paid from the authority's revenues which would not be subject to the constitutional debt limitation?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: [49 members] Yeas, 46; Nays, 2; Absent or not voting, 1. HOUSE: [99 members] Yeas, 92; Nays, 3; Absent or not voting, 4.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

## Statement FOR

# A timely, business-like method of financing construction for state agencies

The system now is too slow. The state colleges and universities struggle to keep facilities somewhere near par with advancing enrollments. Other state agencies are similarly behind the needs of the people. The race is being lost and this constitutional amendment, in conjunction with Referendum 19 this year, is an effort to solve the problem.

Briefly stated, the situation is this: Ten years ago the Washington State Supreme Court reversed previous interpretation of the State Constitution and ruled that general obligation bonding passed by the Legislature must be referred to the voters at the next general election. This means a two-year delay in approval of capital construction with no real assurance that the projects will go ahead. The thirty projects in Referendum 19, for example, should be in service by now, but won't even be voted on until November 5.

To solve this legal problem, Senate Joint Resolution 17 was overwhelmingly passed during the 1967 session (by votes of 42 to 2 in the Senate and 92 to 3 in the House of Representatives.)

SJR 17 provides for a State Building Authority to finance construction immediately after the Legislature has approved a project or projects. Companion legislation provides

that the Authority would consist of the Governor, Lieutenant Governor and State Treasurer, which is the current state bond issuing agency. The Legislature would authorize bonds for capital expenditures just as it did before the Supreme Court's 1958 ruling. The using institution would make payments from its operating budget on each building financed in this manner until the bonds are retired, at which time title to the building would pass from the State Building Authority to the using institution.

SJR 17 will enable state agencies to react to public needs more rapidly and at the same time permit the Legislature to maintain public control over all state building programs.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Senate Joint Resolution 17:

GORDON SANDISON, State Senator; ROBERT F. GOLDS-WORTHY, State Representative; FRANK W. FOLEY, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: JOHN RUPP, State Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; MRS. GEORGE N. PRINCE, Vice Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; DR. C. CLEMENT FRENCH, Vice Chairman, Citizens for Ref. 19-SJR 17; JOE DAVIS, President, Washington State Labor Council; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange.

### The Law as it now exists:

The construction of buildings and other improvements for the various state agencies is from time to time financed through the issuance by the state of general obligation, limited obligation, or revenue bonds. Under the present constitution no law authorizing the state to contract debt in excess of the state constitutional debt limit through the issuance of general obligation bonds can take effect until it shall have been submitted to and approved by the people at a general election. The state supreme court has held this requirement of voter approval to be applicable to bonds which are issued to finance the construction of buildings for lease to state agencies when the rental payments are to be financed from state general fund appropriations.

# Effect of Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 if approved into Law:

The proposed amendment would authorize the

legislature to create a state agency called the state building authority. If authorized by the legislature, this agency could contract with other state agencies to construct buildings and improvements for their use on a lease basis at a reasonable rental rate for a term not to exceed 75 years. In addition, if authorized by the legislature, the building authority could finance such construction by borrowing funds solely on its own credit through the issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be secured by pledges of the authority's income or by mortgages of its leaseholds. In the event the authority utilizes this method of incurring indebtness, the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness would not be subject to the constitutional debt limitation and could, therefore, be issued without a vote of the people.

Approval of this proposed amendment will validate the provisions of chapter 162, Laws of 1967, which creates a state building authority.

Note: Complete text of Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 appears on Page 49.

## Statement AGAINST

Before any constitutional amendment can be submitted to the voters for decision, our state constitution requires that the proposal must first be approved by at least two-thirds of the members of each branch of the state legislature.

Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 was so approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

# SENATE JOINT 23

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

## TAXING DISTRICTS: EXCESS LEVY ELECTIONS

Shall the State Constitution be amended to permit taxing districts, if authorized by the legislature, to submit propositions for property tax levies exceeding the forty-mill limit to their voters up to 24 months before the levy date, thereby permitting two consecutive annual excess levies to be approved at one election; and authorizing submission of a second proposition in any twelve-month period only if it is substituted for the excess levy previously approved for that year?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 48; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 1. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 93; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 6.

## Statement FOR

### What does SJR 23 do for you?

SJR 23 protects your 40-mill limit law. SJR 23 is a permissive resolution. It permits you to approve special levies for up to two years, provided further that 60 per cent of the electors vote favorably on the issue. It still requires that 40 per cent of those who voted in the last general election vote on the special levies submitted. SJR 23 prohibits any pyramiding of levies, one upon another; each levy would have to be substituted for the prior authorization.

#### How will SJR 23 save you money?

SJR 23 will *eliminate* unnecessary yearly levy elections. At present it is costing *you* as much as \$125,000 per election in our larger taxing districts for every special levy election. One election could do the job of two—SJR 23 could save *you* \$125,000 in *your* district every other year.

SJR 23 will provide you greater fiscal responsibility through more careful fiscal management and economies through the elimination of costly one-year financing.

SJR 23 will give you the opportunity to plan ahead and will permit you to consider immediate future needs as well as current needs.

SJR 23 will give you the purse strings. You will decide what you want; you will decide

when you want it; you will decide when you want to pay for it.

#### Who supports SJR 23?

SJR 23 passed the legislature without a dissenting vote. A total of 141 of the 148 members were present in the House and Senate when this measure received final consideration. Your representatives and senators recognize the need for this modest improvement in special levy financing now until such time as the citizens of our state agree upon a more general tax reform. SJR 23 has general support from members of both political parties, from labor, business, industry, education, from state officials, from hundreds of civic groups and individuals who realize the necessity for greater fiscal responsibility throughout the state.

Save Tax Dollars with Fewer Elections—Vote YES on SJR 23.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR Senate Resolution No. 23: WES C. UHLMAN, State Senator; GERALD L. SALING, State Representative; LOWELL PETERSON, State Senator.

Citizens Committee for Local Fiscal Responsibility:
NORM SCHUT, Executive Director, Washington Federation
of State Employees AFL-CIO; DR. GARRETT HEYNS, Past
Director, State Institutions; MRS. ROBERTA MORICAL,
Past President, Washington Congress of Parents and Teachers; AUSTIN M. CLARK, President, Association of Washington Cities; GORDON S. CLINTON, Attorney.

<sup>\*</sup>Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General,

#### The Law as it now exists:

Amendment 17 of the state constitution (commonly referred to as the 40 mill limit provision) limits the aggregate of property tax levies by taxing districts to 40 mills on the dollar of assessed valuation, unless the voters of a taxing district, under certain specified conditions, have authorized levies in excess of the 40 mill limit. Except in the case of excess levies to pay principal and interest on general obligation bond issues, no proposition to levy a tax in excess of 40 mills may be submitted to the voters of the district more than 12 months before the proposed levy is to be made. Thus, the voters of a taxing district can only authorize a single one year excess levy for other than bond redemption purposes at any given election.

# Effect of Senate Joint Resolution No. 23 if approved into Law:

The proposed amendment would permit taxing districts, if authorized by appropriate act of the legislature, to submit propositions for tax levies exceeding the 40 mill limit to their voters up to 24 months before the levy date, thereby permitting the submission of two consecutive annual excess levies for approval at one election.

No taxing district would be permitted to submit to the voters a proposition to authorize additional levies under the amendment for any year for which the voters have previously authorized an excess levy. However, under certain specified conditions, a taxing district could submit to the voters at any time a new proposition to be substituted for the excess levy authorization which had been previously approved for that year.

Note: Complete text of Senate Joint Resolution No. 23 starts on Page 49.

## Statement AGAINST

## Protect your 40-mill limit, vote NO on SJR 23

This measure, SJR 23, is a plan leading to destruction of your present property tax protection. This protection is embodied in the 40-MILL TAX LIMIT Constitutional Provision. SJR 23 would make a hole in the tax dike which now keeps back a flood of new, bigger taxes. Once that tax dike is breached by SJR 23 the 40-mill limit will crumble. Your tax protection will be swept away.

#### 1-Year excess levies cost

228 school districts voted \$97,256,706 for collection in 1969. If SJR 23 had been in effect, this sum or greater could apply in 1970—2 years tax \$194,513,412.

Education's demands for 1967-69 were General Fund \$982.8 million, other funds \$368,700,000, total \$1,351,500,000, 60.3% of all demands. Schools' property taxes 1967, \$175,370,000, 51.83% of all property taxes that year. Their 1968-69 bite will exceed \$400 million based on 1% yearly average increase for the last 5 years.

School excess levies 1963 were \$36,064,748. In 7 years, 1962 to 1969, excess levies have multiplied 3-fold. If you vote SJR 23 into effect this increase could be greatly accelerated, and if SJR 23 were now in effect excess levies in 1970 could be another \$97\frac{1}{4}\$ million.

The school forces have repeatedly said they wish to abandon the excess levy method of raising

money. Certainly the passage of SJR 23 demanded by school forces is in the opposite direction.

Those voting for SJR 23 are signing a check for over \$100 million of excess levies for each second year. The total of these excess levies could multiply an additional 3-fold in the next 6 years.

If schools demand more money and SJR 23 should become law the aroused citizenry may alert itself to the extent that excess levies for schools would be difficult or impossible to pass. If schools demand more money, is this a wise measure? We definitely say NO.

If SJR 23 passes every taxing district can vote 2 levies for 2 years.

DON'T KILL THE 40-MILL, VOTE NO ON SJR 23.

Committee appointed to compose statement AGAINST Senate Joint Resolution No. 23:

DEWEY C. DONOHUE, State Senator; RICHARD TAYLOR, State Representative; Former Senator, FRANK C. JACKSON, Secretary, 40-MILL TAX LIMIT COMMITTEE.

Advisory Committee: J. W. WHEELER, Chairman and ERIC B. BERKLEY, Treasurer, 40-MILL TAX LIMIT COMMITTEE.

### A WORD ABOUT THE CANDIDATES' PAMPHLET . . .

The Secretary of State of the State of Washington is required by law to publish a Candidates' Pamphlet preceding each state general election.


Your copy of the 1968 Candidates' Pamphlet is enclosed. In preparation of this pamphlet the Secretary of State's office has given the opportunity to every nominee for a contested state or national office to provide a photograph and statement of qualifications.

This pamphlet has been published in four editions to minimize overlapping. It contains the material submitted by candidates for whom you will be voting on November 5, 1968 so that each voter will have the opportunity to judge what the candidates have to say on qualifications and issues. The Secretary of State has no authority to comment on the accuracy of any statements made in the Candidates' Pamphlet.

# OFFICIAL CANDIDATES PAMPHLET

General Election Tuesday, November 5, 1968

Candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States; for United States Senator; for House of Representatives, United States Congress, from the First, Second, Sixth and Seventh Congressional Districts. Candidates for statewide elective offices. Candidates for State of Washington Senate and/or House of Representatives in these State Legislative Districts: 1, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48.





of the United States





Hubert H.
HUMPHREY
and
Edmund S.
MUSKIE
Democrats

This is a time of great and rapid change, a testing time for our country and our democracy.

Vice President Hubert H. Humphrey and Senator Edmund S. Muskie offer the experience to understand the forces of change and to direct them into social progress. During his two decades in Washington, D. C., the Vice President led the fight for civil rights, for medicare, for job training for unemployed youth, for federal aid to education. He sponsored the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. He proposed the Arms Control and Disarmanent Agency, the Peace Corps. the Food for Peace program.

The Vice President says:

"We have three great needs in our nation: peace in the world, peace in our cities, and unity among our people. If I am president, I pledge to use every resource available to achieve them.

"We stand at the end of an era and the beginning of a new day. The policies of tomorrow need not be limited by the policies of yesterday. We must take new initiatives not only to reach a cease-fire and a genuine political settlement in Vietnam but to halt the arms race before it halts humanity. "Every American has the right to a safe neighborhood. On this there can be no compromise. But if we do not want a police state, we must recognize order and justice as twin imperatives. Justice requires that we rebuild our cities, revitalize our education and open new opportunities for the poor, for the disadvantaged and the residents of urban ghettoes and rural areas bypassed by modern America.


"We must continue the rapid growth of jobs, income, profits and production that has marked our strong economy of the last eight years.

"I believe in what America can do and can be.

"I ask you to stand with me."

of the United States







Richard M.
NIXON
and
Spiro T.
AGNEW
Republicans

A nation is in trouble—overseas, with civil strife, with increasing crime, with an inflated dollar which has weakening influence abroad.

With recognized and proven experience and ability, Richard M. Nixon and Spiro T. Agnew are the most qualified men to solve problems for our troubled nation.

The Nixon-Agnew team seeks to enlist other nations to share more fully in responsibilities of a free world and to establish a new structure of security to contain would-be aggressors. They seek to restore the strategic advantage the U. S. once held over the Soviets.

Explosion of bitterness in the Negro ghetto has driven home the dramatic lesson that there are many whom this society has tragically failed. Dick Nixon seeks to make the nation whole again by recognizing and acting on the need for greater black opportunity.

Dick Nixon seeks legislation to modify the effect of some recent Supreme Court decisions which have weakened the police forces against criminal forces. He seeks better-trained, better-paid police; he seeks to arm police forces with greater tools and adequate facilities so as not to be at a disadvantage to criminal forces.

Dick Nixon would enlist the assistance of countries the U. S. has helped, and which are now able to help themselves, reducing the need for terrific amounts of foreign aid. This can help restore the balance of payments deficit, reduce the gold drain, and contribute to the strengthening of the dollar and to reducing inflation.

Democrat candidates tell you they bring new leadership to America. They do not tell you they are tied to policies of the past—policies they helped make. We need a change of policy.

The Nixon-Agnew team will give that change.

This time, vote as if your whole world depended on it.



of the United States



Picture of
S. Marvin Griffin,
nominee for
Vice-President,
not available
at time of
going to press.

George C.
WALLACE
and
S. Marvin
GRIFFIN
American Party

George C. Wallace has pledged to stand up for America and the principles upon which this great nation was founded. George Wallace says, "We shall continue this movement until our Constitution is restored. . . . we shall continue this movement until we have national leadership which does not condone and explain away lawlessness, violence and crime in our streets. . . . We shall continue this movement until we have a nation wherein our states are able to run their affairs, their schools, hospitals and other domestic institutions without federal bureaucratic interference. . . . We shall continue this movement until we have national leadership which defends, not destroys, the right of ownership of private property. . . . We shall continue this movement until we have a nation whose fighting men and their families know that there is direction and a reason for their daily commitment between life and death. . . . We shall continue this movement until we have a nation where the free enterprise system is left alone and allowed to work without labor unions, small businesses and others having to keep books for the federal government.

. . . I am in this race irrevocably . . . I run to win!"

George Wallace asks the help and support of every person who believes in states' rights, constitutional government, law and order, free enterprise, the property ownership system and good, honest government.

Vote for George Wallace and stand up for America.

of the United States







Henning A.
BLOMEN
and
George S.
TAYLOR
Socialist Labor Party of America

The 1968 campaign is being conducted at a time when the social system under which we live is literally falling apart. We see it in the widespread corruption at every level of society. We see it in the growing anarchy and violence throughout the country and the world. We see it in the growing contempt for the great traditions of this nation; in the disregard for its basic laws; and in innumerable other developments.

Furthermore, the problems of poverty, slums, unemployment, crime, racism, air and water pollution and many more have been with us for a very long time. Every politician who has run for office for the past 50 years or more has promised to alleviate or eliminate these evils. Despite these promises, and despite the reform efforts of those elected to office, these problems have defied solution.

The reason is that these evils are basically the inevitable product of a decadent and rapidly disintegrating social system—the capitalist system—which is threatening to destroy humanity.

The Socialist Labor Party is the only organization in this campaign demanding the abolition of this sys-

tem. Moreover, it is the only organization offering a program for a socialist reconstruction of society in a peaceful civilized manner. It calls upon the working class of this nation to organize its tremendous political and industrial might in order to accomplish the change to Socialism. Organized politically as a class, the workers can establish their right to do so. Organized industrially into Socialist Industrial Unions, they can enforce that right by legally taking over the industries and social services of the land and continuing to operate them through a Socialist Industrial Union Government in the interest of all society—producing for the use of all instead of for the profit of a few.



of the United States





Eldridge
CLEAVER
and
Cal
WINSLOW
Peace and Freedom Party

Eldridge Cleaver, Minister of Information, Black Panther Party, member California Peace and Freedom Party State Steering Committee.

Cal Winslow, History Graduate Student and Teaching Assistant at University of Washington, past Chairman U.W. Vietnam Committee, S.D.S.

The Peace and Freedom Party is an independent, permanent radical political party, permanently separate and distinct from any other political party. We see the Democratic-Republican party as part of the system in which the economic and social interests of a few determine the policies which bear major responsibility for the evils against which we are committed to fight.

The Peace and Freedom Party stands for the immediate, unconditional withdrawal from Vietnam and is in opposition to the exploitative thrust of American foreign and domestic policy.

The Peace and Freedom Party supports the Black Liberation movement in its struggle for equality and self-determination. We support similar movements among Mexican-Americans, Indians, and other oppressed peoples. The Peace and Freedom Party supports poor people, workers, students, and other groups in their struggle for political, social, and economic justice and control over the institutions which dominate their lives. The right to unite for mutual protection, to strike and to demonstrate is an inviolable part of the struggle.

The Peace and Freedom Party supports and promotes the struggle for women's right to equality in all areas of society—economic, legal, and political.

It is clear that these kinds of changes cannot be achieved by electoral means. They cannot be achieved until a unified mass people's movement has been forged. This explains the importance of the alliance of Peace and Freedom and Black Panther Party—an alliance founded on uncompromised radical principles. Our alliance uses electoral politics to help build a mass base and provides a platform for our ideas, and also organizes in communities and links up with community and labor struggles.

of the United States







Fred
HALSTEAD
and
Paul
BOUTELLE
Socialist Workers Party

On the two major issues facing the United States, the war in Vietnam and the struggle of black people for human rights, Humphrey, Nixon, and Wallace have similar positions. All support the war and, under the guise of "law and order", the suppression of black Americans. They differ only on methods.

Fred Halstead and Paul Boutelle, on the other hand, are for the immediate withdrawal of U.S. troops from Vietnam, an end to America's imperialist foreign policy, and support the right of black people to control their own communities. While other candidates speak one way and act another, Fred Halstead and Paul Boutelle have a record of acting on their stated beliefs.

Halstead has been a leading figure in the antiwar movement. He served as administrative secretary of the New York Fifth Avenue Peace Parade Committee and was a key organizer of the April 15, 1967 New York march of 500,000 people to "Bring the Troops Home Now." This August he toured South Vietnam, letting GI's know that the antiwar movement wants them brought home now! Halstead is the author of "Harlem Stirs," a book about the rent strike movement in New York.

Paul Boutelle is a well known black militant. He has long been active in the freedom struggle. He was one of the founders of Afro-Americans Against the War and the National Black Antiwar Antidraft Union. He is currently secretary of Harlem's Black United Action Front. The SWP's Vice Presidential candidate has actively supported committees to defend black victims of police repression in the U.S. and South Africa.

Both candidates are working men and members of unions in their trades.

Had enough of voting for lesser-evils and supporting candidates who oppose what you're for? Make the break! Vote Halstead, Boutelle, Leonard and Reissner in November!



of the United States





Charlene
MITCHELL
and
Michael
ZAGARELL
Free Ballot Party

Voters are asking: Why does the genocidal war against the people of Vietnam still continue? Why must ten million people go to bed hungry every night? Why do millions in the ghettos and slums have to live in rat-infested, ramshakle houses? Why do workers find their wages eaten up by ever-bigger tax bites and ever-higher prices?

We reply: These and many other questions from concerned people reflect the failure of the system. The system has failed the working people, the black people, the poor people. It is totally unresponsive to the Indian people.

The catch-all promises of both Democrats and Republicans solve nothing. They mean nothing after the elections. The people must build their own power, to challenge the powerful. They need a platform of things that need to be done, that need to be fought for by the people themselves. The fight now is a fight for real democracy.

The system has failed the people because it exists for profit, not human rights. The owners of the big corporations profit from racism, profit from poverty, profit from war. Our country is pervaded with racism because it is profitable.

The ultra-rich profit-seekers turn in this crisis to still more racism, more brutal violence, more aggression against oppressed peoples.

We believe the strength of the people will grow. They will turn back the racists. They will turn around the system. They will turn to socialism. The lies spread about socialism and communism will give way to the truth.

The Free Ballot Party nominated the presidential ticket of Mitchell and Zagarell (1) in order to assure a place on the ballot for the first black woman ever to be a candidate for President; and (2) to guarantee that the Communist views would be a part of the election dialogue.

#### **Election Notes:**



State of Washington



## Warren G. MAGNUSON

Democrat

The outstanding record of Senator Magnuson in representing our state and nation is reflected by what others say about him. Here are several recent examples:

"His seniority is of unquestionable value and his influence on Capitol Hill is great . . . The key to Magnuson's influence is his likability." William Prochnau, Seattle Times, 1/23/67. "As they trust his good faith . . . Senator Magnuson's colleagues also trust his instincts and judgment."—Wall Street Journal, 4/2/65.

"Senator Magnuson's thoughtful remarks are matched by his actions in the Senate. Senator Magnuson deserves the thanks of all of us."—Senator Robert F. Kennedy, Congressional Record, 10/25/67. ". . . Magnuson is becoming the consumer's champion in Congress, not by virtue of any elaborate public relations build-up, but by passing legislation designed to protect the buying public." —The Argus, 9/22/67.

"Senator Magnuson is generally regarded by persons of all political persuasions as one of the most effective professionals in Washington when it comes to getting results."—Lenny Anderson, Seattle P-I, 8/29/67. "He is a problem solver, whether it be human rights or conservation or education, and he can do better with a problem than any man I have ever observed in the Senate."—Lee Metcalf, Mont., quoted in Tri-City Herald, 4/3/67.

"Senator Warren Magnuson has done more to protect housewives from shoddy material and fake purchases than any other Senator in recent memory." Drew Pearson, Washington Post, 1/1/68. "Maggie gets credit . . . for the cigarette labeling bill, the truth-in-packaging bill, the boxcar bill, and the auto safety bill. Few other Senators can claim half as much."—Shelby Scates, Seattle P-I, 9/11/66.

"The accomplishments of Washington's senior Senator in the conservation and development of the power and water resources are becoming legendary." Gerald C. Fenton, Pres., Wn. Public Utility Dists. Assn., 12/7/67.

State of Washington





Jack
METCALF
Republican

Jack Metcalf is a dynamic, new leader emerging on the national scene after eight years of experience in state government as a legislator. His vigorous, positive campaign is based on the premise that "it is not possible to solve the nation's grave problems by re-electing those who created them. Time is running out. We don't have time to be wrong again."

Jack's problem-solving techniques evoke the respect and cooperation of his colleagues in the legislature where he is known as "a strong, soft-spoken man who can get things done by working with both Democrats and Republicans." His unique ability to win cooperation is matched by his courage in speaking out on the issues and implementing action.

He believes national leaders have failed on the critical issues of foreign aid and trade with communist countries, Vietnam, inflation, race relations and crime. On trade with communists: "More than 80% of the weapons used by North Vietnam are manufactured by Eastern European countries including Russia. It is inherently wrong for the U. S.

to ship steel, rubber and guidance systems to communist nations while Americans are fighting in Vietnam."

On inflation: "We must curb inflation and thus protect the purchasing power of the consumer's dollar." On race relations: "Economic independence is the only way that minorities will achieve freedom and social status so urgently needed. Minorities want the opportunity for a stake in the economic system, not handouts."

Senator Metcalf has served two terms as a State Representative and currently is a State Senator.

A 40-year-old teacher, he is a graduate of Pacific Lutheran University. Jack, his wife and five daughters, live in Mukilteo where he has served his community with distinction.

Governor Daniel J. Evans says of Senator Metcalf, "He is the kind of young leader we need in Washington, D. C."



State of Washington



## Irwin R. HOGENAUER

The New Party

End the war in Vietnam. We should withdraw troops. We should let the Vietnamese determine their own destiny. The American people are learning the truth and the government is trying to keep it from them.

We should offer asylum to those who desire it. We spread military violence around the world. There may be other Vietnams. The Senate must act to extricate us from this disastrous course.

The military has usurped civilian control of our political life. The military establishment must be diminished and all non-military efforts strengthened to effect a peaceful world.

The Selective Service law must be repealed.

Violence is decreased only by decreasing violence. Threat of violence is no deterrent to acts of frustration and despair. Investigations into violence are one-sided. Investigators prejudge. Deeper investigation must be made of initiation and encouragement of violence by government personnel in and out of uniform. Federal legislation must be enacted to help law enforcement agencies in training in the handling of violence with greater respect for civil


rights and bodies of persons. All citizens have no recourse if they cannot turn to law enforcement personnel with faith and confidence. Billions diverted from preparation for massive violence can be channeled to alleviate human needs and re-focus national resources on human values.

New directions are needed for a new day with the New Party.

Educated at Haverford College, Birmingham-Southern College and Columbia University. Traveled throughout United States, Germany and Austria. Experience in education, private business, consumer cooperatives, social agencies and hospital group worker. Presently independent businessman. Early life Lutheran and Methodist, 20 years Quaker. Refused service in armed forces and participation in draft. Over 30 years involved in peace and civil rights education and nonviolent action. Civic affairs and volunteer agencies.

State of Washington





#### Debbie LEONARD

Socialist Workers Party

The Socialist Workers Party opposes the Democratic and Republican parties. We believe these parties do not represent the interests of the majority of Americans. They represent the small minority perpetuating racism at home and promoting war to protect investments abroad.

Our platform is: 1. Bring the troops home from Vietnam now. We support the GI's right to express opposition to the war. We call for abolition of the draft. 2. Black control of the black community. Black people have the right to control their community's schools, businesses, police, and politics. We defend the right of Afro-Americans to arm and organize for self-defense. We demand an end to police brutality. As an immediate step, elect community-based civilian police review boards. We support independent black political action as exemplified by the Seattle Black Panther Party.

3. Support labor's fight against inflation and government control; more power to the rank and file. We want a reduced work week with no cut in pay, and unemployment compensation at union wage scale for jobless persons over eighteen. We are for

an independent labor party based on the unions. 4. The right to vote at 18.

5. A socialist America. Nationalize major corporations and banks under the control of democratically elected workers' committees. The economy should be planned democratically for the benefit of All instead of the profit of a few.

Debbie Leonard has been chairman of the U. of W. Vietnam Committee, and Director of the Seattle Mobilization Committee which got 800 people to the San Francisco anti-war demonstration, April 1967. She was active in Women's Boycott for lower food prices. To run for the Senate she resigned her job as a child welfare caseworker. She was a member of Local 843, AFSCME. Married, she is the mother of two.



First Congressional District



Don COLE


Democrat

No longer can the First Congressional District afford the luxury of ineffective representation. We are confronted with a multiplicity of problems brought about by rapid urban development. These problems have become our prime concern.

Cole, as Senator Magnuson's aide, worked with businessmen, local officials, and community leaders to seek and implement new ideas in health, education, transportation, and urban affairs. Unlike the incumbent who has voted against mass urban transit and the Model Cities program, Don Cole will work with and represent all the residents of the district.

As Staff Counsel for the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Cole prepared legislation in the fields of consumer protection, fisheries, and conservation. Cole will provide the district with dedicated leadership. With Averell Harriman, Cole served as a U.S. Delegate to the London conference on the formulation of international agreements covering safety of life at sea.

A Phi Beta Kappa grad. of Whitman College, Don studied law at the U. of W. and edited the Law Review. Sea duty as a naval officer. Previously, City Attorney for Tukwila. Presently in private practice with a Seattle firm, specializes in land-use and urban law. Don, his wife, Neva, and daughter, Christy, are active members of the Capitol Hill Community.



Tom PELLY

Republican

For sixteen years Tom Pelly has been a man of more than words!

Tom Pelly's leadership in fighting government waste and working for balanced budgets has earned him the title, "Watchdog of the Treasury".

As ranking Republican on the Oceanography Subcommittee Tom Pelly was instrumental in es-tablishing the Joint Oceanographic Research Group in Seattle. Thus, Seattle is one of America's leading centers of oceanographic technology. His efforts to protect the rights and interests of the fishing industry have earned honors from national and local fishing organizations.

Tom Pelly is one of the most outspoken Congressmen for a vigorous Merchant Marine. He advocates building American ships with American labor, manned by American seamen.

He is a vigorous supporter of conservation. Tom Pelly has been in the forefront of the fight to protect the buying power of wages and the value of the American dollar.

With your help and vote November 5, Tom Pelly will continue to serve the best interests of the nation and the First District. Tom Pelly is the man to keep on the job!

Sponsored by the Pelly for Congress Committee, Alan Hoelting and Ted A. Smits, Co-Chairmen, 2633 Eastlake Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98102.

First Congressional District





Judith C. SHAPIRO Peace and Freedom Party

"It is clear that our country is in a deep crisis. Today we find U. S. domination of Vietnam placed above the lives of American and Vietnamese youth, 'labor-management harmony' above decent wages and working conditions, ghetto peace above racial equality, anti-communism above freedom, missiles above eradicating poverty. I see this apparent madness of our national priorities as the logical outcome of existing institutions. To change these priorities we must change these institutions.

"The two 'major' parties offer the same programs to solve the crisis which brought us into it. The growing movement in support of George Wallace, or 'law and order' is a tragic response to the same problems and the same powerlessness of the people we in the PFP recognize. But the program of the right-wing cannot remove the frustration and bitterness in the lives of the people.

"The only way we can change America is to build a lasting movement for radical social change. Our campaigns, here and across the nation, will begin to talk to the American people, begin to bring them into a growing radical movement. The people who join us will shape that movement with us."



Second Congressional District

## MEEDS Democrat



Congressman Meeds helps people. He does it by working from morning 'til night, by digging up facts, listening to people, questioning experts, and translating it all into effective action.

The forgotten youth of America are the majority of high school students who do not go to college. Lloyd Meeds has been trying to help them acquire training and good jobs. He sponsored and pushed through Congress a major vocational education bill. Many youngsters will now get something better from life.

Another Meeds bill freed \$60 million for school construction in Washington State. His amendment to the new Farm Bill helps dairymen improve their income. He helped protect jobs in the timber industry by getting a limit on the export of logs from federal lands. Congressman Meeds pushed hard for improvements in Social Security, for clean air and pure water, for job safety legislation, for loans to pay for college, and for the Crime Control Act of 1968.

His "do it now" approach and his ability to slash through red tape have impressed Second District

citizens and local officials. Congressman Lloyd Meeds likes people, and he likes to help them solve their problems.

Second Congressional District



#### Wally TURNER

Republican



Wally Turner, Everett, Republican candidate for Congress from the Second District, is Pacific Northwest born and raised. He is a graduate of Willamette University and holds Government Administration Master's from University of Minnesota. He twice was sent by Navy during World War II to Harvard Business School.

He served private industry in Saudi Arabia as government relations specialist and United Nations in Lebanon as management analyst.

In Everett since 1954, Turner, 51, owns wholesale auto parts business. He has been active in community affairs: Past president of Rotary, on executive committees of UGN, Chamber, Boy Scouts. He chaired Everett's successful multi-million dollar campaign for better streets, schools, parks, fire protection.

He feels administration has failed to act to halt law and order breakdown, inflation and foreign threats. Incumbent Congressman is a follower, not a leader, has not stood up for protection we desire.

In the Second District great changes are taking place. They call for leaders, not caretaker. Hous-

ing, education, job opportunities, parks, recreation, pollution control must be solved. They call for public officials who can analyze a problem, plan solution and provide leadership. Turner has had this training and experience.

# 6

#### **United States Representative**

Sixth Congressional District



Floyd V. HICKS Democrat

The objective analysis of the public press is one of the most reliable means of gauging a public official, for the press is on hand to observe him at close quarters and does not pull punches. Here are a few comments about Floyd Hicks as a Congressman:

"Hicks' responsible independence is a byword on Capitol Hill and throughout his constituency."—Washington Teamster newspaper. "Hicks is nobody's mouthpiece."—Seattle Argus. "We have to give you (Hicks) credit for standing up for your convictions... Sounds like you call 'em the way you see 'em, and that's refreshing these days."—Bremerton Sun.

"Congressman Floyd Hicks showed wisdom and daring. . . ."—Buckley News Banner. "He looks every inch a Congressman . . . the same candor and wry humor for which he is becoming legendary in both Washington and the Sixth Congressional District."—William Prochnau, Seattle Times Washington correspondent.

"Rep. Floyd Hicks, Washington Democrat . . . has brought some fresh air into Congress."—Columnist Drew Pearson. "Hicks avoids formalities he considers ridiculous."—Tacoma News Tribune.

"There was one negative vote out of the 36 in the committee, that one cast with the conviction of leadership by Washington's Rep. Floyd Hicks." —Fendall Yerxa, Seattle Post-Intelligencer.



Anthony (Tony)
CHASE

Republican

Anthony (Tony) Chase is only 30. Yet Chase has accumulated more experience in government than many men presently in Congress.

During 1967-68, he served Governor Dan Evans as his Coordinator of Federal-State Relations, mostly in D. C., coordinating nearly 500 federal-state programs.

Evans recruited Chase from a top government job. At 28, Tony Chase was appointed to the highest administrative position under the Comptroller of the Currency, James J. Saxon. He had progressed from a National Bank Examiner to Deputy Admin. Asst. to the Comptroller. Law degree from Georgetown U. Graduate, U of W.

Tony Chase thinks the Sixth Congressional District deserves better representation in Congress than it's now getting. He thinks a Congressman should represent the people of his district and not the President's administration. Our world, our country and our district are rapidly changing. Status quo politicians are no longer capable of coping with that change.

Here's what others say about him: "Tony's qualifications and experience made him an outstanding member of our staff. I can't think of a finer candidate for Congress."—Governor Dan Evans. "One of the ablest young men I have had the opportunity to work with. I can think of no one more qualified to serve in the Congress than this unusually capable man."—James J. Saxon, former Comptroller of the Currency.

Politics aside, shouldn't we send our best man to Congress?

Sixth Congressional District





Betty Jane HIEGEL Conservative Party

Over 23,750 Americans killed, 159,000 wounded in Vietnam for my freedom—and yours! Do you care, Mr. and Mrs. America? Enough to stand up for America with them? for U.S. Constitution—not U.N.? State's rights? Return to individual responsibility—not gov't control? retain control of U.S. domestic problems — Connally Reservation — not World Court and law? Sovereign nation under God? Keeping Panama? Local control of police and schools? Gold standard—not Federal (Intnat'l) Reserve World Banks? trade with Republic of Rhodesia—not communists? Parents' and property rights? (Shotts Bill) Law and order (not World U.N. police)? Separation of church of state? ending Seato (U.N.) undeclared, planned wars? House Committee on Un-American Activities? Loyalty oaths' registering communists, not firearms? investigation of Dept. of State (goal is "Emergence of World gov't" see "Your Dept. of State" No. 7244? pulling out of NATO world government under U.N.? Monroe Doctrine, Declaration of Independence and U.S. flag?

flag?

Vote for me if the above plaform is a loyal American Christian one. I promise to dedicate my life, my small fortune and my sacred honor to defend and support the Constitution of these United States, so help me God! I have 4 children, 6 grand-children—have lived in Tacoma 22 years. P.S. Am happily married!

2 Chron. 7:14.

# 7

#### **United States Representative**

Seventh Congressional District



Brock
ADAMS
Democrat

"Brock Adams is known among members of the House of Representatives as one of its hardest workers, a man with boundless enthusiasm, and the energy and stamina to back up that enthusiasm." (WMAL Radio editorial—Washington, D. C.)

This is typical of the comments made about Brock. In an institution where seniority is important, Congressman Adams has proved himself a highly effective Representative for Washington's 7th District in just two terms.

His whole background and training justify the voters' faith in him: Navy electronics specialist in WW II; outstanding student (Phi Beta Kappa), U of W and Harvard; former instructor American Banking Institute; author of articles on Federal tax law; Western Washington Chairman of "Kennedy for President" campaign in 1960; U. S. District Attorney for Western Washington, 1961-64; highly successful private law practice prior to that. Wife Betty and he have four children who expect and get their fair share of Dad's time.

In Congress, his leadership has been strong and clear. His is a powerful voice on the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee.

Congressman Adams also serves on the District of Columbia Committee where he is an expert on burgeoning urban problems. His efforts to find solutions for increasing crime have won him national recognition.



Robert EBERLE Republican

Bob Eberle is a King County Freeholder, former State Legislator, active in numerous civic affairs; a research engineer for Boeing. Bob, 33, has a wife, Kay, and three children.

Bob Eberle has said: on Law and Order: "There are excuses for riots. But there are no good reasons. It is time for the courts to give the ordinary lawabiding citizen the same rights now being given to criminals." On Crime: "First we should enforce all existing laws. Then Congress, and the President, should see to it that the courts protect law-abiding citizens equally and fairly." On Vietnam: "The Eisenhower formula in Korea has proven correct. Many people doubted that Ike could end the war in Korea. They were wrong. Those who don't take advantage of history are doomed to repeat it." On Taxes and Spending: "The answer to all problems is not 'spend more money'. The Washington, D. C., crowd hasn't learned that pouring more money into a bad program only creates a more expensive bad program."

Bob Eberle is not a slick "pro". He is a concerned, active citizen. He is experienced in government. Popular in business and a good family man.

Bob Eberle will make an outstanding Congress-man.

Seventh Congressional District





Flo WARE Peace and Freedom Party

I am a candidate for the PFP because without this commitment all the efforts I have made to get freedom and justice in this country will have been in vain.

My activities in the black community and among the poor have convinced me of the need for a new independent political organization. The major parties strangle the movement for liberation and peace, and we are smothered in racism, militarism and hopelessness.

There seems no end to violence against people who demonstrate and petition for real change. The government is as unrestrained in its repression of poor and black Americans as it is of Freedom Fighters in Vietnam.

The world watched as Washington D. C. authorities beat and herded the poor into jails. The world watched as police exercised their "duties" on the youth in Chicago. How long will it take before repression gets to you?

I do not wish to see in the U. S. the butchery that took place in Germany. I am a candidate because the determination of the black community cannot, by itself, resolve the problems we face. Unity of black and white radicals and workers is necessary because neither can satisfy his interests without the support of the other!



## Governor State of Washington



John J.
O'CONNELL
Democrat

John J. O'Connell, at 49, has a record of outstanding achievement which shows him well qualified to provide the strong leadership necessary in the office of Governor for the years of challenge now facing Washington State.

Graduating from Gonzaga U. Law School in 1942, O'Connell entered the Army, serving in the South Pacific, until 1946. He then entered law practice in his home town, Tacoma, and shortly thereafter married the former Margaret Hatchell of Everett. The O'Connell's still live in Tacoma with their six children; one son, 19, and five daughters, 9 to 17.

Appointed in 1948 Tacoma's Asst. Corp. Counsel, O'Connell was elected Pierce Co. Prosecuting Atty. in 1950, cleaned up vice in the county, and was reelected in 1954. In 1956, O'Connell was elected State Atty. General, and re-elected by sizeable majorities in 1960 and 1964.

O'Connell's record as Atty. General earned him recognition as the outstanding Atty. General in the nation in 1961; elected President of the National

Association of Attorney Generals in 1963; and the Council of State Governments award for outstanding contribution in the field of consumer protection in 1968.

Under O'Connell's direction, Washington's Office of Attorney General, its early efforts on civil rights, and its legal opinions and work in consumer protection and antitrust fields have become models for legislation and action across the nation.

O'Connell believes that state government must lead the way in meeting the challenges of human rights, education, crime prevention, recreation, air and water pollution, agriculture, urban sprawl and other areas which preserve the good life we enjoy in Washington. He believes strong leadership from the governor is needed now to solve the problems and capitalize on opportunities presented by our state's dynamic growth.

O'Connell is a man of action, not of words. The most important vote cast in 1968 will be for a strong, responsible governor—John O'Connell.



Daniel J. EVANS Republican

Governor Dan Evans is seeking election to his second term as governor of the State of Washington.

When Evans took office in January, 1965, he began implementation of his "Blueprint for Progress" campaign platform, and with broad support from both labor and industry began the job of moving the state's lagging economy into high gear. Running the state on a "money-in-the-bank" basis, an inherited deficit has been eliminated, giving our state necessary funds to help meet critical emergencies and growing needs.

Exercising his belief that state problems should be dealt with close to home, Evans has made himself known—both statewide and nationally—as a forceful executive attuned to the needs of the people. Foreign trade, tourism, education, law enforcement, transportation, health and highways are but a few of the areas showing extensive progress during his administration. He established air-and water-pollution controls, initiated a \$242 million

school construction program, and moved to preserve recreational areas and to protect the state's natural resources.

He has promoted self-help programs and assisted in developing a pilot-model Multi-Service Center that has pioneered new approaches in coordinating state services where needed, helping hundreds of people find jobs and job training.

These are some of the forward-looking programs developed during the past four years. The needs of the future are critical, demanding an enlightened, fast-thinking man who can guide our state through this important time in history. The man who has proven his capabilities for this kind of leadership is Governor Dan Evans.

Biographical sketch: Born 1925, Seattle; Married, Nancy Bell; 3 children; U. of Wash., M.S. in Civil Engineering; Chairman, Western Governors Conference; Keynote speaker, Republican National Convention, Miami.



## Governor State of Washington



Ken CHRISWELL Conservative Party

Ken Chriswell has a background of government service and free enterprise: U.W. graduate; public relations for U.S. Government; W.W. II chief inspector Gen'l Sherman tanks; insurance agent and broker for many years; extensive travel in America and around the world (at his own expense).

Seriously interested in American heritage, Constitutional law and order under God, and the qualities of integrity, ingenuity, morality, and courage that made America the greatest and most beloved country in the history of the world, Ken also knows who and what is responsible for our decline in prestige abroad and disintegration into riots and anarchy at home. He knows solutions and methods that must be used at the state level for true progress toward peace and an even greater America of unlimited opportunity for all. Powers stolen by the Federal Government in violation of the Constitution must be returned to the states and people, in order to guarantee unhampered freedom and prosperity.

Ken will work: For curtailment of Federal controls; For taxes back in your pockets through decreased government expenditures; For investigation and prosecution of subversives creating chaos in our state; For investigating the communist controlled United Nations and its commitment of our young men to continual "peaceful" wars; Against gun registration and For citizen's rights to protect life and property; Against Federal control over local police and For exposure of the communist "police brutality" fraud; For youth's opportunity to progress by restoring free enterprise incentive (dole lines and stifling regulations of every detail of the citizen's life is the goal of every welfare-collectivist-socialist-communist state); For reinstating and enforcing Constitutional law and order.

It takes courage, but if Ken Chriswell is elected Governor he will "stand up for America". You finally have a choice—Will you stand up with Ken!



Henry KILLMAN

Socialist Labor Party

Candidate  $\operatorname{did}$  not submit photograph and statement for publication.



## Lieutenant Governor



John A.
CHERBERG
Democrat

The true measure of a man is what people say about him. And here's what has been said about Lieutenant Governor John A. Cherberg:

". . . one of the most respected and admired public officials ever elected to office by the voters of Washington." (Fire Fighter Magazine, July, 1968)

Unanimous Senatorial commendations for "... dedication to his office, and constant striving to advance the best interest of the people of the state of Washington, with responsibility, dignity, high principle, impartiality and loyalty to Senators over whom he has so nobly presided." (Washington Senate Journals, 1957-67)

Only Lieutenant Governor to serve as a member of five State Government Committees and Chairman of two interim Legislative Committees. (By Legislative Statutes)

Endorsed for re-election by the Washington State Labor Council, AFL-CIO. (1968 State Convention.) Recognized as the Nation's leading Lieutenant Governor and unanimously chosen Chairman of the 1968 National Conference of Lieutenant Governors.

Also honored for outstanding public service and achievement by other local and national organizations, John Cherberg is truly a "champion of the people." John Cherberg likes and respects people . . . they obviously feel the same about him. Because he serves All the people, John Cherberg merits re-election as Your Lieutenant Governor.



Art FLETCHER Republican

Washington State needs a Lieutenant Governor with experience, an understanding of the ghetto and the poor, and a desire to do something about the problems we all face.

The job of Lieutenant Governor can be meaningful. Opportunities are obvious in a state that is expanding economically at a fantastic rate, has the problems of a population boom, the demands of war on poverty and a struggle for civil rights. The Lieutenant Governor should have the responsibility to "do a job" in those areas.

Presently the Lieutenant Governor has little to do. He could be working year-around on the serious problems facing our state. I propose to do this 12 months of the year in a human and professional way, using self-help concepts, along with manpower development and executive administration in every corner of this state.

I have already shown that today's problems can be met head on. I can communicate with the poor of all races. I can get groups started helping themselves with their own resources. I am asking you to help me to help others and to make the office of Lieutenant Governor a "working" part of government.

#### Lieutenant Governor

State of Washington





Don TAIT Conservative Party

A vote for a Conservative Party candidate is not a vote for a man, but for a set of principles. These principles are clearly set forth in the Constitution, and in general, tend to limit the size and power of government. It is our determination to return America and Washington State to these principles, and in so doing return the government to the hands of the people. Small government means more individual responsibility and more freedom; big government means more taxes, waste and corruption, plus less freedom.

As Lt. Governor presiding over the State Senate, a Conservative would not have a viewpoint subject to either Republican or Democratic Party pressure; this would enable him to exercise a degree of judgment during the floor fights waged over legislation. By providing the objective point of view he could keep much foolish legislation from being passed "in the dark" by vote trading politicians.

We Conservatives are not politicians, but are citizens who feel we must either stop complaining about poor government, or try to do something about it; by running for office we prove that we mean business and hope that you will join us in our effort to change the present suicidal course of our beloved America.



Michael P. LEAVY Peace and Freedom Party

Mike Leavy asserts the right of every human being to live in health and with dignity. Welfare degrades recipients, thereby negating their potential for initiating social change. This society should guarantee as a right the basis of a fulfilling life. He proposes:

1. A guaranteed universal minimum income of \$7,000 for all persons, with a cost of living provision included. 2. Illegalization of garnishment. 3. Free higher education and medical care. 4. State financed accident and automobile insurance.

Mike Leavy endorses the Black Panther Tenpoint program for human equality, and promises to be a tribune of the oppressed in the legislative fortress of the wealthy. He will do everything within his power to assure that this state honors all treaties made between the Indians and the U.S.

He advocates abolishment of the State Tax, wants a graduated income tax which excludes low income families.

He advocates abolishment of the Sales Tax, wants this state sanctuary for draft resisters and military personnel who refuse to fight in this country's imperialistic and exploitive wars. He opposes all forms of military conscription.

Mike Leavy advocates full legal rights for all persons eighteen years of age, including the right to vote.



## Secretary of State State of Washington



Paul BENTLEY Democrat

Senator Paul Bentley, 40-year resident, was raised and educated in Washington. He is a graduate of Roosevelt H.S. in Seattle; B.A. in Business Admin., U of W; Masters Degree from the U. of Utah; at-

tended the U. of Puget Sound, San Diego State and Utah State U. He is an active commercial bushpilot; a Lt. Col. in the Air Force Reserve; and a veteran of W.W. II.

A former business manager and federal investigator, Senator Bentley has been a mathematics teacher. He is the immediate past president of the Tacoma Federation of Teachers and vice president of the Washington State Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO.

In seeking this office, Senator Bentley is vitally concerned with strengthening the two party system in our state and revitalizing our election procedures. To this end Senator Bentley would: a) simplify registration procedures ("it is easier to purchase a gun than to register to vote"); b) actively seek liberalization of voting statutes; c) computerize all voter registration lists, making them available at nominal cost; d) compile and publish by-laws of all political parties and subdivisions thereof; e) publish notices of all precinct caucuses; f) and promote the presidential preference primary.

A vote for Senator Bentley is a vote for revitalizing the Office of Secretary of State and for improving our democratic process.



A. Ludlow KRAMER Republican

Secretary of State A. Ludlow Kramer was elected to office in 1964 after serving on the Seattle City Council. His consistent objective has been to modernize the machinery of government to keep pace with the state's rapidly changing needs.

Kramer's first action in office was to request a 10% cut in the budget prepared by his predecessor. By improving office procedures and introducing computer processing he was able to return \$90,000 to the state's general fund.

As Chief Elections Officer, Kramer has worked to streamline voting procedures. He improved the voters' pamphlet and, two years ago, introduced this candidates' pamphlet. With innovative methods and printing and mailing economies, this was done at a saving of \$475,000.

Believing that the scope of any public office is limited only by the vision of the officeholder and the people he serves, Secretary Kramer has accepted many additional important duties. He served as the state's first Coordinator of Urban Affairs and as Chairman of the Constitutional Revision Committee. As Chairman of the Urban Affairs Council, he is currently spearheading its Commission on the Cause and Prevention of Civil Disorder.

When you vote for A. Ludlow Kramer you are voting for another four years of rewarding leader-ship.

#### Secretary of State

State of Washington





Rod MAHAFFEY Conservative Party

41 years old; married; family; University graduate; cargo checker, waterfront; Assistant Building Maintenance Manager, Air West Airlines.

The constitution has the answers to most of our

problems. Why do we hesitate to use it? Who is it that is really against the constitution, that is seeking to modify it? It is the followers of International Socialism. Do we have to agree with them just because they shout the loudest? No, of course not. Let us enforce this document which has helped bring us our precious freedom and prosperity, not dilute it and eventually abandon it, for a world

dictatorship, poverty and slavery.

This position needs someone who knows and works within the constitution. It needs someone who believes in God as the source of the rights and direction of man; who believes in local people instead of centralized government; who believes in the American system of free-enterprise as opposed to Socialism; and who believes in the protection of American industry and labor. In short, someone who will stand up for America.

Today's weight of turmoil and strife forces us to hold up, rather than to discard, our constitutional order and foundation.

Vote Wallace! Vote Mahaffey! Vote you! Vote

America!



### State Treasurer



Robert S.
O'BRIEN

Democrat

State Treasurer Robert S. O'Brien is a native of Washington, born in Seattle and attended school there and in Olympia.

He was elected State Treasurer in 1964 and in

the four years he has served in that office he has been given national recognition for his work.

When elected, Treasurer O'Brien recognized an opportunity for improved cash management and short-term investment yields. He requested and obtained from the 1967 Legislature passage of seven bills, three of which contributed substantially to the improved interest earnings which increased from \$4.5 million in 1965 to \$11.5 million in 1967.

Treasurer O'Brien believes that continuing a prudent investment program will result in still larger dividends to Washington citizens.

In addition to his formal education and technical seminars in investment and data processing, Treasurer O'Brien served eight years in an administrative capacity with Kaiser Corp.; six years successful private businessman; served 14 years as Grant County Treasurer; elected president of State Association of Elected County Officials, three consecutive terms; elected president of Washington County Treasurers' Assn.; and was one of 300 participants selected to attend a federally sponsored economic symposium to review the U. S. Government's annual budget.



Edwin J. ALEXANDER Republican

Edwin J. Alexander, Olympia Newspaper Publisher, is well known throughout the state as an energetic campaigner and dynamic speaker. He has long been active in Community, Civic, Fraternal, Church and Governmental Affairs.

He served four years as Administrative Assistant in the Department of Finance, Budget and Business and eight years as Director of Personnel both under Governor Arthur B. Langlie.

His long list of credits include National Officer and State President of the Elks; Past State President Washington Young Republicans; Past State President State Employees Union AFL-CIO; Past President Chamber of Commerce; active in Red Cross, Cancer Society, Cerebral Palsy, Boy Scouts, and UGN.

Presently serving as Vice-Chairman of the Governor's Committee and a member of the President's Committee for the Handicapped.

He is a member of the National Editorial Association, National Newspaper Association and Washington Newspaper Publishers Association currently serving on its Legislative Committee.

Elected, Alexander will work closely with the Governor, Elected Officials, State Legislature and the State Finance Committee for maximum effectiveness and efficiency in the operation of the office.

He will scrupulously scrutinize investments, tighten controls, modernize procedures and jealously guard the public interest.





R. V. (Bob)
GRAHAM
Democrat

R. V. "Bob" Graham seeks re-election in 1968 as, "Your Qualified State Auditor." He is "Qualified" by his education—High School, Grays Harbor College, and numerous accounting-oriented post-graduate courses and seminars.

He is "Qualified" by his experience, having held every major administrative post in the State Auditor's organization during 16 years prior to his 1964 election.

He is "Qualified" by his extensive application of modern systems and techniques to the operation of his office since becoming State Auditor. National recognition has been given to his comprehensive "Performance Auditing" program and its resulting recommendations for accomplishing economy and frugality in state government agencies.

He is "Qualified" by his successful promotion as State Auditor, of legislation assuring maximum utilization and coordination of automatic data processing systems by state and local governmental units.

He is "Qualified" by his continual updating of the accounting systems and fiscal-legal post audit procedures for the state's political subdivisions, and by his sponsorship of governmental accounting courses for institutions of higher education, including adult vocational training.

He is "Qualified" by his dedication to the highest professional and ethical standards for the independent, elected office of State Auditor—"Responsive to the Legislature and responsible to the people."



Anne Baird SHERMAN Republican

The office of State Auditor has been dominated for over thirty-five years by one regime . . . and since the number one purpose of the auditor's office is to protect, by audit, the taxpayer's money

it is difficult to believe this can be done when political power has been in the hands of one group for so many years.

The full potential of our state has yet to be realized. A turning point has been reached in the development of our resources and the needs of our people. The old ways are no longer adequate. A new, energetic auditor will enable our state to meet the challenges of modern society. This office must be rededicated to the efficient operation of state government. Gain integrity and experience with your vote for Anne Baird Sherman.



## Attorney General State of Washington



John G.
McCUTCHEON
Democrat

There is more to being Attorney General than possessing a law degree. The Attorney General runs the biggest law office in the state. He must be an able administrator and forceful leader as well

as a competent lawyer. John G. McCutcheon is the most experienced and qualified candidate for the office of Attorney General, having served two terms in the State House of Representatives, ten years as Prosecuting Attorney in Pierce County (the third largest law office in the state) as well as private practice and experience as a special assistant Attorney General, representing three community colleges and acting as special assistant to a task force of the Citizens' Committee on Crime.

McCutcheon attended Stadium High School in Tacoma and the University of Washington, receiving a law degree from Montana University. He resides in Tacoma with his wife, Pat, and two daughters.

John McCutcheon believes the public wants something done about violence in the ghettos, riots in the schools and colleges and crime in the streets. He believes that there should be established a Department of Justice, under the leadership of the Attorney General's office, to assist law enforcement agencies. John G. McCutcheon stands for Justice, Law and Order.



Slade GORTON Republican

Slade Gorton believes that a law-abiding and orderly society must be maintained and is the framework within which progress must come. Justice and progress are possible only where there is order. The goal toward which we strive is "respect for the law . . . . concern for the people."

Slade Gorton believes that the Attorney General must lead the fight against crime and violence in this state. As the chief legal officer of the state he must provide leadership for the support of local law enforcement in the apprehension and prosecution of all offenders. With equal enthusiasm and imagination he must stimulate the development of programs and the use of modern technology for the prevention of crime and violence.

Slade Gorton's ten years in the Legislature—during all of which he was rated "outstanding" by the Seattle Municipal League—culminated in his being elected House Majority Leader. Fifteen years in the successful practice of law were preceded by three years in the Judge Advocate's office managing a staff of attorneys deeply involved in prosecution and defense.

Slade Gorton's record of performance has earned the endorsement of an overwhelming majority of concerned lawyers in the state for his candidacy for

Attorney General.

#### Commissioner of Public Lands

State of Washington





Bert COLE Democrat

Bert Cole is recognized by state and national leaders as an authority on public land management. His ambitions and comprehensive program of professional management goals for the Dept. of Natural Resources during his three 4-year terms as Commissioner of Public Lands has won the respect and confidence of education, labor and business leaders. His ability to reach sound, businesslike decisions in managing the 3 million acres of state land is widely acclaimed. Public land management, professional progress, increasing maximum income to benefit schools, and county governments, require a man with vigor, insight and realistic goals.

His background in education, banking, city and county government, successful private business, and 12 years as Commissioner of Public Lands has earned him a reputation as one who "gets things done."

As spokesman for public land management policies, Cole has appeared before Congressional hearings and committees, served on numerous statewide and national advisory and policy making groups.

Bert Cole gives full measure of his time and talents to the office of Public Lands. During his tenure as Commissioner of Public Lands he has increased the net income from state lands to the highest level of earning. He is deeply involved in the rehabilitation of forests and young men. A number of honor camps for men serving prison sentences have been strategically placed throughout the state's forests in cooperation with the Department of Institutions.

Cole has aggressively applied the multiple use concept to management of state lands to provide the best timber crops, to provide public watersheds, and to expand recreation opportunities for all on public lands and waters.

To clarify an important issue, Bert Cole emphasizes his position that log export restrictions should be applied equally to timber from all ownerships—Federal, State and private—a control measure that can be evoked only by the Federal government.

The public lands belong to our children. Keep them in hands you can trust.



Richard A. C. GREENE Republican

Platform:

Land use: Land should be used gently but firmly. Whidbey Island: Whidbey Island must be replaced.

Puget Sound Bridge: If it becomes necessary to build a bridge across Puget Sound, it should be a covered bridge because of the rain.

State Parks: There should be an expanded system to place parklands within easy reach of every citizen. For the citizens of King County, I envision a wilderness area on the site of the Boeing Company.

Quilcene Oysters: Baked at high heat with a little chive, parsley, garlic and wine. Littering: A litterbag at Bert Cole's private hunting lodge.

Employment practices: Elimination of all catchpolls and tipstaffs.

Indian fishing rights: Individual catches will be limited to 4 Indians. Geoducks: A Republican Land Commissioner to back up Governor Evans.

If Elected: I shall be the sort of Land Commissioner who will go out fearlessly and commission the land.



### Insurance Commissioner



Karl HERRMANN Democrat

My 12 years' experience in the Washington State Senate serving as chairman of the Standing Committee on Insurance and the Joint Interim Insurance Committee has convinced me that certain companies, one in particular, have completely dominated the Insurance Commissioner's office for many years. The result is weak and ineffective regulation. The time is long overdue for the election of a people's commissioner who will consider the rights of the public and policyholders as paramount to the function of this office. I further believe that it is a sorry state of affairs when the Legislature is forced to dig out the problems that this office and certain special interest groups would like to keep buried. If I am elected Insurance Commissioner, I intend to go to my former colleagues in the Legislature with an updated, comprehensive legislative program de-signed to rectify the long-needed changes in insur-ance practices. My number one consideration is effective regulation supplemented by necessary legislation to guarantee that the public interest shall be furthered and protected. Specifically, I refer to credit insurance gouging; rejections, cancellations and failures to renew auto and fire coverages, and hairsplitting in health and accident insurance.



Gerald (Jerry)
SULLIVAN
Republican

A native of Olympia, Jerry Sullivan was raised in Seattle and earned a degree in finance from the University of Washington prior to serving five years in the Air Force. Jerry next earned a Master's degree in insurance from the Wharton School of Finance and Commerce, served as a security analyst from a nation-wide insurer, and then returned to Washington as Chief Deputy Insurance Commissioner.

As Chief Deputy, he implemented legislative changes to strengthen agent licensing laws, to eliminate the traffic in mail-order insurance from unlicensed insurers, to eliminate abuses in credit insurance, and other programs designed to protect the policyholder.

As your Commissioner, he will emphasize policy-holder protection through such programs as the "mobile complaint service" which will provide a Deputy Insurance Commissioner on a scheduled basis in all of the major cities of the state not now directly serviced by the Commissioner's office, and in Seattle's multi-service center.

The insurance problems we face today can only be solved by someone who has a complete and thorough knowledge of the complexities of insurance operations and how insurance can best be used to serve the public interest. Jerry Sullivan has the knowledge necessary to protect your interests.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





King LYSEN Democrat Position No. 1

King Lysen, 26, represents the growing part of our population who seek responsible involvement in the political process. Graduate in political science. Served as counselor in the Job Corps, and at Foster H. S. before his present job with the Federal Way School District. Instructor in sociology and American History, Lysen knows the political process. Contact with the students and parents give him insight and understanding. Lysen's work has made him aware of the strengths and shortcomings of our schools. He seeks to improve the quality of teaching, keeping a check on the growth of non-essential costs in our schools.



Norwood CUNNINGHAM

Republican
Position No. 1

Norwood Cunningham, rated "Superior Incumbent" by the non-partisan Municipal League, is seeking his third term in the State House of Representatives. Cunningham served as Chairman of the State Government and Legislative Procedures Committee and on the Education and Transportation Committees during the 1967 legislative session. He is presently a member of the Highways and Legislative Board of Ethics Interim Committees.

Representative Cunningham is interested in transportation, highway safety, quality education, planned economic growth, job opportunity, and in improving air and water quality.



Georgette VALLE

Democrat Position No. 2 Georgette Valle served as a member of the House, 1965-1967. As a legislator, she served as vice-chairman of the powerful Ways and Means Committee. She also served on the Local Government Committee's Subcommittee on Junior Taxing Districts, the Medicine, Dentistry and Drugs Committee and the Democratic Steering Committee.

Her interim committee asignment dealt with higher education. For outstanding service to education, she was presented the "Award of Merit" by the WEA and the Highline Ed. Ass'n.

A graduate of the U. of Minnesota, she has been active in community affairs, church work, and at home with her husband and two children.



Paul BARDEN

Republican Position No. 2 By 1975, the population of our suburban community will triple. We can have chaotic urbanization or orderly growth. We can have over-crowded schools, with double-shifting, or an adequate education system. We can have a community blanketed with asphalt freeways, or a balanced transportation system. We can have the highest sales and property taxes, or a balanced revenue structure.

The choice is ours. We make it when we elect representatives to the next legislature. We can meet the future with confidence with legislators like Paul Barden. Re-elect State Representative Paul Barden our State Representative. He works hard to do a good job for you.



## State Senator



Ray
VAN HOLLEBEKE

Democrat

Ray Van Hollebeke has been a resident of the First District for 15 years. He attended high school in Seattle and obtained a Bachelor of Commercial Science (Finance) degree from Seattle University.

After serving his Army enlistment during the Korean War, Ray returned to college and earned a Doctor of Law Degree from Gonzaga University. Ray served as a legal aid to the Seattle World's Fair. He is president of the family owned Van's Nursery, Inc. Ray is presently employed by The Boeing Company as Real Estate Manager for the Commercial Airplane Division. His educational and business background within this area give him a broad knowledge and interest in the concerns of First District residents. His experiences as a staff member to Senator Henry M. Jackson in Washington, D. C., gives him the political "know-how" necessary to represent those interests and concerns.



Francis E.
HOLMAN

Republican

Education and background: Age 53, homeowner, married, three children; residence in district 27 years; graduate of Garfield High School, Stanford, Oxford, and Harvard Universities; lawyer 27 years; veteran of World War II and Korean War.

Experience: Currently serving as State Representative from First District, elected 1966; Mayor, Lake Forest Park (1961-1967); Shoreline School Board (1956-1965, two terms as Chairman); METRO Council (1964-1967); Chairman, SPACE—Statewide Parents and Citizens for Education (1964-1966).

In last legislature Holman's principal efforts centered on educational, financial and urban affairs. He served as Vice-Chairman of Revenue and Taxation Committee, was chief sponsor of legislation which reorganized Department of Revenue, helped draft new Community College Act and successfully floormanaged numerous measures designed to meet urban and educational needs.

Post-session activities: Chairman, Higher Education Subcommittee, Interim Committee on Education; Member, State Judicial Council; Uniform Law Commissioner.

If elected to Senate, Holman will give priority attention to (1) tax reform, with emphasis on reduction and/or elimination of annual special levies; (2) increased state financial assistance for education; (3) consumer-protection, through adoption of Uniform Consumer Credit Code; and (4) transportation and other urban problems.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





Frank
TELQUIST
Democrat
Position No. 1

Native born Seattleite, educated in Seattle schools and a lifelong resident of King County. Forty years community and civic activities. Presently President Kenmore Chamber of Commerce. Charter and 25-year member of the Kenmore Volunteer Fire Department. Twenty-six years governmental administrative experience, sixteen years Superintendent of Water District No. 79, six years King County, and four years State Fisheries. Assisted in processing and presenting special district legislation for three sessions. Thirty years Democratic Precinct Committeeman. Welfare of all the people of the State is the first consideration. Meet problems as they arise, and make common sense decisions.



Alan BLUECHEL Republican Position No. 1

Married, two children. Graduate UBC. President Loctwall Corporation, a manufacturer of wood products.

Incumbent State Legislator serving on Revenue and Taxation, State Government, Natural Resources and the Business and Professions Committees.

Because of our rapid population growth and industrial expansion we face many difficult problems. Basically, Washington has a choice to make. We can go the way of Pennsylvania, New York, California; or we can keep our state clean and green, a pleasant place to live. Time is running out. This choice must be implemented within the next few years. All of us must work together to make the right choice.



Lee HARE Democrat Position No. 2

Lee Hare has been a resident of Washington State and the North End of Greater Seattle for the past 20 years. After graduating from Lincoln High he received a degree in Economics from the University of Washington.

Employment experience has been in the field of industrial relations. Mr. Hare is presently employed as a company representative and personnel consultant. Lee and his wife, Judy, have two children and have been active in civic, church and school organizations. During the last two sessions of the Legislature Mr. Hare worked actively to promote some of the sorely needed changes in our state laws.



Art BROWN Republican Position No. 2

A U.W. graduate in Political Science, I, my wife, Ann, and 5 children have lived in the First District 11 years. It has been my privilege to serve on our County Board of Education and to lead many community improvement projects. I will work for you in the legislature to find an equitable way to finance state and local government services necessary to maintain the quality of life in our state and provide for orderly growth. I will work for equalization of special school levies to lower property taxes, for fair and equitable tax reform, and conservation of our natural resources.



VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION



Edward HEAVEY Democrat Position No. 1

Ed Heavey is courageous, knowledgeable, and independent. He is not afraid to stand up and speak for the little guy. Heavey knows the legislative process, having served two sessions as legal advisor to the legislature and one term as your Representa-tive. He wears the collar of no special interest group. Heavey believes you elected him to be your representative and to do what he believes is right.

Ed Heavey is graduate of University of Washington law school in top of class, White Center lawyer, has four children and one grandchild, is Judge Pro Tempore, is active in civic, school, and business organizations.



G. Myron ANTON, Jr. Republican Position No. 1

Myron Anton is concerned about the growing level of taxation and civil disorder on our streets. He believes that taxes and spending must be reformed to reduce wasteful use of tax dollars. He believes that stricter enforcement of existing laws will do much in reducing the present civil disorder problems.

Myron is 35, married with 3 children, ages 8, 7 and 3. Graduated University of Washington (B.S.-M.E. 1955), served four years U. S. Army, as a First Lieutenant; occupation: Registered Professional Engineer employed by the Boeing Company as a Research Specialist.

Myron and family are members of the Fauntleroy Community Church.



Melvin G. SAGER Position No. 2 Democrat



Leslie D. ALLEN

At the time of going to press, it was impossible to determine the Democratic Party nominee for Position No. 2.

The official canvass of the election returns revealed that Melvin G. Sager received 1,502 votes, and Leslie D. Allen received 1,499 votes—a difference of only three votes.

As provided by law, whenever elections are this close, automatic recount must be made. For this reason, the official nominee will not be determined until after this publication has been printed.

Statements of both candidates appear on page KC-40.



William S. LECKENBY Republican Position No. 2

When the voters of the 31st District first elected me their Representative, I pledged myself to work for a state government that hears and answers to the needs of all the people.

To be sure that we have that kind of government, we as citizens must do our best to manage and control our state's growth; if we don't, chaos and disorder could be the result. I have worked to help bring about legislation that will guide our growth while caring for the needs of all our men, women and children.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





John L.
O'BRIEN

Democrat

Position No. 1

In the 1967 session John L. O'Brien served as Minority Leader, has served four terms as Speaker of the House, and four terms as Democratic Floor Leader. He has been President of the National Conference of State Legislative Leaders.

A Certified Public Accountant, and since the major problem facing the State of Washington is one of budgeting and finance, someone with a professional background and training in these matters is of great value in the legislature.

O'Brien's record shows outstanding support for education, labor, the small businessman, old age pensions and social security, state institutions, veterans, and sound constructive government.



Alberto G. VALLE, Jr. Republican Position No. 1

There is great need for more layman participation in our government; daily experience in most walks of life gives one a greater insight and common-sense approach to the problems will give us a workable solution. A definite change must take place in our country. We must develop the strength and determination to once again put this country into the limelight as leaders of a free world and this can only come from the yearnings and desires of the free little people, who together makes a strong determined voice for our future strength in the madcap world of ours!



William (Bill)
CHATALAS

Democrat
Position No. 2

Elected first 1960, he has served 4 regular and 4 special sessions of the legislature. Major interests are those closest to the needs of his district: Education, Welfare, Appropriations, Budgets and Labor.

Chatalas' legislative assignments have included 4 terms on the Public Assistance Committee and Appropriations Committee. 1967 he was chosen Chmn. Democratic Caucus Steering Comm. Presently member Legislative Budget Interim Comm.

Member: National Board Muscular Dystrophy Assn., Rainier Businessmen's Club, Mt. Baker Improvement Club, Jackson Street Community Council, Eagles, C of C, Muni League, Forward Thrust, 33rd Dist. Demo Club. Married, 3 sons. President: William Chatalas & Sons Real Estate and Insurance.



William J. NUGENT Republican Position No. 2

You and I live in the 33rd District because it is convenient to downtown, to jobs, and to other sections of the city; and because it borders Lake Washington. This convenient location also places us in the path of freeways, expressways, and lake bridges. I will oppose any program that threatens to blight our neighborhoods or carve up communities and work for programs beneficial to the District.

William J. Nugent, 49, is a 25-year resident of the city of Seattle. Married, a son, and daughter. Personnel manager. Active in PTA and community club affairs. A Republican precinct and district

worker.



VOTE FOR ONE IN YOUR DISTRICT



Jack
HARVEY
Democrat
District 32-A

Jack Harvey, native of District 32-A. Graduate of St. Martin's College; post-graduate studies at the University of San Francisco and Seattle University. Vice-President of Seattle mortuary firm. Presently serving on important Washington State Legislative Interim Committee on Education as citizen-member of subcommittee on School Administration and Management. Past President of College Alumni Association and High School Parents Club. Active officer-member of Church service and fraternal organizations. He and his wife, Beverly, have six children.



Mary Ellen McCAFFREE Republican District 32-A

Mary Ellen McCaffree for six years has proved her value to the 32nd District, working tirelessly to merit the highest rating, "Superior Candidate—Outstanding Performance as a Legislator." Dedicated to solving the problems of her District, Rep. McCaffree has earned the respect of all government officials. She is chairman of the Legislative Council's Subcommittee on Revenue and Regulatory Agencies; member of the Governor's Tax Advisory Council. Was highly influential in the establishment of the new Evergreen State College in 1967 and was a key factor in the success of state redistricting in 1965. In one word, she is effective!



Walt CROWLEY 32-A Peace and Freedom Party

America is suffering the trauma of a society which has outgrown its institutions. We have unleashed the greatest productive forces in history—we have yet to harness them. Only through direct, democratic control of these forces and institutions can this society survive. If we don't achieve control over the economic and political machinery of the state, they will control us—totally.

This is the challenge—the threat and the promise confronting every American on every plane of his social life. Only you can decide: you are the future.

Whither America-community or chaos?

#### 31st DISTRICT, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DEMOCRAT, POSITION NO. 2

Statement of MELVIN G. SAGER

Born in Illinois 37 years ago, Mel Sager relocated in Washington after serving four years in the U.S. Air Force and receiving a B.S. degree in American History from Eastern Illinois. Studied Political Science at U of W. Active in political and public affairs most of his adult life. Mel taught American history and Washington State history in Washington state schools six years before entering the business world.

Married, father of two daughters, lives with his family at 8630 24th S.W. Mel Sager is sincere, honest and will represent the 31st district with the interest of the people his only desire and commitment.

Statement of LESLIE D. ALLEN

Washington resident 38 years, U.S.M.C. World War 2 and Korea. President, Allen Insurance Agency, Inc.; LUTC, U.W., one year CLU Seattle U.; vice-chairman Washington Association Social Welfare; vice-president, Lions Club; charter member Job Therapy; coordinator, Seattle Sponsors,

Issues: Insurance reform, equal assessment all property, reduce sales tax with tax reform, tax free property used for commercial purposes back on tax rolls, and law and order.

Les and wife, Geraldine, live in Arbor Heights; daughter, Nancy, and husband live in Cleveland; son, Stephen, and wife in Beauport, S.C.; Stephen in U.S.M.C.

VOTE FOR ONE IN YOUR DISTRICT





Pete FRANCIS Democrat District 32-B

Born Seattle, attended public school in Seattle and elsewhere. Scholarship to Stanford, graduated Political Science 1956, 3 years USMC, law degree Stanford 1961. Married, two boys. Active in civic and professional groups. Former faculty member, Univ. of Wash. law school. Now has own law offices near Greenlake. Would support true tax reform, education, crime control. Would work to reform the garnishment law, encourage citizen participation in government, block laws which needlessly deprive us of personal freedom. Seeks improvement of our physical environment, regarding pollution, recreation areas, transportation. Concerned beyond boundaries of his district, e.g., institutions, migrant workers.



Joe McGAVICK Republican District 32-B

I have tried to present my record and a program for future efforts which will resolve the problems of our state and our city. The needs are many; the solutions are not always apparent. In the past months of my first term I have worked long hours to better understand the problems. To put that information to work for you I will need your vote on Nov. 5th. If you have last-minute questions please call my home or office so that you may know where I stand . . . I want to work for you and for the future of our great area.



Clark W. BABBITT District 32-B Conservative Party

As an independent businessman concerned with the ever increasing tax burden on elderly and employed people, I believe in a re-examination of federal, state and local welfare policies. I am also in favor of the Wallace policy of a larger return of tax dollars to this state.

I am a real estate broker. I retired from the armed forces in 1966 after over 23 years of Navy and Coast Guard service. I am married with six children, two of whom are presently atending college. I was born in Olympia, Wash., in 1918.

I solicit the support of law abiding citizens.



Will
REISSNER
Socialist Workers Party
District 32-B

Will Reissner is a 24-year-old graduate student in history at the University of Washington. He is chairman of the U.W. Young Socialist Alliance and an active member of the U.W. Vietnam Committee.

The Socialist Workers Party will work for: American withdrawal from Vietnam; abolition of the draft; the right to vote at 18; free public education through the university level; community-elected Police Review Boards; an end to police harassment of the Black Panther Party; repeal of the sales tax, especially on food; unemployment compensation at union scales; black control of black communities.



VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION



John M. ROSELLINI

Democrat Position No. 1 Incumbent John M. Rosellini, educated in political science at WSU and law at Gonzaga, is uniquely prepared as a legislator. He has invested this background and hundreds of hours of his time studying the issues vital to his District and the state. At 29 he is young enough to meet problems with vigorous, fresh approaches and mature enough to make well-considered decisions. He favors constitutional reforms that provide more efficient government, more equitable taxation and more realistic fiscal policies. Rosellini supports annual legislative sessions and elimination of special school levies.



Donald G. McGREGOR

Republican Position No. 1 Age 39, occupation Supervisor, Building Dept., City of Seattle, Education: Franklin High School, graduate University of Washington, majoring in Sociology.

Active politically several years. Served as past Vice-president 34th Dist. Republican Club, precinct committeeman and area chairman. Veteran. Married.

Education of our youth is of paramount importance and deserves attention not shown in incumbent's passive performance.



Dave CECCARELLI

Democrat Position No. 2 Lifelong resident, 34th District, Dave was born in West Seattle 35 years ago. 1951 graduate of West Seattle H. S. BCS in Commerce and Finance, S. U. District Mgr. Libby McNeill & Libby Co. Married, four sons. Active in civic, church and youth organizations. Dave works for a balanced government climate to promote a vigorous and growing economy, and social progress for all. Ceccarelli co-sponsored legislation that set up the new Community College concept as well as Community Mental Health Centers. Will continue to work for adequate provisions for mental health programs, a realistic tax base, elimination of special school levies, tax relief for senior citizens, assurance of efficient government administration.



Ralph T.
GUNDERSON

Republican Position No. 2 A lifelong resident of the 34th Legislative District, and a home owner at 6024 48th Ave. S.W. for the past 27 years. Of my 4 children, 2 have graduated from college, one teaches Jr. High, one son in Peace Corps.

Member, Communications Workers and the Telephone Pioneers of America. I believe in full cooperation between labor and management. Strong supporter of our educational system. I believe in pollution control over air and water and believe in combining all agencies concerned with pollution control into one, coordinating their efforts. A strong supporter of tax reform and improvement of social welfare.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





John MERRILL Democrat Position No. 1

John Merrill, widely known realtor, community leader, and sportsman, is a highly qualified State Legislator. A successful small businessman for over 20 years, Merrill is a lifelong community worker.

Former President of both the Lakeridge and Rainier Beach Community Clubs, and the Rainier District Little League Athletic Club, and Toastmasters

During the last session, Merrill served on the Appropriations, State and Local Government, and Institutions and Youth Development committees. Member of the Nuclear Development Committee, and will report to the next session having served on the Governor's Rehabilitation Committee. Merrill is on record for tax reform, not tax increase.



Chuck ESPOSITO Republican Position No. 1

Chuck Esposito is a computer programmer working in defense systems at Boeing. A graduate in physics from Gonzaga U, he is married and has four children.

He believes in analyzing state problems, using his engineering background to meet present and future needs. Some areas of particular concern are increased taxes and their justification, fairness in the current application of the B & O tax, and adequate financing of schools. Changes needed to the 35th District include better East-West road facilities, better crime prevention in face of soaring county crime rates, sewer installation and representation reflecting the people of the 35th District.



John BAGNARIOL Democrat Position No. 2

John Bagnariol, a life-long resident of Seattle's south end has served in the State Legislature since 1966. Seeking re-election to his second term, he is well known as a civic, church and community leader.

His background in labor, management and small business, as well as his membership on the legislative committees dealing with Revenue and Taxation, Financial Institutions, and Business and Professions, makes his continued service in the State Legislature invaluable to his district and State.

John Bagnariol was given an award as an "Outstanding First-Term Legislator" by his colleagues at the conclusion of the 1967 Legislature.



Frank BAIRD Republican Position No. 2

Frank is a nationally recognized authority in his chosen profession. As a Research Specialist for The Boeing Company, he has published several articles in scientific journals read around the world. A registered professional engineer in the State of Washington, he has several patents pending which offer new ways to solve old problems.

"I believe there can be no improvement in the quality of state government until we elect more highly qualified people to state offices. Intelligence, integrity, dedication and inventiveness are the qualities we must look for in our representatives. There are no substitutes for highly qualified people."



VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION

Democrat Position No. 1 NO FILING



Gladys F. KIRK Republican Position No. 1

Married; two sons, Douglas D. and Rev. Jerry Kirk. Has served six terms. Member of Rules, Ways and Means, and Local Government Committees, 1967 Session. Republican Caucus Sec'ty. Interim Legislative work: Chairman of Women's Prison Site Comm. and of the Advisory Council on Higher Education's Sub-Comm. on Special Studies. Chairman of House Institutions Comm. 1963.

of House Institutions Comm. 1963.

Very active in civic affairs: Past-Pres., Queen Anne Community Club, Leg. Chmn. for Am. Legion Auxiliary, Past Pres. of King Co. Republican Women, Special Award Winner given by Seattle Beautiful Inc., Business and Professional Women, V. F. W., Am. Legion, Community Club, State Red Helmet, and Parents for Retarded Children.

#### Norah M. VAN TASSEL

Democrat Position No. 2 Candidate did not submit photograph and statement for publication.



John S. MURRAY Republican Position No. 2

Incumbent Representative John Murray has published the Queen Anne News for the past 15 years. He publishes 5 other newspapers including the Magnolia Journal. Married, 4 children. Active in Forward Thrust, Seattle Children's Home, Community Club and Chamber of Commerce work, YMCA Board and Hi-Y Advisor, Queen Anne High School ski team coach, Tasks For Teens Board, Wn. State Heart Assn. Board, Sigma Chi, Mountaineers, American Legion, Moose, and Municipal League.


Murray is a UW graduate, has had training in engineering, accounting and personnel management. Rated "Superior Incumbent" and endorsed by many groups as well as over a thousand 36th District voters.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





George FLEMING Democrat Position No. 1



Fleming is a strong supporter of justice and law, tough control on crime, and adequate financial support for schools. George Fleming further believes that unemployment compensation should be 60 percent of wages; speedy payment of claims to injured workmen; he supports Initiative 245.

George Fleming supports a graduated net income tax, 25% property tax limitation, removal of sales taxes from food and medicine and further exemption for senior citizens.



Norris EDWARDS Republican Position No. 1

If elected, I will work to revise the criminal code of the State of Washington to make it possible for the police departments to arrest and convict those criminals who are causing the willful destruction of life and property. I will work for additional appropriations for larger law enforcement agencies that are strong enough to allow us to be safe in our homes, on the streets and in our businesses.

I am for local control of neighborhood schools, and opposed to the closing of 37th District schools. I am opposed to the involuntary bussing of White and Negro children at taxpayers expense.



David G. SPRAGUE Democrat Position No. 2

To achieve peace and justice, Representative David Sprague has and will focus on state and city services vitally affecting the people of the 37th: Public Assistance; Safety; Institutions; Schools; Health and Employment. He has promoted mass and bus transportation, opposed the freeways and bridges.

The Municipal League has given Sprague their highest rating for an incumbent, "superior".

Record: Navy pilot, World War II; Phi Beta Kappa, B. A., M. A.; Chairman of the Board, Western Washington State College; Past Pres., Garfield and Meany PTA's; Church School Teacher,



Tom ALLEN Republican Position No. 2

The time has come to end the dialogue and produce sound, sensible programs. Our goal is to solve problems, not defer them.

We need youth programs that will produce men and women with purpose. We need programs to eliminate the causes of poverty, not to pacify the pain of hunger. We need quality education not only to train us for the present, but also to enlighten and guarantee the future. For all people we want jobs by qualification, not by quota.

by qualification, not by quota.

These are the goals to which I would dedicate myself, if elected to this position.



Curtis
HARRIS
Black Panther Party
Position No. 2

I feel that it is very important that the Black Panther Party maintain the strictest of discipline at all times. We must also impress upon the community that we are here to protect all brothers and sisters, in any situation.

and sisters, in any situation.

We, speaking of sister and brother, and all oppressed people, do not exist to destroy our community but to destroy all policemen who try to terrorize us. Let it be known we are prepared to die for our cause. If death is what is needed to awaken my ghetto to this racist system, then this is what must be done.

## 41 DISTRICT

## State Senator



Kathie BAKER Democrat

As a housewife and mother of six children I am vitally concerned about the racial, social, cultural and educational problems of our times. My work in community, civic and political affairs has convinced

me that our state government not only has failed to solve these problems, but also isn't even aware of them

The main reason for this monumental inactivity can be traced to the State Senate. It is well-known as the burying ground of progressive legislation. Too many Senators consider themselves as guardians of the status quo. Most of them are living in the past; some are not even living.

A change of attitude in the Senate could do wonders for our State. I intend to shake up and wake up the Senators and tell them how it is in the last half of the 20th century; to help them find solutions to tomorrow's problems.



Brian J. LEWIS Republican

Personal: 39, married; 5 children; Bellevue area resident 11 years; President, Lewis-Redford-Engineers, Consulting Engineers, Bellevue; President, Hubbell & Waller Engineering Corporation, Seattle; Elder, Bellevue Ward, Church of Jesus Christ L.D.S.

Education: B.Sc., Civil Engineering, University of Durham, Great Britain; M.S. in Engineering, UCLA; registered Professional Engineer.

Community: President, Seattle Junior C of C, 1960; Vice-President, International Director, Washington State Jaycees 1961-63; President, Puget Sound Suburban Highway Council, 1964; member, Citizens Advisory Committee on Urban Area Government, 1961-62; Director, Greater Bellevue Chamber of Commerce, 1965; King County Chairman, Heart Association, 1966; trustee, Overlake Memorial Hospital, 1967; Eastside Area Chairman, Forward Thrust Campaign, 1968.

Political: elected State Representative, 41st District, 1966; 48th District Chairman, Dan Evans for Governor Committee, 1964; Chairman, "Governor's Birthday Party," State Republican Fund Drive, 1965.

Recognition: One of three Outstanding Young Men of Washington, 1963; finalist, Bellevue Citizen of the Year, 1965; one of Bellevue's Four First Citizens, 1968.

Legislative Concerns: traffic strangulation on arteries connecting residential and employment centers . . . first-class educational opportunities for all our children . . . preservation of environmental quality . . . recreational opportunities matched to population growth . . . greater efficiency in government . . . growing pains of spreading suburbs and excessive taxation of land . . . responsive and responsible government.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION



Democrat Position No. 1 NO FILING



Axel C. JULIN Republican Position No. 1

Population and industry growth can and should be planned and implemented to preserve the state's natural beauty. Effective tools for state and local governments are necessary to do this. Good schools can and should be financed without ever-increasing tax burdens on home owners in residential areas with low tax base. Pressures for Federal Government intervention can be reversed by strengthening state's ability to deal with state and local problems. To accomplish this will require fiscal and constitutional change which I favor.



Daniel J. LANGSEA Position No. 1 The Conservative Party

I am very much concerned with the overall breakdown of law an order throughout our area, and our state. I will do all in my power to assist legislation to combat crime and riots.

legislation to combat crime and riots.

I will not support any legislation which has to do with the citizens right to bear arms, such as

registration and licensing of firearms.

I am against all forms of communism in our country. I support the Constitution of the United States. I support an end to foreign aid to any socialistic dictatorships.



John M. MAGEE Democrat Position No. 2

Bellevue resident and former member of the late JFK special Air Force honor guard seeks 41st District State Representative office. A native of New Orleans, and college educated in Syracuse, New York, Mr. Magee brings 3½ years experience as administrative specialist in Washington, D.C. and a host of awards for activities in local affairs. His wife, Rainette, and three children, Patrice, 6 years, Joseph, 5 years, and John, 4 years, are members of St. Louise Church, Bellevue.

His greatest desire is to bring sincerity and integrity, to the office he seeks.



George W. CLARKE Republican Position No. 2

Incumbent legislator with long experience in education and taxation, two of the most important matters of legislative concern.

School service includes 20 years on Mercer Island School Board, 2 terms Pres. King Co. School Directors Assn., 3 years on Executive Committee of the State School Directors Assn., and membership on numerous working committees.

Was chairman of Taxation Division of Seattle Chamber of Commerce, served two terms as its General Counsel, and is now in his second term on

the Board.



VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION

#### Paul D. HOFFMAN

Democrat
Position No. 1

Candidate did not submit photograph and statement for publication.



Newman (Zeke) CLARK Republican

Position No. 1

Has served 15 years as Representative of the 43rd District; three terms as Floor Leader, served on powerful Rules Committee, Chairman House Judiciary Committee and of Legislative Council; Chairman reorganization Justice Courts and Juvenile laws; Judicial Council and Statute Law Committee, Vice-Chairman Banks and Banking, and on all major committees. Initiated and effectively supported constitutional amendments and many important and beneficial laws enacted during his terms.

Representative Clark graduated University Washington Law School and is practicing lawyer. As Alumni President of University helped start its Medical Center. Zeke and wife Marian have two grown sons.



Jack
DALTON
Democrat
Position No. 2

Dalton is founder and general manager, Ronald Hall, halfway house for parolees; Pioneer Fellowship House, Bishop Lewis House, Dalton House, Gearin House—halfway houses for alcoholics, and Pioneer Industries workshop for alcoholics and parolees. Grad. U. of W. Law School; Formerly Sec., King Co. and State Demo. Cent. Comms.; State Pres. Young Demos. Memberships: National Clergy Council; Seattle Muni. League; officer, Seattle Treatment Clinic, and comm. member, Wn. Citizens Council. Completed alcoholic rehabilitation and sheltered workshop courses, Seattle U., Loma Linda U., Calif., U. of Utah and U. of San Francisco.

Main legislative interest, rehabilitation for alcoholics and ex-convicts.



Jonathan WHETZEL Republican Position No. 2

Elected 1964, and 1966. 41. Married. Graduate Princeton, Harvard Law School, lawyer, and businessman. Committees: Public Health and Welfare (Chairman), Transportation and Local Government. Co-sponsor of Community Mental Retardation Centers act.

"I will work for tax reform and constitutional revision to enable the state to respond to the challenges created by urban, population and industrial growth. We must meet human needs by adequate provision for schools, higher education, and institutional care. I will continue to support strongly a balanced transportation system, improved highway beautification and other measures to preserve the quality of our environment."

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





Dwight M.
GOWDEY

Democrat
Position No. 1

New ideas and new vigor are needed to meet our contemporary needs. All views are welcomed but only programs of value to the people will be supported.

Protection of person, property, and privacy; equitable taxation through tax revision; education; cooperative public assistance programs; and local concerns are legislative imperatives.

Graduate of U. of W. and Yale, WW II (Purple Heart), Lt. Col., Army Reserve (Retired), Consulting Engineer (in "Who's Who in Engineering"), Chairman District Democratic Precinct Organization (two terms), active in church (Bldg. Comm. Treasurer and Youth Sponsor), PTA, etc.



Dwight S. HAWLEY Republican Position No. 1

Member of Legislature from 44th District 1950 to 1959 and 1961 to 1969. Natural Resources (Chairman of Subcommittee on Fisheries), Rules and Administration Committee, Highway and Transportation Committee (Chairman Subcommittee on Water Transportation). Homeowner and taxpayer 44th District. Ballard High School, University of Washington. Past Commander Legion, Past President Washington State Elk's Association, Past President Kiwanis Club, 26 years Trustee Ballard Community Hospital; President Shilshole Breakwater Association, Past Secretary Ballard Chamber of Commerce. Organizations: Elks, Eagles, VFW, Purple Heart Veteran, 2nd Div. Several awards for community activities. Married, three children.



Ben DRYSDALE Democrat Position No. 2

Attended Victoria High School. Came to Seattle 1927. Married, lives at 8756 21st N.W. Spent 37 years in the U.S. Merchant Marine. Attended U.S.-M.S. Upgrading License Schools. Has U.S.C.G. License, Chief Engineer Steam and Motor. Commander U.S.M.S. World War II.

Member of 44th District Demo. Prec. Org., Young

Member of 44th District Demo. Prec. Org., Young Men's Demo. Club of King County, Organized Labor since 1934. Past Pres. of M.E.B.A. Local 38, serving on Building, Bylaws, Constitution and Rules Committees. Support Elks, Millionaires Club, Salvation Army and Retiree Group Nat. M.E.B.A.

Will stress more equitable taxation, aid for education, tax relief for senior citizens and a stepped up war on crime.



NORTH
Republican
Position No. 2

Our state's unprecedented growth brings unprecedented problems. We must solve these problems on state and local levels rather than appealing to federal government. This requires tax reform which would reduce property tax and give us a more equitable structure. Simultaneously we must find solutions for problems of a growing economy such as air and water pollution, expanding educational needs, and restructuring local governments.

Lois North has had 18 years of study and practical experience with governmental issues including President of Seattle League of Women Voters 1963-1966; elected King County Freeholder 1967; appointment by Governor to King County Boundary Review Board.



VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION



Mark LITCHMAN, Jr.

Democrat Position No. 1 State Representative Mark Litchman, Jr., attorney-at-law, real estate broker, 3rd ranking House member, former Majority Leader. Served since 1955. Graduate Roosevelt High School, U of W, College of Pacific, Law, Criminology major. Major interest—juvenile field, education, crime. Sponsored laws providing additional diagnostic centers, forestry camps, Fort Warden School, Fircrest School for retarded children, Alcoholic Rehabilitation Center, Seattle Community College, tax exemptions for senior citizens, stronger driving laws, \$1.25 minimum wage law. Litchman was former chairman of Education, Judiciary, Institutions, Juvenile Crime Committee providing more jobs for youth, rewriting criminal code, strengthening drug laws.



Bob SCHRODER

Republican Position No. 1 Bob Schroder, a University of Washington graduate, is employed as a research engineer in computer applications. He is a homeowner, 31 years old, married and has two children. The Municipal League rated Bob an "above average" candidate in the last campaign.

As your representative, Bob Schroder will work for: Better law enforcement—more support for law enforcement agencies; stricter guidelines for the courts. Welfare reform—more job training and job opportunities for the disadvantaged. Meeting educational needs—through tax reform and special levy relief. Efficient State Government—better detection of waste through improved surveillance.



Dave CHADDOCK

Position No. 1 Peace and Freedom Party People are concerned about violence in America. There is only one way to eliminate it—build a new political movement uncompromising in its opposition to the sham two-party system which perpetuates the power of a wealthy minority. The present govenment, while proclaiming peace and freedom, practices oppression and violence here and abroad, perpetrates genocide in Vietnam, supports fascist regimes all over the world, oppresses its own blacks, Indians, and young people. This is the source of the student rebellion.

The Peace and Freedom Party calls for a radical change—people must be put ahead of profit-making.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





Robert A. "Bob"
PERRY
Democrat
Position No. 2

A fourteen year home owner in the district, Perry is an Electrical Construction Executive. Graduate of the United States Maritime Service Engineering School. Former Business Representative of Local 46, IBEW, is still a member in good standing. Also member of the National Marine Engineers Beneficial Association. Active in Community affairs, cub scouts, PTA, Retarded children's work. In the Legislature Perry was chosen at a Bi-Partisan Election to head the Ethics Committee in the House of Representatives. Business and Professions, Public Health and Welfare, and State Government and Legislative Procedures.



Herb LARSON Republican Position No. 2

The cost of government is rising. Added services and inflation only partly explain the rise. The other factor is inefficiency.

For the past eleven years Herb Larson has been employed at Boeing and elsewhere as a specialist in developing better methods and as an instructor in the techniques of work simplification. He is now Operations Manager at Western Pacific Insurance Company.

Successful approaches to cutting costs in business should be applied to government. Larson's background qualifies him to pursue the most efficient use of each tax dollar.



Gerald A.
DAHL

Position No. 2
The New Party

I have lived in the 45th district for 25 years, graduating from Roosevelt High and attending Olympic College and the U of W. I work as a civil engineering specialist for the Seattle Engineering Department.

I feel the two major parties are excluding the masses from active participation in politics. If the people are not informed of precinct caucuses, they have no voice in party politics—even to the selection of presidential nominees.

If elected, I will strive to make myself available to my constituents for the entire term instead of just two months per year, every other year.

# district

#### State Representative

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION



Tim STANDER Democrat

Position No. 1

I am a graduate of Ingraham High School, attended Grays Harbor College for two years and now am a student at the University of Washington. I have been active in Democratic campaigns and politics since 1960. I feel that we in the 46th District have not been represented in the Legislature for many years. If elected I do not intend to be a specialist in just one field of legislation but intend to tackle all the issues of today: tax reform, crime, education, air and water pollution, pensions, unemployment compensation, and murder on the highways. I will represent you every day.



Audley F.
MAHAFFEY
Republican
Position No. 1

Born in Oklahoma. United States Navy WW I. Masters Degree, University of Washington. Educator in schools of Washington for 40 years. Taught and coached in Seattle's Roosevelt, Franklin, Ingraham, Cleveland and Nathan Hale High Schools. Superintendent of Moxee Schools in Yakima County. Professor of Political Science, Munich Branch University of Maryland.

Has represented the 46th District for seven sessions. Chairman of the House Education and Libraries Committee and Vice-Chairman of the Joint Interim Committee on Education.

As the incumbent, has filed for Position No. 1. An experienced Legislator. Seniority counts very much in the representation a District gets.



John R. HAWKINS Democrat Position No. 2

As a lifelong resident of Seattle I am particularly aware of the problems associated with its urban centers. My educational background is a B. A. in Geography from the U. W. with additional background in Urban Planning and Political Science. Served in the U. S. Marine Corps as an Aerial Navigator, including travels in Western Europe, the West Indies, Newfoundland and most of the U. S. Employment past 7 years with the Boeing Company as a laboratory research assistant and data programmer.

My particular objectives in the legislature include: State constitutional reform (including tax reform), transportation studies, and problems of the "core city."



George W. SCOTT Republican Position No. 2

George Scott graduated from Roosevelt H.S., and received his B.A. from Whitworth College. Served as a Marine Corps Officer in the Far East, and in the reserve. George is a Mason, member of the Municipal League and CHECC, has been Precinct Committeeman and delegate to district and county conventions.

George is a Pacific Northwest historian. His M.A. was on Seattle municipal government; his doctoral study concerns Washington government under Governor Arthur Langlie, 1940-1956. George was clerk to the House State Government Committee last session. Its chairman urges the voters of the 46th District "... to put these talents into public service."

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





Avery GARRETT

Democrat Position No. 1

Avery Garrett served as Representative 47th District 1959-1968. Renton City Councilman 12 years. Now serving second term as Council President. Speaker pro tem House of Representatives and Vice-Chmn., Rules Comm. 1965-1967. Vice-Chmn., License Comm. 1959. Chmn., Labor Committee 1961.

Now serving as Chairman of Joint Committee on Highways; Sub-Committee on Industrial Development. Member committees on Rules and Order, Transportation and Local Government.

Secretary and member Board of Directors Ass'n. of Washington Cities. Rated "Superior Legislator"

by Municipal League.



Charles R. STRODTBECK

Republican Position No. 1 Age 37. Born in Seattle. Employed Boeing Company, 18 years. Presently Source Quality Control representative. Trained in Electronics.

Active member Republican party past 6 years as precinct committeeman, Area Chairman and recently District Chairman, 47th.

" I believe that there must be a halt to the massive tax burden that has been placed upon the people and an effort to reduce the size of govern-



Robert W. SODERGREN

Conservative Party Position No. 1

Born 1915. Family man. Two years U. Employed Boeing. Homeowner, 47th Dist., 33 years in state. Veteran. Member of Lutheran Church.

I seek opportunity-not security. I do not wish to be humbled and dulled by having the State look after me. I want to take the calculated risk; to dream and to build.

I will not trade my freedom for beneficence, my dignity for a handout. I will never bend to any threat. It is my heritage to stand erect, proud and unafraid; to think and act for myself, and to face the world boldly.



Gary GRANT

Democrat Position No. 2

Gary Grant has served three terms as Representative from the 47th District. Business Rep. for the Service Employees Int. Union. Active in Democratic politics for several years, presently member Auburn Demo Club, Renton

Demo Club, Meridian-East Hill Demo Club. In his second Legislative session, Grant was Asst. Majority Leader as well as Chairman of Committee on Constitutions, Elections and Apportionment. Has served on important legislative committees: Education, Institutions, Labor, Revenue & Taxation, Natural Resources and Ways & Means.



Ray SONNEMANN

Republican Position No. 2

Ray Sonnemann has served on the Auburn City Council for the last eight years, and is well acquainted with the problems of the area. He is 43 years old, and has lived in the area all his life. Ray Sonnemann graduated from Auburn High School and attended U. P. S. and the U. of W. He is married and has five children. For the last 15 years he has owned his own business, and is active in many civic projects.

Ray is particulary interested in obtaining greater home rule for our cities, and a fairer share of taxes for local government.

#### Paul D. BENTON

Democrat (2-year term)

Candidate did not submit photograph and statement for publication.



James A.
ANDERSEN
Republican
(2-year term)

Married, Two children. Age 43. Combat infantryman in World War II until wounded. Political Science and Law Degrees from the University of Washington. Attorney.

Served as King County Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for 4½ years. Recipient of Junior Chamber of Commerce Distinguished Service Award for "outstanding community service." Member of Attorney General's Crime Commission.

Has served the people of the 48th District in the Legislature for the past ten years, first in the House of Representatives and now in the Senate. Member of the Legislative Board of Ethics. Member of numerous legislative committees of vital importance to the Eastside.

The Municipal League has five times given him its top "superior" rating as a legislator.

Sponsored the following bills which were enacted into law: establishing the Bellevue Community College; establishing an area-wide air-pollution program; authorizing municipalities to underground utilities; reforming the State Insurance Code; authorizing municipal financing to construct a stadium; and authorizing rapid transit. He has also been a leader in introducing and working on bills designed to tighten up this state's criminal laws and to establish a meaningful code of legislative ethics.

VOTE FOR ONE IN EACH POSITION





Raiph M. MERO, Jr.

Democrat Position No. 1 Endorsed by many Republicans as well as Demo-crats, Ralph Mero characterizes the new spirit of politics as genuine public service. Respected throughout the Eastside for his community leadership and humanitarian activities, Mero's candidacy is supported by non-partisan efforts toward better Legislative government.

His goals are to end secrecy in the Legislature, to represent the public instead of special interests, constitutional and tax reform for modern government, and efficient financing for schools. A native of Seattle, Mero supports a comprehensive regional transportation system, professionalized law enforce-

ment, and conservation.



AI LELAND

Republican Position No. 1 An ardent outdoorsman, this six term 47 year old legislator lives in Redmond, Washington with wife Bea, and step-daughters Laura and Nina. Former Kirkland Mayor, Councilman, Planning Com-mission, World War Two Veteran, 15 years owner-operator Kirkland store, real estate broker.

Chairmanship 36 member House Transportation Comm. 1967, Committee Vice-Chairman 1963, Vice-Chairman 22 member House-Senate-Joint Comm. on Highways 1967-69 Interim, Author-Sponsor 1957 legislation for constructing Evergreen Pt. Lake Washington Bridge.



Henry G. WASHKUHN

Position No. 1 Conservative Party

The reason I am running for the Legislature is because I am concerned about our Government. I am opposed to the Government being in business

contrary to the Constitution.

I support the Liberty Amendment and urge its ratification. I will support law and order. I will support the right of parents to say who will teach their children. I will support private ownership of property and private enterprise. I will support any and all legislation which will promote employment. I will support reduction in taxes.



Charles S. PETERSON

Democrat Position No. 2

Charles Peterson is the youngest member of the Snoqualmie Town Council and its representative on the Planning Commission. He is a forward-looking young man, age 30, who has proven his leadership and interest in community service. Charles is a Volunteer Probation Officer, Sno-Valley Jaycee charter member, and a 7-year union trustee.

Charles is vitally interested in constitutional revision, tax reform, quality education, and public assistance programs.



Richard U. CHAPIN

Republican Position No. 2

A Bellevue resident for nine years, Representative Chapin has served for two years in the House. During the session he was on several key committees and is a member of the legislature's governing body between sessions, the Legislative Council. He made an outstanding legislative record in his first term and is among the leadership of the Republican caucus. Representative Chapin has been a civic leader on the East-Side and has a comprehensive knowledge of the problems of his area and of the state. He is a strong supporter of local school district control and strengthening local government.

#### Special Presidential Ballot-For Newcomers Only

#### AUTHORITY:

A constitutional amendment approved by the voters at the 1966 state election, together with the executing statutes passed by the 1967 Legislature.

#### PURPOSE:

To allow newly arrived persons who cannot register for voting because of the one year's residence requirement to be able to at least vote for their choice of president by a special ballot designed for that purpose.

#### VOTING PROCEDURE:

To eliminate any confusion that might arise at the polling places because of the presidential ballot being available only to certain newcomers, state law provides that such ballots will be voted by mail in a manner similar to voting an absentee ballot. To obtain a ballot, it is necessary to execute an official application form as printed below and mail it to the Secretary of State, Legislative Building, Olympia, Washington 98501.

------ CLIP OUT FORM ALONG THIS LINE

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Fill in all the requested information and affix your signature. Properly executed applications should be mailed directly to the Secretary of State, Legislative Building, Olympia, Washington 98501.



## APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL PRESIDENTIAL BALLOT

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) under penalty as set forth in RCW 29.36.110 (see below), that I am a citizen of the United States; that I will be at least twenty-one (21) years of age on the day of the approaching presidential election; that I am able to read and speak the English language; that I intend to make the State of Washington my permanent residence; that I have resided in this state for \*less than one year but will have resided here for at least sixty (60) days immediately preceding the approaching presidential election to be held on November 5, 1968.

I further swear that I do not qualify to vote for presidential and vice-presidential electors in the state of my former residence and will not vote any other ballot of the State of Washington or of any other state at this election; that my last voting address before entering the State of Washington was:

Street)		
City)		
County)	(State)	

I hereby make application for a special presidential ballot to vote only for president and vice-president at the November 5, 1968 presidential election and request that such ballot be sent to the following address:

(Street)	
(City)	

8.1

(Print name here for positive identification)

(Signature of voter)

#### PENALTY PROVISION (RCW 29.36.110)

Any person who violates any of the provisions, relating to swearing and voting, shall be guilty of a felony and shall be punished by imprisonment for not more than five years or a fine of not more than five thousand dollars, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SIGN HERE

			۰	L
٠		ī	ı	
٦	,	7		ı
		•	•	

<sup>\*</sup>Persons who will have lived in the State of Washington more than one year as of November 5, 1968 are not eligible to vote the special presidential ballot.

#### How Registered Voters Obtain an Absentee Ballot

Any registered voter who will be away from home on the day of the election—or is so physically handicapped that he (or she) cannot vote in person should apply **now** for an absentee ballot. Any **signed** request containing the necessary information will be honored. For your convenience, a model application is reproduced below.

Our absentee voting procedure has been greatly simplified in that it is no longer necessary to mark your ballot in the presence of a notary public. All that is required is for the absentee voter to sign the affidavit which is printed upon the envelope used to mail back the marked ballot.

In order to be certain that the voter's application is authentic, our laws require that the signature upon the application be verified by comparison with the signature on the voter's permanent registration record. For this reason, a voter who resides within a city precinct must send his application to his city clerk even though the request is for a state general election absentee ballot. The city clerk, after approving the application, will then forward it to the appropriate county auditor who, as the election officer, issues and receives absentee ballots for all elections.

Apply Now for an Absentee Ballot If You Cannot Vote in Person.

----- CLIP OUT FORM ALONG THIS LINE

Send this application to your city clerk if your voting precinct is within city limits or to your county auditor if your voting precinct is outside the city limits.



## APPLICATION FOR STATE GENERAL ELECTION ABSENTEE BALLOT

This application is being made for an absentee ballot for the approaching:

(Date)	November 5, 1 State General E	
I hereby declare that I am a qualified elector in	ballot is:  (Check appropriate square)  I expect to be absent	ent from my precinct hours on the day of
(City or town)  My voting precinct is:		ted that I cannot at- nd vote in the usual on.
(If possible fill in precinct name or number)	(Print name here for p	
SIGN HERE	CALLOTTICS TO STATE OF THE STAT	
Fill in address where you wish absentee ballot to be sent	(Stre	eet)
	(City)	(State)

## SENATE JOINT 24 RESOLUTION

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

#### VACANCY: LEGISLATIVE, COUNTY ELECTIVE OFFICES

Shall the State Constitution be amended to provide for filling vacancies in legislative or partisan county elective offices as follows:

- (1) The county commissioners of the county affected shall appoint a person from the same legislative, county or county commissioner district and political party as the officer whose office has been vacated;
- (2) On failure of the county commissioners to so appoint within sixty days, the governor shall within thirty days appoint a person similarly qualified?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 47; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 2. HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 96; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 3.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

#### Statement FOR

#### The problem:

The approval of Senate Joint Resolution 24 is required to prevent subversion of the people's wishes and commands in county government and to guarantee full and adequate representation of the people. Under the present constitutional provision the county commissioners, when a vacancy occurs in a partisan elected county office, have complete and unqualified power to appoint, or not to appoint, a new official to the vacated position. For example, if the official vacating the county office is of a different party affiliation from that of the majority of county commissioners, they may subvert the will of the people by (1) filling the vacancy with a person of party affiliation contrary to that of the official vacating the office, or (2) not appointing any new official.

#### The solution:

The approval of Senate Joint Resolution 24 will further the will of the people by first placing qualification on the county commissioners' power of appointment. Their power will be restricted to the appointment of a person who is (1) from the same county or county commissioner district as the officer whose office has been vacated; (2) a member of the same political party; and (3) one of the three persons nominated by the county central committee of that party. Second, if the

county commissioners fail to act within 60 days time the governor shall make the appointment from the list of three nominees who shall be of the same political affiliation as the person who vacated the office.

The approval of Senate Joint Resolution 24 is required so as to prevent subversion of the people's wishes such as has occurred in Kitsap County in years past. The importance of Senate Joint Resolution 24 was recognized by the Legislature in its vote on the resolution. Unanimous approval was voiced—47 to 0 in the Senate, 96 to 0 in the House of Representatives.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR SJR 24:

WILLIAM A. GISSBERG, State Senator; CHARLES W. ELICKER, State Representative; GORDON L. WALGREN, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: GARY SEXTON, Chairman.

## Explanatory comment issued by the Attorney General as required by law

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the present constitution any vacancy in the legislature or any partisan county elective office is to be filled by appointment by the board of county commissioners of the county in which the vacancy occurs. Although the constitution does not prescribe any qualifications for appointment of a person to fill a vacancy in a partisan elective county office, it expressly provides that to be eligible for appointment to fill a vacancy in the legislature a person must be (1) from the same legislative district; (2) from the same political party as the legislator whose office has been vacated; and (3) one of the three persons nominated by the county central committee of that party.

In the case of a vacancy in a joint legislative district (encompassing two or more counties) the appointment is to be made by the joint action of the several boards of county commissioners from a list of three nominees (having the same qualifications stated above) submitted by the appropriate state central committee. The constitution presently provides that in the event the boards of county commissioners cannot, within 60 days, agree upon the appointment to fill a vacancy in a joint legislative district, the governor, within 30 days, shall fill the vacancy from the list of nominees. However, no similar

authority to resolve such an impasse is vested in the governor in the case of legislative vacancies in districts lying wholly in a single county, or in the case of partisan elective county offices, and our supreme court has held the legislature may not under the existing constitution vest such authority in the governor.

## Effect of Senate Joint Resolution No. 24 if approved into Law:

The proposed amendment would first make the qualifications necessary for appointment to fill a vacancy in the legislature applicable, as well, to vacancies in partisan county elective offices. Thus, under the amendment, in order to be eligible to fill a vacancy in such a county office a person would have to be (1) a resident of the same county or county commissioner district as the officer whose office has been vacated; (2) a member of the same political party as the officer he succeeds; and (3) one of three persons nominated to fill the vacancy by the county central committee of that political party.

central committee of that political party.

In addition, the amendment would provide that if the county commissioners cannot agree upon the appointment of a person to fill a partisan county elective office or a legislative office within 60 days, the governor shall, within 30 days, thereafter, fill the vacancy by appointing a person from the list of nominees.

Note: Complete text of Senate Joint Resolution No. 24 starts on Page 50.

#### Statement AGAINST

Before any constitutional amendment can be submitted to the voters for decision, our state constitution requires that the proposal must first be approved by at least two-thirds of the members of each branch of the state legislature.

Senate Joint Resolution No. 24 was so approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

## HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

1

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

## TAXATION BASED ON ACTUAL USE

Shall Article VII of the State Constitution be amended by adding a section authorizing the legislature to provide that farms, agricultural lands, standing timber and timberlands, and other open space lands used for recreation or enjoyment of their scenic or natural beauty, shall be valued for purposes of taxation on the basis of the use to which such property currently is being applied, rather than on the highest and best use?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: HOUSE: (99 members) Yeas, 84; Nays 9; Absent or not voting, 6. SENATE: (49 members) Yeas, 44; Nays, 0; Absent or not voting, 5.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

### Statement FOR

## HJR 1 will help stop the runaway loss of open spaces, farms, and forests

At an alarming rate, the Evergreen State is losing its precious open spaces to urban sprawl. Lands best suited for farming, forestry, and recreation are falling victim to the relentless push of industry and subdivisions. For example, if present trends continue, it is forecast by the Puget Sound Governmental Conference that by 1985 there will be no farmland left in Snohomish, King, Pierce, and Kitsap counties. We will have created a vast sea of asphalt, buildings, and smokestacks, unbroken by green open spaces.

### Washington's open space lands are being taxed out of existence

Many owners of farm, forest, and open space lands are being forced to sell their property for other uses. Why? Because of skyrocketing property taxes. The Washington State Constitution requires county assessors to rely on local sales prices as the main indicator of "market value". Thus, a few high-priced land sales often tend to force up assessments on surrounding land still in production. Since forestry and farming can't produce enough income to meet the resulting confiscatory taxes, more precious open space land is sold to the speculative purchaser. He may simply hold the land until property values spiral even higher. Speculation only hastens disorderly development and more unplanned urban sprawl.

## HJR 1 will allow property to be taxed according to its use

HJR 1, an amendment to the Constitution, was passed overwhelmingly by the 1967 Legislature, (Senate 44-0, House 84-9). It will allow our law-

makers to establish a rational system of taxing open space lands—according to their current use. This will prevent them from being prematurely forced out of bona fide agricultural, forestry, and recreation uses.

## The "open space" amendment will benefi. all Washington citizens, by helping to:

 Preserve "green belts" needed for beauty and contrast in the urban landscape.

Prevent further loss of irreplaceable farm topsoil in our fertile river valleys.

3. Retain areas needed for outdoor recreation.
Washington's recreation demand will increase threefold in twenty years.

crease threefold in twenty years.

4. Protect a \$3.8 billion annual income and payroll in our farm, food, and forest industries.

Laws similar to HJR 1 have been passed by 13 other states. HJR 1 is supported by scores of Washington groups. Preserve our open spaces—vote YES HJR 1.

Committee appointed to compose statement FOR House Joint Resolution No. 1:

WILLIAM A. GISSBERG, State Senator; SLADE GORTON, State Representative; STEWART BLEDSOE, State Representative.

Advisory Committee: MEL AMMERMAN, President, Washington State Farm Bureau Federation; JOHN A. BIGGS, Director, Washington State Department of Game; JAMES R. ELLIS, President, Forward Thrust, Seattle; MRS. J. GORDON GOSE, Past President, National Council of State Garden Clubs; A. LARS NELSON, Master, Washington State Grange.

Explanatory comment issued by the Attorney General as required by law

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the present state constitution and pertinent legislative enactments, all real property is valued for purposes of taxation on the basis of its true and fair value in money, which is determined by the "highest and best use" to which the property could be applied, without regard to its present actual use. The term "highest and best use" is defined by a Department of Revenue regulation to mean the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put.

## Effect of House Joint Resolution No. 1 if approved into Law:

The proposed constitutional amendment would authorize the legislature to provide by law, subject to such conditions as it may enact, that certain real property shall be valued for taxation purposes in terms of its present actual use rather than its highest and best use. Types of property which

would be eligible for such treatment are (a) farms, agricultural lands, standing timber and timber lands, and (b) other open space lands which are used for recreation or for enjoyment of their scenic or natural beauty.

Note: Complete text of House Joint Resolution No. 1 appears on Page 51.

#### Statement AGAINST

This is a calculated effort by the major timber companies to shift the burden of real estate taxes to other types of property. This is not long range planning for "Open Space" since property so designated would remain so only so long as the timber companies wanted to take advantage of the tax benefit.

The landowner or timber company could sell after 5 years or convert to another use—with that the "Open Space" is gone.

Another insidious result would be to retard the development of property. The lower tax would reduce the owner's incentive to develop the property to a higher and better use.

School districts and local governments could suffer substantial loss from this tax dodge.

If you vote for this constitutional change, you are voting blind since no implementing legislation has been agreed upon by the legislators, who have debated the subject for almost two years.

If you are concerned about the rising tax bill on your house and your personal property, vote no on HJR No. 1.

If the "Open Space" proponents are sincere and have a worthwhile program let them provide for a direct purchase program involving long term planning rather than this devious route that results in tax benefits for a favored few and "Open Space" only on a temporary basis. Vote NO on HJR No. 1.

Committee appointed to compose statement AGAINST House Joint Resolution No. 1:
WILBUR G. HALLAUER, State Senator; GORDON W. RICHARDSON, State Representative; PALMER BERGE, Past President, Washington Association of Realtors, Inc. Advisory Committee: JOHN VANDERZICHT, former Director, State Parks and Recreation Commission; ROBERT L. CHASE, owner Pettit-Moory Insurance Agency; JAMES BLACK, President, Washington Association of Realtors.

## HOUSE JOINT 13

**Proposed Constitutional Amendment** 

Official ballot title:\*

## AUTHORIZING COMPENSATION INCREASE DURING TERM

Shall the State Constitution be amended by adding a new article permitting the compensation of all elected and appointed state, county and municipal officers who do not fix their own compensation (including judges of courts of record and justice courts), to be increased during their terms of office to the end that such officials shall receive compensation for their services as provided by the law in effect at the time the services are being rendered?

Vote cast by members of the 1967 Legislature on final passage: HOUSE: {99 members} Yeas, 89; Nays, 8; Absent or not voting, 2. SENATE: {49 members} Yeas, 40; Nays, 6; Absent or not voting, 3.

\*Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General.

#### Statement FOR

HJR 13 is a resolution passed by the 1967 State Legislature designed to modernize the State Constitution. It provides that all elective and appointive state, county and municipal officers and officials, including judges, who do not set their own salaries, may receive salary increases during their terms of office.

#### Vote "Yes" because:

- 1. Under the present law, many newly elected and appointed officials and judges receive a salary greater than their colleagues with longer tenure. A current example of this outdated law finds that four new Superior Court judges receive 50% more compensation than 74 other judges, all of whom have served for a longer period of time. If we place our government officers and officials in a position of equal responsibility, it is only fair that we compensate them equally.
- 2. Today, public officers, officials and judges are prohibited from having their salaries increased while serving their current terms of office. Some must wait as long as six years for their authorized raises. This hurts good government. With the increasing cost of living, an extreme economic hardship is placed on many of these public servants. Would you as a wage-earner be satisfied to wait as long as six years for your pay raise—one that was already being received by your co-workers?

3. A "YES" vote for HJR 13 will correct these unfair and discriminatory conditions. It will NOT, however, allow uncontrolled salary increases, since HJR 13 pertains only to state, county and municipal officials who do not set their own salaries. STATE LEGIS-LATORS, THEREFORE, COULD NOT RAISE THEIR OWN SALARIES DURING A CURRENT TERM OF OFFICE.

Statewide Steering Committee: WILLIAM M. ALLEN, Board Chairman, The Boeing Co.; THOMAS GOSE, President, State Bar Association; FATHER JOHN A. FITTERER, S.J., President, Seattle University; GOODWIN CHASE, Tacoma Banker; ROBERT KULL, Chairman, Democratic State Central Committee; C. MONTGOMERY JOHNSON, Chairman, Republican State Central Committee.

Committee appointed to compose the statement FOR House Joint Resolution No. 13:

WILLIAM (BILL) CHATALAS, State Representative; PERRY WOODALL, State Senator; ROBERT TWIGG, State Senator.

Advisory Committee: EDWIN PRATT, Seattle Civic Leader; HOWARD GRIMM, International Director, Lions International; LUKE WILLIAMS, Spokane Civic Leader; WILLIAM KENTON, State Commander, American Legion.

## Explanatory comment issued by the Attorney General as required by law

#### The Law as it now exists:

Under the constitution the compensation of elective and appointive state, county and municipal officers, including judges of courts of record and justice courts, is fixed by the state legislature or some officer or public body to whom the legislature has delegated such authority.

In the case of such officers who serve for a fixed term (which, depending upon the law governing the particular office, will be from four to as many as nine years in length) there are several provisions in the present constitution which prohibit increases in their compensation during their respective terms of office. These constitutional provisions apply to officers serving for staggered terms on multi-member boards, commissions and tribunals as well as to other officers serving fixed terms.

## Effect of House Joint Resolution No. 13 if approved into Law:

The proposed constitutional amendment would add a new Article to the constitution which would provide that the compensation of those officers named above, who do not fix their own compensation, may be increased during their term of office to the end that such officers shall receive compensation for their services in accordance with the law in effect at the time the services are being rendered.

Note: Complete text of House Joint Resolution No. 13 starts on Page 51.

## Statement AGAINST

Before any constitutional amendment can be submitted to the voters for decision, our state constitution requires that the proposal must first be approved by at least two-thirds of the members of each branch of the state legislature.

House Joint Resolution No. 13 was so approved by the 1967 Legislature and no member could be enlisted to write a statement against the measure for publication in this pamphlet.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

## INITIATIVE 242 MEASURE

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### DRIVERS' IMPLIED CONSENT— INTOXICATION TESTS

AN ACT providing that any person operating a motor vehicle on the public highways shall be deemed to have consented to a breath test (if unconscious a blood test) to determine intoxication, when arrested for any offense, provided the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe such operator was driving or in control of a vehicle while intoxicated; directing a six-month revocation of driving privileges for a person refusing such test after having been advised of his rights and consequences of refusal; providing hearing and appeal procedures; and reducing the blood alcohol percentage necessary to raise a presumption of intoxication.

Be it enacted by the people of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. There is added to chapter 46.20 RCW a new section to read as follows:

- (1) Any person who operates a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state shall be deemed to have given consent, subject to the provisions of section 3 of this initiative, to a chemical test or tests of his breath or blood for the purpose of determining the alcoholic content of his blood if arrested for any offense where, at the time of the arrest, the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor. The test or tests shall be administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe the person to have been driving or in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state while under the influence of intoxicating liquor. Such officer shall inform the person of his right to refuse the test, and of his right to have additional tests administered by any qualified person of his choosing as provided in section 3 of this initiative. The officer shall warn the driver that his privilege to drive will be re-voked or denied if he refuses to submit to the test. Unless the person to be tested is unconscious, the chemical test administered shall be of his breath only.
- (2) Any person who is dead, unconscious or who is otherwise in a condition rendering him incapable of refusal, shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by subsection (1) of this section and the test or tests may be administered, subject to the provisions of section 3 of this initiative.
- (3) If, following his arrest, the person arrested refuses upon the request of a law enforcement

officer to submit to a chemical test of his breath, after being informed that his refusal will result in the revocation or denial of his privilege to drive, no test shall be given. The department of motor vehicles, upon the receipt of a sworn report of the law enforcement officer that he had reasonable grounds to believe the arrested person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state while under the influence of intoxicating liquor and that the person had refused to submit to the test upon the request of the law enforcement officer after being informed that such refusal would result in the revocation or denial of his privilege to drive, shall revoke his license or permit to drive or any nonresident operating privilege. If the person is a resident without a license or permit to operate a motor vehicle in this state, the department shall deny to the person the issuance of a license or permit for a period of six months after the date of the alleged violation, subject to review as hereinafter provided.

- (4) Upon revoking the license or permit to drive or the nonresident operating privilege of any person, or upon determining that the issuance of a license or permit shall be denied to the person, as hereinbefore in this section directed, the department shall immediately notify the person involved in writing by personal service or by registered or certified mail of its decision and the grounds therefor, and of his right to a hearing, specifying the steps he must take to obtain a hearing. The person upon receiving such notice may, in writing and within ten days therefrom request a formal hearing. Upon receipt of such request, the department shall afford him an opportunity for a hearing as provided in RCW 46.20.329 and RCW 46.20.332. The scope of such hearing for the purposes of this section shall cover the issues of whether a law enforcement officer had reasonable grounds to believe the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, whether the person was placed under arrest and whether he refused to submit to the test upon request of the officer after having been informed that such refusal would result in the revocation or denial of his privilege to drive. The department shall order that the revocation or determination that there should be a denial of issuance either be rescinded or sustained. Any decision by the department revoking a person's driving privilege shall be stayed and shall not take effect while a formal hearing is pending as herein provided or during the pendency of a subsequent appeal to superior court: Provided, That this stay shall be effective only so long as there is no conviction for a moving violation during pendency of the hearing and appeal.
- (5) If the revocation or determination that there should be a denial of issuance is sustained after such a hearing, the person whose license, privilege or permit is so affected shall have the right to file a petition in the superior court of the county wherein he resides, or, if a nonresident of this state, where the charge arose, to review the final order of revocation or denial by the department in the manner provided in RCW 46.20.334.
- (6) When it has been finally determined under the procedures of this section that a nonresident's privilege to operate a motor vehicle in this state has been revoked, the department shall give information in writing of the action taken to the motor vehicle administrator of the state of the person's residence and of any state in which he has a license.
- Sec. 2. Section 27, chapter 121, Laws of 1965 extraordinary session as last amended by section 5, chapter 167, Laws of 1967 and RCW 46.20.311 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1) The department shall not suspend a driver's license or privilege to drive a motor vehicle on the public highways for a fixed period of more than one year, except as permitted under RCW 46.20.342. Whenever the license of any person is suspended by reason of a conviction or pursuant to RCW 46.20.291, such suspension shall remain in effect and the department shall not issue to such person any new or renewal of license until such person shall give and thereafter maintain proof of financial responsibility for the future as provided in chapter 46.29 RCW.
- (2) Any person whose license or privilege to drive a motor vehicle on the public highways has been revoked shall not be entitled to have such license or privilege renewed or restored unless the revocation was for a cause which has been removed, except that after the expiration of six months in cases of revocation for refusal to submit to a chemical test under the provisions of section 1 of this initiative, and in all other revocation cases after the expiration of one year from the date on which the revoked license was surrendered to and received by the department, such person may make application for a new license as provided by law, but the department shall not then issue a new license unless it is satisfied after investigation of the driving ability of such person that it will be safe to grant the privilege of driving a motor vehicle on the public highways, and until such person shall give and thereafter maintain proof of financial responsibility for the future as provided in chapter 46.29 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. There is added to chapter 46.61 RCW a new section to read as follows:

- (1) It is unlawful for any person who is under the influence of or affected by the use of intoxicating liquor or of any narcotic drug to drive or be in actual physical control of a vehicle within this state.
- (2) Upon the trial of any civil or criminal action or proceeding arising out of acts alleged to have been committed by any person while driving or in actual physical control of a vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, the amount of alcohol in the person's blood at the time alleged as shown by chemical analysis of his blood, breath or other bodily substance shall give rise to the following presumptions:
- (a) If there was at that time 0.05 per cent or less by weight of alcohol in the person's blood, it shall be presumed that he was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor.
- (b) If there was at that time in excess of 0.05 per cent but less than 0.10 per cent by weight of alcohol in the person's blood, such fact shall not give rise to any presumption that the person was or was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, but such fact may be considered with other competent evidence in determining whether the person was under the influence of intoxicating liquor.
- (c) If there was at that time 0.10 per cent or more by weight of alcohol in the person's blood, it shall be presumed that he was under the influence of intoxicating liquor.
- (d) Per cent by weight of alcohol in the blood shall be based upon milligrams of alcohol per one hundred cubic centimeters of blood.
- (e) The foregoing provisions of this section shall not be construed as limiting the introduction of any other competent evidence bearing upon the question whether the person was under the influence of intoxicating liquor.
- (3) Chemical analysis of the person's blood or breath to be considered valid under the provisions of this section shall have been performed according

- to methods approved by the state toxicologist and by an individual possessing a valid permit issued by the state toxicologist for this purpose. The state toxicologist is directed to approve satisfactory techniques or methods, to supervise the examination of individuals to ascertain their qualifications and competence to conduct such analyses, and to issue permits which shall be subject to termination or revocation at the discretion of the state toxicologist.
- (4) When a blood test is administered under the provisions of section 1 of this initiative, the withdrawal of blood for the purpose of determining its alcoholic content may be performed only by a physician, a registered nurse, or a qualified technician. This limitation shall not apply to the taking of breath specimens.
- (5) The person tested may have a physician, or a qualified technician, chemist, registered nurse, or other qualified person of his own choosing administer a chemical test or tests in addition to any administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer. The failure or inability to obtain an additional test by a person shall not preclude the admission of evidence relating to the test or tests taken at the direction of a law enforcement officer.
- (6) Upon the request of the person who shall submit to a chemical test or tests at the request of a law enforcement officer, full information concerning the test or tests shall be made available to him or his attorney.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. The director of the department of motor vehicles shall furnish every applicant for a driver's license or a driver's license renewal with a written summary of the provisions of this initiative.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. Section 60, chapter 155, Laws of 1965 extraordinary session and RCW 46.61.505 are each repealed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of this act, or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Initiative Measure No. 242 filed in the office of the Secretary of State as of February 8, 1968.

Sponsors filed 123,589 supporting signatures as of July 5, 1968.

Canvass of signatures completed as of August 26, 1968 and petitions found sufficient. Measure then certified to the November 5, 1968 state general election ballot for approval or rejection by the voters.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

## INITIATIVE 245

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## REDUCING MAXIMUM RETAIL SERVICE CHARGES

AN ACT amending the present state law regulating retail installment sales of goods and services by reducing the maximum amount which may be legally assessed as a service charge in connection with retail installment transactions from 18% per year computed monthly on the unpaid balance (1½% per month) to 12% per year computed monthly (1% per month); reducing from \$15.00 to \$10.00 the alternative service charge that may be assessed on a retail installment contract notwithstanding the 12% maximum; and eliminating two other methods of computing service charges on such contracts which are permitted under the present law.

Be it enacted by the people of the State of Washington:

SECTION 1. Section 4 of chapter 236, Laws of 1963, as last amended by section 3 of chapter 234, Laws of 1967, RCW 63.14.040, is hereby amended to read as follows:

- (1) The retail installment contract shall contain the names of the seller and the buyer, the place of business of the seller, the residence or other address of the buyer as specified by the buyer and a description or identification of the goods sold or to be sold, or service furnished or rendered or to be furnished or rendered. The contract also shall contain the following items, which shall be set forth in the sequence appearing below:
- (1) (a) The cash sale price of each item of goods or services;
- (2) (b) The amount of the buyer's down payment, if any, identifying the amounts paid in money and allowed for goods traded in;
- (3) (c) The difference between items (1) (a) and (2) (b);
- (4) (d) The aggregate amount, if any, included for insurance, specifying the type or types of insurance and the terms of coverage;
- (5) (e) The aggregate amount of official fees, if any;
- (6) (f) The principal balance, which is the sum of items (3) (c), (4) (d) and (5) (e);
- (7) (g) The dollar amount or rate of the service charge;
- (8) (h) The amount of the time balance owed by the buyer to the seller, which is the sum of items (6) (f) and (7) (g), if (7) (g) is stated in a dollar amount; and
- (9) (i) Except as otherwise provided in the next two sentences, the maximum number of installment payments required and the amount of each installment and the due date of each payment necessary to pay such balance. If installment payments other than the final payment are stated as a series of equal scheduled amounts and if the amount of the final installment payment does not substantially exceed the scheduled amount of each preceding installment payment, the maximum number of payments and the amount and due date of each payment need not be separately stated and the amount of the scheduled final installment payment may be stated as the remaining unpaid balance. The due date of the first installment payment may be fixed by a day or date or may be fixed by reference to the date of the contract or to the time of delivery or installation.

Additional items may be included to explain the calculations involved in determining the balance to be paid by the buyer.

(2) Every retail installment contract shall contain the following notice in ten point bold face type or larger directly above the space reserved in the contract for the signature of the buyer: "NOTICE TO BUYER:

- (a) Do not sign this contract before you read it or if any spaces intended for the agreed terms, except as to unavailable information, are blank.
- (b) You are entitled to a copy of this contract at the time you sign it.
- (c) You may at any time pay off the full unpaid balance due under this contract, and in so doing you may receive a partial rebate of the service charge.
- (d) The service charge does not exceed ......% (must be filled in) per annum computed monthly and may not lawfully exceed <del>[[18%]</del>] twelve per cent per annum computed monthly.
- (e) You may cancel this contract and return any goods received, if it is solicited in person, and you sign it, at a place other than the seller's business address shown on the contract, by sending notice of such cancellation by certified mail return receipt requested to the seller at his address shown on the contract, which notice shall be posted not later than the next business day following your signing this contract: *Provided*, That at the time of sending notice of cancellation you have not received and accepted a substantial part of the goods or services which the seller is required to furnish under this contract."

Clause (2) (e) needs to be included in the notice only if the contract is solicited in person by the seller or his representative, and the buyer signs it, at a place other than the seller's business address shown on the contract.

SECTION 2. Section 12 of chapter 236, Laws of 1963, as last amended by section 7 of chapter 234, Laws of 1967, RCW 63.14.120, is hereby amended to read as follows:

- (1) At or prior to the time a retail charge agreement is made the seller shall advise the buyer in writing, on the application form or otherwise, or orally that a service charge will be computed on the outstanding balance for each month (which need not be a calendar month) or other regular period agreed upon, the schedule or rate by which the service charge will be computed, and that the buyer may at any time pay his total unpaid balance: Provided, That if this information is given orally, the seller shall, upon approval of the buyer's credit, deliver to the buyer or mail to him at his address, a memorandum setting forth this information.
- (2) The seller or holder of a retail charge agreement shall promptly supply the buyer with a statement as of the end of each monthly period (which need not be a calendar month) or other regular period agreed upon, in which there is any unpaid balance thereunder, which statement shall set forth the following:
- (a) The unpaid balance under the retail charge agreement at the beginning and at the end of the period;
- (b) Unless otherwise furnished by the seller to the buyer by sales slip, memorandum, or otherwise, a description or identification of the goods or services purchased during the period, the cash sale price and the date of each purchase;
- (c) The payments made by the buyer to the seller and any other credits to the buyer during the period;
- (d) The amount, if any, of any service charge for such period; and
- (e) A legend to the effect that the buyer may at any time pay his total unpaid balance.
- (3) Every retail charge agreement shall contain the following notice in ten point bold face type or larger directly above the space reserved in the charge agreement for the signature of the buyer: "NOTICE TO BUYER:
- (a) Do not sign this retail charge agreement before you read it or if any spaces intended for the agreed terms are left blank.

- (b) You are entitled to a copy of this charge agreement at the time you sign it.
- (c) You may at any time pay off the full unpaid balance under this charge agreement.
- (d) The monthly service charge may not lawfully exceed the greater of [\frac{11\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{R}}] one per cent of the outstanding balance, ([\frac{18\mathbb{R}}{1}] twelve per cent per year computed monthly) or one dollar.
- (e) You may cancel any purchases made under this charge agreement and return the goods so purchased, if the seller or his represenative solicited in person such purchase, and you sign an agreement for such purchase, at a place other than the seller's business address shown on the charge agreement, by sending notice of such cancellation by certified mail return receipt requested to the seller at his address shown on the charge agreement, which notice shall be posted not later than the next business day following your signing of the purchase agreement: Provided, That at the time of sending notice of recision you have not received and accepted a substantial part of the goods or services which you agreed to purchase."

SECTION 3. Section 13 of chapter 236, Laws of 1963, as last amended by section 8, chapter 234, Laws of 1967, RCW 63.14.130, is hereby amended to read as follows:

The service charge shall be inclusive of all charges incident to investigating and making the retail installment contract or charge agreement and for the privilege of making the installment payments thereunder and no other fee, expense or charge whatsoever shall be taken, received, reserved or contracted therefor from the buyer.

- (1) The service charge, in a retail installment contract, shall not exceed the highest of the following:
- (a) [{Five sixths of one per cent of the principal balance multiplied by the number of months, including any fraction of a month in excess of fifteen days as one month, clapsing between the date of such contract and the due date of the last installment; or]
- [(b) Ten dollars per annum per one hundred dollars of the principal balance; or
- (e)]] One [[and one half]] per cent per month on the outstanding unpaid balances; or
  - [<del>[(d) Fifteen dollars.]</del>] (b) Ten dollars.
- (2) The service charge in a retail charge agreement, revolving charge agreement or charge agreement, shall not exceed one [fand one half]] per cent per month on the outstanding unpaid balances. If the service charge so computed is less than one dollar for any month, then one dollar may be charged.
- (3) A service charge may be computed on the median amount within a range which does not exceed ten dollars and which is a part of a published schedule of consecutive ranges applied to an outstanding balance, provided the median amount is used in computing the service charge for all balances within such range.
- (4) The service charge in a retail installment contract or charge agreement shall not exceed the rate of [<code>[eighteen]</code>] twelve per cent per annum, computed monthly. A service charge computed by one of the foregoing methods, or within the permitted minimum charges, shall be deemed not to be in excess of [<code>[eighteen]</code>] twelve per cent per annum computed monthly.

Initiative Measure No. 245 filed in the office of the Secretary of State as of April 4, 1968.

Sponsors filed 143,395 supporting signatures as of July 5, 1968.

Canvass of signatures completed as of September 5, 1968 and petitions found sufficient. Measure

then certified to the November 5, 1968 state general election ballot for approval or rejection by the voters.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

## REFERENDUM 35

(CHAPTER 22, LAWS OF 1967)

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## NON-DISCRIMINATION BY REALTY BROKERS, SALESMEN

AN ACT relating to real estate brokers and salesmen; adding discrimination because of race, creed, color or national origin as a ground for the suspension or revocation of real estate licenses. It provides that prior to taking any action to suspend, revoke or deny a license for discrimination, the state director administering real estate licensing shall order the broker or salesman to stop the discriminatory act or practice. Upon receipt of a written promise to stop the discrimination, the director shall take no further action unless within six months thereafter the broker or salesman engages in further discrimination.

LEGISLATIVE TITLE (Senate Bill No. 378)

## REGULATING REAL ESTATE BROKERS AND SALESMEN

AN ACT relating to real estate brokers and salesmen; amending section 7, chapter 252, Laws of 1941 as amended by section 11, chapter 235, Laws of 1953 and RCW 18.85.220; and amending section 16, chapter 235, Laws of 1953 as amended by section 48, chapter 52, Laws of 1957 and RCW 18.85.350; and amending section 19, chapter 252, Laws of 1941, as last amended by section 12, chapter 235, Laws of 1953, and RCW 18.85.230.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

Section 1. Section 7, chapter 252, Laws of 1941 as amended by section 11, chapter 235, Laws of 1953 and RCW 18.85.220 are each amended to read as follows:

All fees required under the provisions of this chapter shall be paid to the state treasurer. The sum of five dollars from each license fee and each renewal fee received from a broker, associate [freal estate]] broker, or salesman, shall be placed in the general fund. The balance of such fees and all other fees paid under the provisions of this

chapter shall be placed in a special fund to be designated the real estate commission fund, [fatteast]] one-half of which [fshall] may be held and used for the sole purpose of inspecting the books, records and operations of the brokers, associate brokers, and salesmen.

Sec. 2. Section 16, chapter 235, Laws of 1953 as amended by section 48, chapter 52, Laws of 1957 and RCW 18.85.350 are each amended to read as follows:

The director may prefer a complaint for violation of any section of this chapter before any court of competent jurisdiction.

The prosecuting attorney of each county shall prosecute any violation of the provisions of this chapter which occurs in his county, and if the prosecuting attorney fails to act, the director may request the attorney general to take action in lieu of the prosecuting attorney.

Process issued by the director shall extend to all parts of the state, and may be served by any person authorized to serve process of courts of record, or may be mailed by registered mail to the licensee's last business address of record in the office of the director.

Whenever the director believes from evidence satisfactory to him that any person has violated any of the provisions of this chapter, or any order, license, decision, demand or requirement, or any part or provision thereof, he may bring an action, in the superior court in the county wherein such person resides, against such person to enjoin any such person from continuing such violation or engaging therein or doing any act or acts in furtherance thereof. In this action an order or judgment may be entered awarding such preliminary or final injunction as may be proper.

The director may petition the superior court in any county in the state for the immediate appointment of a receiver to take over, operate or close any real estate office in this state which is found, upon inspection of its books and records to be operating in violation of the provisions of this chapter, pending a hearing as herein provided.

Sec. 3. Section 19, chapter 252, Laws of 1941, as last amended by section 12, chapter 235, Laws of 1953, and RCW 18.85.230 are each amended to read as follows:

The director may, upon his own motion, and shall upon verified complaint in writing by any person, investigate the actions of any person engaged in the business or acting in the capacity of a real estate broker, associate real estate broker, or real estate salesman, regardless of whether the transaction was for his own account or in his capacity as broker, and may temporarily suspend or permanently revoke or deny the license of any holder who is guilty of:

- (1) Obtaining a license by means of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, or through the mistake or inadvertence of the director;
- (2) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or any lawful rules or regulations made by the director pursuant thereto;
- (3) A crime against the laws of this or any other state or government, involving moral turpitude or dishonest dealings;
- (4) Making, printing, publishing, distributing, or causing, authorizing, or knowingly permitting the making, printing, publication or distribution of false statements, descriptions or promises of such character as to reasonably induce any person to act thereon to his damage or injury, if the statements, descriptions or promises purport to be made or to be performed by either the licensee or his principal

- and the licensee then knew or, by the exercise of reasonable care and inquiry, could have known, of the falsity of the statements, descriptions or promises:
- (5) Knowingly committing, or being a party to, any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick, scheme or device whereby any other person lawfully relying upon the word, representation or conduct of the licensee acts to his injury or damage;
- (6) Accepting the services of, or continuing in a representative capacity, any salesman who has not been granted a license, or after his license has been revoked or during a suspension thereof:
- (7) Conversion of any money, contract, deed, note, mortgage, or abstract or other evidence of title, to his own use or to the use of his principal or of any other person, when delivered to him in trust or on condition, in violation of the trust, or before the happening of the condition; and failure to return any money or contract, deed, note, mortgage, abstract or other evidence of title within thirty days after the owner thereof is entitled thereto, and makes demand therefor, shall be prima facie evidence of such conversion;
- (8) Failing, upon demand, to disclose any information within his knowledge to, or to produce any document, book or record in his possession for inspection of the director or his authorized representatives acting by authority of law;
- (9) Continuing to sell any real estate, or operating according to a plan of selling, whereby the interests of the public are endangered, after the director has, by order in writing, stated objections thereto:
- (10) Committing any act of fraudulent or dishonest dealing and a certified copy of the final holding of any court of competent jurisdiction in such matter shall be conclusive evidence in any hearing under this chapter;
- (11) Advertising in any manner without affixing the broker's name as licensed, and in the case of a salesman or associate broker, without affixing the name of the broker as licensed for whom or under whom the salesman or associate broker operates, to the advertisement;
- (12) Accepting other than cash or its equivalent as earnest money unless that fact is communicated to the owner prior to his acceptance of the other to purchase, and such fact is shown in the earnest money receipt;
- (13) Charging or accepting compensation from more than one party in any one transaction without first making full disclosure of all the facts to all the parties interested in the transaction.
- (14) Accepting, taking or charging any undisclosed commission, rebate or direct profit on expenditures made for the principal;
- (15) Accepting employment or compensation for appraisal of real property contingent upon reporting a predetermined value;
- (16) Issuing an appraisal report on any real property in which the broker or salesman has an interest unless his interest is clearly stated in the appraisal report;
- (17) Misrepresentation of his membership in any state or national real estate association;
- \*(18) Discriminating against any person or persons because of race, creed, color or national origin while acting in the capacity of a real estate broker, associate real estate broker, or real estate salesman: PROVIDED, That prior to taking any action to suspend, revoke or deny the license of any broker or salesman upon grounds specified in this subsection, the director shall issue an order to any such broker or salesman to cease and desist in such act or practice of discrimination and upon receipt of an assurance in writing of discontinuance thereof shall take no further action to suspend, revoke or deny

the license of such broker or salesman unless within six months thereafter such broker or salesman engages in a further act or practice of discrimination. Such assurance of discontinuance shall not be considered an admission of a violation for any purpose.

\*NOTE: Only new subdivision (18) of Section 3, Chapter 22, Laws of 1967 (which originated as Senate Bill 378) is being subjected to referendum. For quick reference, the subdivision being referred is set in boldface. This referendum will not repeal any existing law prior to the passage of Senate Bill 378.

Passed the Senate March 9, 1967.

Passed the House March 7, 1967.

Approved by the Governor March 10, 1967.

Referendum filed March 22, 1967.

Signature petitions found to be sufficient June 27, 1967 and measure certified to voters for approval or rejection at the November 5, 1968 state general election.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

### REFERENDUM BILL NUMBER

17

(CHAPTER 106, LAWS OF 1967)

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITIES BONDS

AN ACT providing for the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$25,000,000 to finance grants by the pollution control commission to public bodies, in conjunction with federal grants authorized pursuant to the federal water pollution control act, for the purpose of aiding in the construction and improvement of water pollution control facilities; providing for payment of the bonds from unpledged retail sales tax revenue or other means authorized by the legislature; and appropriating \$9,000,000 to the pollution control commission for the above described purposes during the present biennium.

LEGISLATIVE TITLE (Substitute Senate Bill No. 405)

### BONDS—WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITIES

AN ACT relating to state government and the support thereof; authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds to assist public bodies in the construction and improvement of water pollution control facilities; providing ways and means to pay said bonds; making an appropriation; and providing for submission of this act to a vote of the people.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. For the purpose of providing state matching funds to assist public bodies in the construction and improvement of water pollution control facilities the state finance committee is hereby authorized to issue any time prior to January 1, 1971 general obligation bonds of the state of Washington in the sum of twenty-five million dollars to be paid and discharged within twenty years of the date of issuance.

The state finance committee is authorized to prescribe the form of such bonds, and the time of sale of all or any portion or portions of such bonds, and the conditions of sale and issuance thereof: *Provided*, That none of the bonds herein authorized shall be sold for less than the par value thereof, nor shall they bear interest at a rate in excess of six percent per annum.

The bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state of Washington and contain an unconditional promise to pay the interest and principal when due. The committee may provide that the bonds, or any of them, may be called prior to the due date thereof under such terms and conditions as it may determine. The state finance committee may authorize the use of facsimile signatures in the issuance of the bonds.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The pollution control commission is authorized to make and administer grants to any public bodies for the purpose of aiding in the construction and improvement of water pollution control facilities in conjunction with federal grants authorized pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds authorized herein, together with all grants, donations, transferred funds and all other moneys which the state finance committee may direct the state treasurer to deposit therein shall be deposited in the water pollution control facilities account hereby created in the state general fund, and shall be administered by the pollution control commission under the authority granted by section 2 of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. The water pollution control facilities bond redemption fund is hereby created in the state treasury, which fund shall be exclusively devoted to the payment of interest on and retirement of the bonds authorized by this act. The state finance committee shall, on or before June 30th of each year, certify to the state treasurer the amount needed in the ensuing twelve months to meet bond retirement and interest requirements and on July 1st of each year the state treasurer shall deposit such amount in said water pollution control facilities redemption fund from moneys transmitted to the state treasurer by the tax commission and certified by the tax commission to be sales tax collections and such amount certified by the state finance committee to the state treasurer shall be a prior charge against all retail sales tax revenues of the state of Washington, except that portion thereof heretofore pledged for the payment of bond principal and interest.

The owner and holder of each of said bonds or the trustee for any of the bonds may by mandamus or other appropriate proceeding require and compel the transfer and payment of funds as directed herein.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. The legislature may provide additional means for raising moneys for the payment of the interest and principal of the bonds authorized herein and this shall not be deemed to provide an exclusive method for such payment.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. The bonds herein authorized shall be a legal investment for all state funds or for funds under state control and all funds of municipal corporations.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. There is appropriated to the pollution control commission from the water pollution control facilities account for the period from the effective date of this act through June 30, 1969, the sum of nine million dollars. The pollution control commission shall request from the 1969 legislature an appropriation from the water pollution control facilities account in an amount necessary to carry out the grant program of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. For the purposes of this act the terms:

- (1) "Water pollution control facilities" means the various devices used in the treatment of sewage or industrial wastes of a liquid nature, including the necessary intercepting sewers, outfall sewers, pumping, power, and other equipment, and their appurtenances, and includes any extensions, improvements, remodeling, additions, and alterations thereof;
- (2) "Public bodies" means municipal or public corporations, counties, or departments or agencies of state government.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. This act shall be submitted to the people for their adoption and ratification, or rejection, at the next general election to be held in this state in accordance with the provisions of section 3, Article VIII of the state Constitution; and in accordance with the provisions of section 1, Article II of the state Constitution as amended, and the laws adopted to facilitate the operation thereof.

Passed the Senate February 24, 1967. Passed the House March 7, 1967. Approved by the Governor March 21, 1967.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

## REFERENDUM 18

(CHAPTER 126, LAWS 1967, EX. SESSION)

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### BONDS FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

AN ACT authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$40,000,000 to finance the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities. The act directs the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation to allocate half of the money so raised to state agencies for such acquisition and development as the legislature may direct and the other half to local public bodies for acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities within their jurisdictions. Payment of the bonds will come from un-

pledged retail sales tax revenues or other means authorized by the legislature.

LEGISLATIVE TITLE (House Bill No. 686)

### OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL AREAS AND FACILITIES—ACQUISITION—BOND ISSUE

AN ACT relating to state government; authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds to finance acquisition and development of outdoor recreational areas and facilities; specifying methods for the payment of such bonds; prescribing the manner in which the proceeds thereof shall be used; providing for submission of this act to a vote of the people; and adding a new chapter to Title 43 RCW, contingent upon their adoption and ratification thereof

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. The state of Washington possesses unsurpassed natural wealth in the form of mountains, forests, and waters, ideal not only for recreation, but for supplying the special kind of spiritual regeneration that only close association with the outdoors can provide. As the state grows in population, this wilderness is increasingly threatened; prompt action is necessary to preserve it before much of it permanently disappears. Further, the physical expansion of our cities and towns has made it imperative that outdoor breathing space be set aside and permanently reserved for the people who live in them. Such breathing space may take the form of "green belts" especially planned to relieve the monotony of miles of uninterrupted urban or suburban development, or it may take the form of traditional parks. In any case, it must be acquired as soon as possible, while land is still available; and where appropriate, this land must be developed in order to meet the recreational needs of growing numbers of potential users.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the acquisition and development of outdoor recreational areas and facilities in this state, the state finance committee is authorized to issue, at any time prior to January 1, 1975, general obligation bonds of the state of Washington in the sum of forty million dollars or so much thereof as may be required to finance the projects described in sections 7 and 8 of this act. These bonds shall be paid and discharged within twenty years of the date of issuance.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The state finance committee is authorized to prescribe the form of the bonds, the time of sale of all or any portion of them, and the conditions of their sale and issuance. None of the bonds herein authorized shall be sold for less than their par value, nor shall they bear interest at a rate in excess of six percent per annum.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. The bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state of Washington and shall contain an unconditional promise to pay the principal and interest when due. The committee may provide that the bonds, or any of them, may be called prior to their due date under such terms and conditions as it may determine. The state finance committee may authorize the use of facsimile signatures in the issuance of the bonds.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. The proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this act shall be deposited in the outdoor recreation account of the general fund and shall be used exclusively for the purposes of carrying out the provisions of the act and for payment of the expense incurred in the issuance and sale of the bonds.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. The outdoor recreational bond redemption fund of 1967 is created in the state treasury. This fund shall be exclusively devoted to the payment of interest on and retirement of the bonds authorized by this act. The state finance committee shall, on or before June 30th of each year, certify to the state treasurer the amount needed in the ensuing twelve months to meet bond retirement and interest requirements. The state treasurer shall thereupon deposit such amount in the outdoor recreational bond redemption fund from moneys transmitted to the state treasurer by the tax commission and certified by the tax com-mission to be sales tax collections. Such amount certified by the state finance committee to the state treasurer shall be a prior charge against all retail sales tax revenues of the state of Washington, except that portion thereof heretofore pledged for the payment of bond principal and interest.

The owner and holder of each of the bonds or the trustee for any of the bonds may by mandamus or other appropriate proceeding require the transfer and payment of funds as directed herein.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. The proceeds from the sale of bonds deposited in the outdoor recreation account of the general fund under the terms of section 5 of this act shall be administered by the interagency committee for outdoor recreation. All such proceeds shall be divided into two equal shares. One share shall be allocated for the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities on behalf of the state as the legislature may direct by appropriation. The other share shall be allocated to public bodies as defined in RCW 43.99.020 for the acquisition and development of outdoor recreational areas and facilities within the jurisdiction of such public bodies. The interagency committee for cutdoor recreation is authorized to use or permit the use of any funds derived from the sale of bonds authorized under this act as matching funds in any case where federal or other funds are made available on a matching basis for projects within the purposes of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. As used in this act, the phrase "acquisition and development of outdoor recreational areas and facilities" shall be liberally construed in accordance with the broad interpretation suggested by section 1 of this act. It shall include, but shall not be limited to, acquisition of fee simple or any lesser interests in land, and the development of outdoor areas and facilities for either a single recreational use or multiple recreational uses. The preservation of land or water areas in an unspoiled or undeveloped state shall be among the alternatives permissible under this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. The legislature may provide additional means for raising moneys for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds authorized herein, and this act shall not be deemed to provide an exclusive method for such payment.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. The bonds herein authorized shall be a legal investment for all state funds or for funds under state control and for all funds of municipal corporations.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. This act shall be submitted to the people for their adoption and ratification, or rejection, at the general election to be held in this state on the Tuesday next succeeding the

first Monday in November 1968, in accordance with the provisions of section 3, Article VIII of the Constitution of the state of Washington, and in accordance with the provisions of section 1, Article II of the Constitution of the state of Washington, as amended, and the laws adopted to facilitate the operation thereof.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. Upon adoption and ratification by the people as provided for in section 11 of this act, sections 1 through 10 herein shall constitute a new chapter in Title 43 RCW.

Passed the House April 28, 1967. Passed the Senate April 28, 1967. Approved by the Governor May 3, 1967.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

### REFERENDUM BILL NUMBER

19

(CHAPTER 148, LAWS 1967, EX. SESSION)

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### STATE BUILDING PROJECTS: BOND ISSUE

AN ACT authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$63,059,000; appropriating the proceeds to finance various building projects for the Department of General Administration, the Department of Institutions, and certain state institutions of higher education; and providing for payment of the bonds from unpledged retail sales tax revenues or such other means authorized by the legislature.

LEGISLATIVE TITLE (Senate Bill No. 532)

#### STATE BUILDING AND HIGHER EDUCATION CONSTRUCTION—BONDS

AN ACT relating to state government and the support thereof; authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds to provide for needful land acquisition and buildings for the department of general administration, institutions of higher education and the department of institutions; providing ways and means to pay said bonds; making appropriations; and providing for submission of this act to a vote of the people.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. For the purpose of providing needed capital improvements for the department of general administration, the institutions

of higher education and the department of institutions, the state finance committee is authorized to issue, at any time prior to January 1, 1972, general obligation bonds of the state of Washington in the sum of sixty-three million fifty-nine thousand dollars or so much thereof as shall be required to finance the capital projects set forth in section 6 of this act, to be paid and discharged within twenty years of the date of issuance.

The state finance committee is authorized to prescribe the form of such bonds, and the time of sale of all or any portion or portions of such bonds, and the conditions of sale and issuance thereof: *Provided*, That none of the bonds herein authorized shall be sold for less than the par value thereof, nor shall they bear interest at a rate in excess of six percent per annum.

The bonds shall pledge the full faith and credit of the state of Washington and contain an unconditional promise to pay the principal and interest when due. The committee may provide that the bonds, or any of them, may be called prior to the due date thereof under such terms and conditions as it may determine. The state finance committee may authorize the use of facsimile signatures in the issuance of the bonds.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds authorized herein, together with all grants, donations, transferred funds and all other moneys which the state finance committee may direct the state treasurer to deposit therein shall be deposited in the state building and higher education construction account created in the state general fund.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The state building and higher education bond redemption fund is created in the state treasury, which fund shall be exclusively devoted to the payment of interest on and retirement of the bonds authorized by this act. The state finance committee shall, on or before June 30th of each year, certify to the state treasurer the amount needed in the ensuing twelve months to meet bond retirement and interest requirements, and on July 1st of each year the state treasurer shall deposit such amount in the state building and higher education bond redemption fund from moneys transmitted to the state treasurer by the tax commission and certified by the tax commission to be sales tax collections; and such amount certified by the state finance committee to the state treasurer shall be a prior charge against all retail sales tax revenues of the state of Washington, except that portion thereof which has been heretofore pledged for the payment of bond principal and interest.

The owner and holder of each of the bonds or the trustee for any of the bonds may by mandamus or other appropriate proceeding require and compel the transfer and payment of funds as directed herein.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. The legislature may provide additional means for raising moneys for the payment of the interest and principal of the bonds authorized herein and this act shall not be deemed to provide an exclusive method for such payment.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. The bonds herein authorized shall be a legal investment for all state funds or for funds under state control and all funds of municipal corporations.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. The following sums, or so much thereof as may be necessary, are appropriated from the state building and higher education construction account: *Provided*, That the legislature may reappropriate the unexpended balance from any project for other projects within the scope of section 1 of this act.

For the Department of General Administrati	ion
Construct and equip addition to state library\$	562,113
For the Washington Correction Center Construct and equip honor housing for 270 inmates	1 075 690
For the Maple Lane School Construct and equip treatment	1,075,050
security unit\$ For the Spruce Canyon Youth Camp	264,970
Construct and equip vocational- gymnasium building\$	194,411
For the School for the Blind Construct and equip student residence hall	373,000
For the School for the Deaf Construct and equip field house\$	
For the Rainier School Construct and equip training and	200,000
service building\$ Construct and equip volunteer	650,000
services building\$ For the Fircrest School	
Replace Redwood Hall, Phase II\$ For the University of Washington	2,550,000
Construct and equip law school center\$	5,100,000
Construct and equip psychology building\$  Construct and equip performing	3,500,000
arts building\$ Construct and equip computer	3,700,000
center addition\$ Construct and equip electrical	1,300,000
engineering addition\$ Enlarge plant services building\$	650,000
Expand and equip radiation therapy	
and hospital clinic\$	2,050,000
For Washington State University Construct and equip agricultural	
services building\$  Construct and equip physical	3,934,775
sciences building\$	3,148,630
For Western Washington State College Construct additional instruction	
facilities	1,883,500
Construct and equip physical education addition \$ Construct and equip administration	
building\$ Renovation of Old Main\$	1,650,000 975,000
Complete construction and equipping of education-psychology building\$	850,000
For Central Washington State College Construct and equip instructional	0 000 500
center\$  Construct and equip library  addition\$	2,009,500
For Eastern Washington State College Construct and equip health and	2,010,000
physical education building\$	1,125,000
Construct and equip classroom building\$ Construct and equip radio-	1,500,000
television building\$ Construct and equip drama	500,000
building\$ Construct and equip art building\$	800,000 1,090,000
For the Fourth State College Construction Phase I	
For the Finance Committee\$	62,471

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. The words "capital improvement" or "capital project" used herein shall mean acquisition of sites, easements, rights of way or improvements thereon or appurtenances thereto,

construction and initial equipment, reconstruction, demolition or major alteration of new or presently owned capital assets.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. This act shall be submitted to the people for their adoption and ratificain this state on the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday in November, 1968, in accordance with the provisions of section 3, Article VIII of the state Constitution; and in accordance with the provisions of section 1, Article II of the state Constitution as amended, and the laws adopted to facilitate the operation thereof.

\*NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. Anything in this act to the contrary notwithstanding, if the constitutional amendment proposed in Senate Joint Resolu-tion No. 17 shall be adopted by the electorate in the 1967 general election

- (1) the sum of sixty-three million fifty-nine thousand dollars authorized for issue as general obligation bonds in section 1 of this act shall be reduced to the sum of twelve million six hundred seven thousand five hundred ninety-five dollars,
- (2) each of the following capital projects shall

For the University of Washington Law school center \$5,100,000 Psychology building \$3,500,000 Performing arts building \$3,700,000 Computer center addition \$1,300,000 Electrical engineering addition \$650,000 For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000 Radio-television building \$500,000	be deleted from section 6 of this act:	
Law school center \$5,100,000 Psychology building \$3,500,000 Performing arts building \$3,700,000 Computer center addition \$1,300,000 Electrical engineering addition \$650,000 For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	For the University of Washington	
Psychology building \$3,500,000 Performing arts building \$3,700,000 Computer center addition \$1,300,000 Electrical engineering addition \$650,000 For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000		5,100,000
Performing arts building \$3,700,000 Computer center addition \$1,300,000 Electrical engineering addition \$650,000 For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	Psychology building\$	3,500,000
Computer center addition \$1,300,000 Electrical engineering addition \$650,000 For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,125,000 State College \$1,125,000 State Colleg		
Electrical engineering addition \$ 650,000  For Washington State University Agricultural sciences building \$ 3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$ 3,148,630  For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$ 1,883,500 Physical education building \$ 490,000 Administration building \$ 1,650,000  For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$ 3,009,500 Library addition \$ 2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$ 1,125,000 Classroom building \$ 1,500,000	Computer center addition\$	1,300,000
Agricultural sciences building \$3,934,775 Physical sciences building \$3,148,630 For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	Electrical engineering addition\$	650,000
Physical sciences building \$3,148,630  For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000  For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000		
Physical sciences building \$3,148,630  For Western Washington State College Additional instructional facilities \$1,883,500 Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000  For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	Agricultural sciences building\$	3,934,775
Additional instructional facilities\$ 1,883,500 Physical education building\$ 490,000 Administration building\$ 1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center\$ 3,009,500 Library addition\$ 2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building\$ 1,125,000 Classroom building\$ 1,500,000	Physical sciences building\$	3,148,630
Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	For Western Washington State College	
Physical education building \$490,000 Administration building \$1,650,000 For Central Washington State College Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	Additional instructional facilities\$	1,883,500
Administration building\$ 1,650,000  For Central Washington State College Instructional center\$ 3,009,500 Library addition\$ 2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building\$ 1,125,000 Classroom building\$ 1,500,000		
Instructional center \$3,009,500 Library addition \$2,070,000 For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000		
Library addition \$2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000		
Library addition \$2,070,000  For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building \$1,125,000 Classroom building \$1,500,000	Instructional center\$	3,009,500
For Eastern Washington State College Health and physical education building	Library addition\$	2,070,000
building		
Classroom building \$ 1,500,000	Health and physical education	
Classroom building \$ 1,500,000	building\$	1,125,000
Radio-television building\$ 500.000	Classroom building\$	1,500,000
	Radio-television building\$	500,000
Drama building\$ 800,000	Drama building\$	800,000
Art building\$ 1,090,000	Art building\$	1,090,000
For the Fourth State College	For the Fourth State College	
Construction Phase I\$15,000,000	Construction Phase I\$1	5,000,000

Passed the House April 28, 1967.

Passed the Senate April 29, 1967.

Approved May 10, 1967 with the exception of Section 9, which is vetoed.

> DANIEL J. EVANS Governor of Washington

\*Words in italics vetoed by the Governor.

#### GOVERNOR'S STATEMENT EXPLAINING PARTIAL VETO:

I am filing herewith to be transmitted to the Senate at the next session of the legislature, without my approval as to one section, Senate Bill No. 532, entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to state government and the support thereof and authorizing the issuance and sale of state general obligation bonds to provide for needful land acquisition and buildings."

This bill submits to the voters of the State of Washington for their approval or rejection a proposed state general obligation bond issue of \$63,-059,000 to provide necessary new facilities at certain state institutions, the state library, the three

state colleges and the two state universities. The bill also would provide the funds for first phase construction at the fourth state college authorized by the 1967 legislature. I approve of this bond issue and trust that the voters of the state will ratify it at the election to be held in November, 1968.

However, Section 9 of the bill is unnecessary, and may prove confusing to the voters if it remains in the measure when it is submitted at the 1968 general election. Section 9 provides conditionally that the bond issue be reduced to \$12,607,595, by eliminating from the bill all funds for institutions of higher education. The condition specified is the ratification of a constitutional amendment proposed in Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 at an election to be held in November, 1967. This proposed constitutional amendment would eliminate the need for many statewide bond issues by authorizing a State Building Authority to lease buildings to state agencies and finance the construction or acquisition cost through the sale of revenue bonds. If the State Building Authority were activated later this year, it would finance construction of needed higher education facilities, including the new four-year college, approximately a year sooner than will be possible under Senate Bill No. 532.

Section 9 is meaningless, because no state general election will be held in November, 1967.

A bill authorizing annual state elections was introduced during the 1967 legislative session at my request. It was passed by the House of Representatives, but failed to emerge from the Senate Rules Committee. It is unfortunate that the people will not have the benefit of annual state elections in order to vote promptly on vital issues facing the state, such as proposed constitutional amendments, matters relating to a constitutional convention and other measures referred to the people. Since this is not possible, I believe Senate Bill 532 will be less confusing to the voters if references to a proposed 1967 general election are eliminated.

Therefore, I have vetoed Section 9. The remainder of Senate Bill No. 532 is approved.

Respectfully submitted.

DANIEL J. EVANS Governor

COMPLETE TEXT OF

### INITIATIVE MEASURE

32

#### TO THE LEGISLATURE

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### LOCAL PROCESSING OF STATE TIMBER

AN ACT establishing a state agency to be known as the Full Employment Commission; providing for a procedure whereby timber sold by the state to any "responsible bidder" and removed from state-owned or administered lands will be branded, and will receive primary processing in a facility employing Washington residents located in the State of Washington or within fifteen miles from any boundary thereof in an abutting state, unless permission is granted by the Full Employment Commission for primary processing elsewhere based upon a finding that no reasonable market presently exists for the timber at such a facility; and establishing penalties.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Washington:

NEW SECTION. Section 1. This act may be known and cited as the "Washington State Log Conservation and Full Employment Act of 1967".

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The legislature of the state of Washington finds:

- (1) The maintenance of full employment and the prevention of job loss is essential to the health, safety, and welfare of the people of the state of Washington, while the encouragement of industry is vital to such full employment and the continued prosperity of the state. Though the primary processing of logs is one of the state's most important industries, it has faced increasing economic difficulties in recent years because of a shortage in the supply of logs from public lands. Wood processing plants employing residents of the state of Washington have been closed and loss of jobs has resulted. Should this trend continue, the state could well be deprived of the employment and an industry which have traditionally been among the chief pillars of its economy.
- (2) The well-being of the state depends on the stability of the jobs of its citizens with both the production of raw materials, and the processing thereof, playing important roles. The consequences of trade restrictions and export bans imposed by others threaten to disrupt the balanced economy of this state by eliminating both intrastate processing of logs derived from timber grown on state lands and the employment of residents of the state of Washington in plants that process such timber. When state timber is marketed, the maximum value for the state is obtained when the timber is sold competitively and the primary processing thereof is completed either within the state's borders or by facilities that directly furnish jobs to residents of the state of Washington.

  To avert substantial and irreparable damage to

To avert substantial and irreparable damage to the economy, the legislature of the state of Washington, pursuant to the state's police power, is compelled to enact this legislation in order to carry out the obligation of the state of Washington to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the state's citi-

zens.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. As used in this act:

- (1) "Timber" means wood in tree form, whether standing or down, or in log form.
- (2) "Public timber" means all timber removed from or still on state-owned or administered lands.
- (3) "Primarily processed" means that state of manufacture when all longitudinal surfaces of a log have been made flat by sawing or when a substantial portion of a log has been converted into veneer or when a log is converted into chips of a size suitable for use in the manufacture of pulp.
- (4) "Logging" means the severing of timber from the land.
- (5) "Log" means a segment of a tree that has been excised therefrom.
- (6) "Facilities in the state of Washington" means a manufacturing establishment (a) that is

equipped with facilities for the conversion of logs into veneer, lumber or chips; (b) that is located within the state of Washington or in an abutting state within fifteen miles on a straight line from any point on the boundary of the state of Washington; and (c) that employs residents of the state of Washington in the primary processing of logs.

(7) "Responsible bidder" means a person who, in addition to meeting the requirements of other laws and regulations relating to the sale of public timber, as part of his bid (a) agrees in writing that if he is awarded the contract for the sale of the timber for which he is bidding he will cause all of the timber that is included in such contract and removed from state land to receive primary processing in a facility in the state of Washington as defined herein, unless a permit is issued pursuant to section 8 of this act for the primary processing of such timber elsewhere, and (b) represents in writing that he, his affiliates, subsidiaries, and persons under a common control with him, have not theretofore breached any agreement made under section 5 of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. Where the appraised value exceeds two thousand dollars, all sales of state-owned or administered timber or interests therein made by the department of natural resources shall be at public auction and made to the highest responsible bidder.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. In the performance of a contract for the sale of public timber, if the buyer shall fail to abide by the agreements that were made by him as part of his bid for such contract, he shall be liable therefor in a civil action for damages brought by the state of Washington in an amount equal to one-half of the price established by the contract for all of the timber that by such contract is included or estimated to be included, as the case may be, in the sale. Every contract for the sale of public timber shall contain a provision that all of the timber included in such contract that is removed pursuant thereto from state land shall receive primary processing in a facility in the state of Washington unless a permit is issued pursuant to section 8 of this act for the primary processing of such timber elsewhere.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. (1) All logs derived from the sale of public timber shall be branded by a brand to be specified in the contract for the sale of such timber and every contract for the sale of public timber shall include a requirement therefor. The commissioner of public lands shall maintain in his office and at such other places as shall be specified by the regulations of the full employment commission established by section 9 of this act, a record of such brands which shall be available for public inspection at all times when the office of such commissioner is open for the transaction of business with the public. Removal of any such brand from, or the alteration or mutilation of any such brand on, any log prior to the time when such log is primarily processed shall be a misdemeanor.

(2) Every person who purchases, either from the state of Washington or from another person, public timber or logs derived from public timber and branded in accordance with this act shall make such records as the full employment commission created by section 9 of this act shall by regulation require, to show his use or other disposition of such timber and logs. Every person required to make records as aforesaid shall preserve the same for a period of three years during which time upon the request of said commission such person shall make such records available for inspection by the commissioner of public lands during the customary and usual business hours at such person's principal place of business in the state of Washington or, if he has no such place of business, at the office of the said commissioner in Olympia, Washington,

during the usual and customary hours of business of said office. Failure to make, preserve or make available for inspection such records in accordance with this act and the regulations issued in pursuance thereof shall be a misdemeanor.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. Any person who transports out of the state of Washington by water, whether on a self-propelled or other type of vessel, boat or barge or by floating or towing in rafts or otherwise, any branded logs derived from public timber shall report information concerning such shipment in accordance with rules and regulations that shall be promulgated by the full employment commission. Failure to comply with said rules and regulations shall be a misdemeanor.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. Permits for the primary processing of public timber other than in a facility in the state of Washington shall be issued by the full employment commission created by section 9 of this act upon the application of the person in control of the use or disposition of such timber if the commission finds that such timber is currently in log form and that there is presently no reasonable market therefor at facilities in the state of Washington, as defined in section 3 (6) of this act, to which it could be economically transported for primary processing. In arriving at such findings with respect to a reasonable market, the commission shall take into account:

- (1) The values that were assigned to the species and grade or grades of logs in their stumpage form when the minimum prices were established for the sale of the stumpage from which such logs were derived.
- (2) The cost of logging and transporting such timber from the place where it was severed to its then situs in log form, taking into consideration the average cost therefor as such costs are used in the appraisal of timber in the state of Washington that recently has been or shortly will be made available for sale in the vicinity of the area where such timber was severed.
- (3) The general level of prices currently offered for logs of the species, size and grade involved by persons who control such primary processing facilities in the state of Washington as are situated within economic log transportation distance of the then situs of such timber.
- (4) Whether the general level of price for the species, size and grade of logs described in subsection (3) of this section affords a profit over and above the appraised value described in subsection (1) of this section and the cost described in subsection (2) of this section.
- (5) Whether the applicant has solicited in good faith, but unsuccessfully, offers for the purchase of such logs at or below the general level of price described in subsections (3) and (4) of this section from persons customarily engaged in primary processing of logs of the type involved at facilities in the state of Washington as defined in section 3(6) of this act within economic log transportation distance of the situs of such logs.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. There is hereby created the full employment commission which shall consist of seven members. One of the members shall be the commissioner of public lands, one shall be active in the management of a company or corporation principally engaged in the processing of logs within Washington, one shall be active in the management of a company or corporation principally engaged in logging in the state of Washington, one shall be active in a labor organization representing workers engaged in the primary processing of logs in the state of Washington, and one shall not be associated in any manner with forestry, logging or the manufacture or sale of wood products, one shall be the chairman of the natural

resources committee of the Washington State Senate and one shall be the chairman of the natural resources, harbors and waterways committee of the Washington State House of Representatives. With the exception of the commissioner of public lands and the members serving from the Washington State Legislature, the rest of the members of the commission shall be appointed by, and serve at, the governor's pleasure. All commission members shall be reimbursed from the state department of natural resources' account for the actual and necessary expenses incurred by them in the performance of their functions, but shall receive no salary or other compensation.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. (1) Five members, at least three of whom shall have been appointed by the governor, shall constitute a quorum at any meeting or session of the full employment commission. At any meeting or session at which a quorum is present, the commission may act by a majority of those present, but no permit shall be issued pursuant to a tie vote.

(2) The full employment commission shall issue regulations to implement this act. Such regulations shall, among other things, designate two days in each calendar month, not less than twelve nor more than sixteen days apart, on which the commission shall receive testimony in support of and opposed to every application for a permit that is then pending and which was made at least five days, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays in the state of Washington, prior to the day of receiving testimony. Within seven days after receiving such testimony, Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays in the state of Washington being excluded, the commission shall grant or deny such application.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. A fee of fifty dollars shall accompany the application designated in section 8 of this act, such money to be placed in the department of natural resources' account to be used by the department for the administration of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. In addition to all other remedies permitted by law, any person who breaches the undertaking required by section 5 of this act shall, together with his affiliates, subsidiaries and others under a common control with him, be barred from the further purchase of public timber.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of the act, or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

#### STATE OF WASHINGTON-ss.

Filed in the office of the Secretary of State May 31, 1966.

NOTE: As provided by the state constitution, whenever the Legislature fails to approve an initiative to the Legislature into law, the measure is automatically submitted to the voters for decision at the next state election.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed Constitutional Amendment

### SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed Constitutional Amendment

### SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### INVESTMENT OF PUBLIC PENSION **FUNDS**

Shall the Constitution of the state of Washington be amended by adding a new article and section permitting the moneys of any public pension or retirement fund to be invested in such manner as may be authorized by law?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the general election to be held in this state on the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday in November 1968, there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amend-ment to the Constitution of the state of Washington, by adding thereto Article XXIX, to be entitled "Investments of Public Pension and Retirement Funds", and section 1 thereof, which shall read as follows:

NEW SECTION. Article XXIX, section Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 5, and 7 of Article VIII and section 9 of Article XII or any other section or article of the Constitution of the state of Washington, the moneys of any public pension or retirement fund may be invested as authorized by law.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preced-ing the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the Senate February 17, 1967.

JOHN A. CHERBERG,
President of the Senate.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT S.J.R. NO. 5: All words underscored do not appear in the Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

### AUTHORIZING STATE

COURT OF APPEALS

Shall the State Constitution be amended to provide for establishment by the legislature of a state court of appeals with such jurisdiction as may be granted by statute or rules as authorized thereby; providing that the number, manner of election, compensation, term of office, removal and retirement of judges of such court shall be prescribed by statute; and providing that the administration and procedures of the court shall be prescribed by supreme court rules?

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

That, At the next general election to be held in this state, there shall be submitted to the qualified electors of this state, for their approval and ratification, or rejection, a proposal to amend Article IV of the Constitution of the state of Washington by adding thereto a new section to read as follows: NEW SECTION. Article IV, section 29. COURT

OF APPEALS.

- (1) AUTHORIZATION. In addition to the courts authorized in section 1 of this article, judicial power is vested in a court of appeals, which shall be established by statute.
- (2) JURISDICTION. The jurisdiction of the court of appeals shall be as provided by statute or by rules authorized by statute.
- (3) REVIEW OF SUPERIOR COURT. Superior court actions may be reviewed by the court of appeals or by the supreme court as provided by statute or by rule authorized by statute.
- (4) JUDGES. The number, manner of election, compensation, terms of office, removal and retirement of judges of the court of appeals shall be as provided by statute.
- (5) ADMINISTRATION AND PROCEDURE. The administration and procedures of the court of appeals shall be as provided by rules issued by the supreme court.
- (6) CONFLICTS. The provisions of this section shall supersede any conflicting provisions in prior sections of this article.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED. That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the Senate February 15, Passed the House March 9, 1967.

JOHN A. CHERBERG,
President of the Senate.

Passed the House March 9, 1967.

DON ELDRIDGE,
Speaker of the House.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT S.J.R. NO. 6:
All words underscored do not appear in the Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed
Constitutional Amendment

## SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

**17** 

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## PROVIDING FOR STATE BUILDING AUTHORITY

Shall Article VIII of the State Constitution be amended by adding a new section authorizing creation by the legislature of a state building authority to construct buildings and improvements for lease to state agencies or departments for up to seventy-five years, and to finance such construction through issuance of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be paid from the authority's revenues which would not be subject to the constitutional debt limitation?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state, there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of this state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, a proposal to amend Article VIII of the Constitution of the state of Washington by adding thereto a new section to be designated section 8 which shall read as follows:

NEW SECTION. Article VIII, section 8. The legislature is empowered notwithstanding any other provision in this Constitution, to provide for a state building authority in corporate and politic form which may contract with agencies or departments of the state government to construct upon land owned by the state or its agencies, or to be acquired by the state building authority, buildings and appurtenant improvements which such state agencies or departments are hereby empowered to lease at reasonable rental rates from the Washington state building authority for terms up to seventyfive years with provisions for eventual vesting of title in the state or its agencies. This section shall not be construed as authority to provide buildings through lease or otherwise to nongovernmental entities. The legislature may authorize the state building authority to borrow funds solely upon its own credit and to issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness therefor to be repaid from its revenues and to secure the same by pledging its income or mortgaging its leaseholds. The provisions of sections 1 and 3 of this article shall not apply to indebtedness incurred pursuant to this section.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the Senate February 17, 1967. Passed the House March 6, 1967. JOHN A. CHERBERG, DON ELDRIDGE,

President of the Senate. Speaker of the House.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT S.J.R. NO. 17:
All words underscored do not appear in the Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed
Constitutional Amendment

## SENATE JOINT 23

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

#### TAXING DISTRICTS: EXCESS LEVY ELECTIONS

Shall the State Constitution be amended to permit taxing districts, if authorized by the legislature, to submit propositions for property tax levies exceeding the forty-mill limit to their voters up to 24 months before the levy date, thereby permitting two consecutive annual excess levies to be approved at one election; and authorizing submission of a second proposition in any twelve-month period only if it is substituted for the excess levy previously approved for that year?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the general election to be held in this state on the Tuesday next succeeding the first Monday in November, 1967, there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article VII of the Constitution of the state of Washington by amending section 2 as added by the 17th Amendment of the Constitution, as follows:

Article VII, section 2. Except as hereinafter provided and notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, the aggregate of all tax levies upon real and personal property by the state and all taxing districts now existing or hereafter created, shall not in any year exceed forty mills on

the dollar of assessed valuation, which assessed valuation shall be fifty per centum of the true and fair value of such property in money: Provided, however, That nothing herein shall prevent levies at the rates now provided by law by or for any port or public utility district. The term "taxing district" for the purposes of this section shall mean any political subdivision, municipal corporation, district, or other governmental agency authorized by law to levy, or have levied for it, ad valorem taxes on property, other than a port or public utility district. Such aggregate limitation or any specific limitation imposed by law in conformity therewith may be exceeded only

(a) By any taxing district when specifically authorized so to do by a majority of at least threefifths of the electors thereof voting on the proposition to levy such additional tax submitted not more than [ftwelve] twenty-four months prior to the date [{on which}]] for making the proposed levy or levies [fis to be made]] and not oftener than twice in [{such}] any twelve month period, either at a special election or at the regular election of such taxing district, at which election the number of persons voting on the proposition shall constitute not less than forty per centum of the total number of votes cast in such taxing district at the last preceding general election: Provided, however, That no taxing district shall submit to the electors thereof a proposition to authorize the levy of additional tax upon the authority of this subsection (a) to be made in any year for which the electors previously have authorized the levy of additional tax under the authority of this subsection (a) unless the proposed authorization is submitted to the electors by a proposition to substitute for the prior authorization under this subsection (a) a new authorization the amount of which will be adequate to fulfill all contractual obligations of the taxing district incurred by reason of the prior authorization, and unless the substitute proposition shall by its terms supersede the prior authorization and then be in lieu of any additional tax authorized by but not yet levied upon the authority of the superseded authorization;

(b) By any taxing district otherwise authorized by law to issue general obligation bonds for capital purposes, for the sole purpose of making the re-quired payments of principal and interest on general obligation bonds issued solely for capital purposes, other than the replacement of equipment, when authorized so to do by majority of at least three-fifths of the electors thereof voting on the proposition to issue such bonds and to pay the principal and interest thereon by an annual tax levy in excess of the limitation herein provided during the term of such bonds, submitted not oftener than twice in any calendar year, at an election held in the manner provided by law for bond elections in such taxing district, at which election the total number of persons voting on the proposition shall constitute not less than forty per centum of the total number of votes cast in such taxing district at the last preceding general election: Pro-vided, That any such taxing district shall have the right by vote of its governing body to refund any general obligation bonds of said district issued for capital purposes only, and to provide for the interest thereon and amortization thereof by annual levies in excess of the tax limitation provided for herein, And provided further, That the provisions of this section shall also be subject to the limitations contained in Article VIII, section 6, of this Constitution:

(c) By the state or any taxing district for the purpose of paying the principal or interest on general obligation bonds outstanding on December 6, 1934; or for the purpose of preventing the impairment of the obligation of a contract when ordered so to do by a court of last resort.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the Senate April 27, 1967.

JOHN A. CHERBERG,
President of the Senate.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT S.J.R. NO. 23:

All words in double parentheses and lined through are in our State Constitution at the present and are being taken out by this amendment. All words underscored do not appear in the State Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed
Constitutional Amendment

## SENATE JOINT 24

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## VACANCY: LEGISLATIVE, COUNTY ELECTIVE OFFICES

Shall the State Constitution be amended to provide for filling vacancies in legislative or partisan county elective offices as follows:

- The county commissioners of the county affected shall appoint a person from the same legislative, county or county commissioner district and political party as the officer whose office has been vacated;
- (2) On failure of the county commissioners to so appoint within sixty days, the governor shall within thirty days appoint a person similarly qualified?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state, there shall be submitted to the qualified electors of the state, for their approval and ratification, or rejection, a proposed amendment to Article II, section 15 (as amended by Amendment 13 and Amendment 32), and to Article XI, section 6 of the Constitution of the State of Washington to read as follows:

Article II, section 15. (Amendment 32). Such vacancies as may occur in either house of the legislature or in any partisan county elective office shall be filled by appointment by the board of county commissioners of the county in which the vacancy occurs: Provided, That the person appointed to fill the vacancy must be from the same legislative district, county or county commissioner

district and the same political party as the legislator or partisan county elective officer whose office has been vacated, and shall be one of three persons who shall be nominated by the county central committee of that party, and in case a majority of said county commissioners do not agree upon the appointment within sixty days after the vacancy occurs, the governor shall within thirty days thereafter, and from the list of nominees provided for herein, appoint a person who shall be from the same legislative district, county or county commissioner district and of the same political party as the legislator or partisan county elective officer whose office has been vacated, and the person so appointed shall hold office until his successor is elected at the next general election, and shall have qualified: *Provided*, That in case of a vacancy occurring in the office of joint senator, or joint representative, the vacancy shall be filled from a list of three nominees selected by the state central committee, by appointment by the joint action of the boards of county commissioners of the counties composing the joint senatorial or joint representative district, the person appointed to fill the va-cancy must be from the same legislative district and of the same political party as the legislator whose office has been vacated, and in case a majority of said county commissioners do not agree upon the appointment within sixty days after the vacancy occurs, the governor shall within thirty days thereafter, and from the list of nominees provided for herein, appoint a person who shall be from the same legislative district and of the same political party as the legislator whose office has been vacated.

Article XI, section 6. The board of county commissioners in each county shall fill all vacancies occurring in any [[eounty,]] township, precinct or road district office of such county by appointment, and officers thus appointed shall hold office till the next general election, and until their successors are elected and qualified.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause the foregoing constitutional amendments to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the Senate March 17, 1967.

JOHN A. CHERBERG,
President of the Senate.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT 5.J.R. NO. 24:

All words in double parentheses and lined through are in our State Constitution at the present and are being taken out by this amendment. All words underscared do not appear in the State Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed
Constitutional Amendment

#### HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

TAXATION BASED ON ACTUAL USE

Shall Article VII of the State Constitution be amended by adding a section authorizing the legislature to provide that farms, agricultural lands, standing timber and timberlands, and other open space lands used for recreation or enjoyment of their scenic or natural beauty, shall be valued for purposes of taxation on the basis of the use to which such property currently is being applied, rather than on the highest and best use?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the next general election there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to Article VII of the Constitution of the State of Washington by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

NEW SECTION. Article VII, section 11. Nothing in this Article VII as amended shall prevent the legislature from providing, subject to such conditions as it may enact, that the true and fair value in money (a) of farms, agricultural lands, standing timber and timberlands, and (b) of other open space lands which are used for recreation or for enjoyment of their scenic or natural beauty shall be based on the use to which such property is currently applied, and such values shall be used in computing the assessed valuation of such property in the same manner as the assessed valuation is computed for all property.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.

Passed the House March 14, 1967.

DON ELDRIDGE,
Speaker of the House.

Passed the Senate April 28, 1967.
JOHN A. CHERBERG,
President of the Senate.

EXPLANATORY COMMENT H.J.R. NO. 1:
All words underscored do not appear in the Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

COMPLETE TEXT OF

Proposed
Constitutional Amendment

## HOUSE JOINT 1 STRESOLUTION

Ballot Title as issued by the Attorney General:

## AUTHORIZING COMPENSATION INCREASE DURING TERM

Shall the State Constitution be amended by adding a new article permitting the compensation of all elected and appointed state,

county and municipal officers who do not fix their own compensation (including judges of courts of record and justice courts), to be increased during their terms of office to the end that such officials shall receive compensation for their services as provided by the law in effect at the time the services are being rendered?

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of Washington, in Legislative Session Assembled:

THAT, At the next general election to be held in this state, there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the state for their approval and ratification, or rejection, an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Washington, by adding thereto Article XXIX, and section 1 thereof, which shall read as follows:

NEW SECTION. Article XXIX, section 1. The compensation of all elective and appointive state, county, and municipal officers who do not fix their own compensation, including judges of courts of record and the justice courts may be increased during their terms of office to the end that such officers and judges shall each severally receive compensation for their services in accordance with the law in effect at the time the services are being rendered.

The provisions of section 25 of Article II (Amendment 35), section 25 of Article III (Amendment 31), section 13 of Article IV, section 8 of Article XI, and section 1 of Article XXVIII (Amendment 20) insofar as they are inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the foregoing amendment shall be construed as a separate amendment within the meaning of Article XXIII, section 1 (Amendment 37) of this Constitution.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the secretary of state shall cause notice of the foregoing constitutional amendment to be published at least four times during the four weeks next preceding the election in every legal newspaper in the state.


Passed the House February 8, 1967.

DON ELDRIDGE,
Speaker of the House.

President of the Senate.


EXPLANATORY COMMENT H.J.R. NO. 13:
All words underscored do not appear in the Constitution as it is now written but will be put in if this amendment is adopted.

#### **CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS**




DISTRICTS 1, 2, 6 AND 7 IN KING COUNTY AND VICINITY


#### LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS



#### SPOKANE AND VICINITY



#### LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS



KING COUNTY EDITION

RESIDENTIAL PATRON, LOCAL

Seattle, Washington PERMIT NO. 196

BULK RATE
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID

